

APPENDIX G
SEDIMENT CONTAINMENT SYSTEM
DESIGN RATIONALE

APPENDIX G

This page left blank intentionally.

FIGURES

		<u>Page #</u>
Figure G.1	Estimated Runoff from Precipitation Over Different Soils	G-3
Figure G.2	Time for Suspended Particles to Fall 1 cm in Water at 0°C (Stokes Law)	G-5
Figure G.3a	Model of Drainage Outlet of Sediment Pond	G-8
Figure G.3b	Flow (Q) Through an Outlet Barrier of Various Diameter (D) Rocks in Gabion Basket	G-8
Figure G.3c	Parameters and Porosity (ρ) of Rocks	G-9
Figure G.3d	Type I Sedimentation Pond Containment Structure (Sediment Basin Plan)	G-10
Figure G.3e	Type II Containment Structure (Sediment Trap Plan)	G-11
Figure G.3f	Simplified Sections of Dyke / Outlet	G-11
Figure G.4	Typical Sedimentation Basin/Trap Outlet Permeable Structure with Rock Filter Barrier and Perforated Pipe	G-12
Figure G.5	Hydrometer Gradation Curve to Determine PEG	G-13
Figure G.6	Concept of Sedimentation Apparent Efficiency (A_{eff}) for Suspended Particles in Zones of Uniform and Turbulent Flows at Permeable of a Containment System Outlet	G-15
Figure G.7	Apparent Effectiveness (A_{eff}) of a Sediment Containment System	G-16

TABLES

		<u>Page #</u>
Table G.1	Setting Velocities (V_s) for Suspended particles (Specific Gravity = 2.65) in Water at Different Temperatures, as Calculated by Stokes' Law	G-4

APPENDIX G
SEDIMENT CONTAINMENT SYSTEM DESIGN RATIONALE

G.1 Sediment Containment System Design Rationale

The following design rationale is considered reasonable to evaluate the effectiveness of containment system (Type I and II) for use at high to medium risk areas.

- An inflow quantity (Q_i) is assessed based on runoff volume (Q) from 24 hour intensity rainfall from 1:2 year storm (Runoff from 1:10 year storm will be approximately 2.5 times 1:2 year storm. Thus, it will be impractical to provide such large storage volume, especially revegetation, of disturbed area will be achieved in 1-2 years and deactivation of the basin/tray can be considered for rural highways.)
- A sediment delivery ratio (SDR ranges from 0 to 1) will be a subjective parameter
- SDR = 1; when a high risk area is at immediate connectivity downslope of an erosion source

Runoff (Q) and Inflow (Q_i) Estimation (1:2 yr. storm, 24hr intensity rainfall, soil type, area of disturbance)

$$Q_i = \text{SDR} \times Q \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.1})$$

Where: Q_i = Inflow runoff (m^3/sec) to sedimentation pond
SDR = Sediment delivery ratio (dimensionless)
 Q = Natural runoff (m^3/sec)

Runoff is estimated using:

- Precipitation of 24 hour rainfall intensity from a 1:2 year storm;
- Effect of ground absorbency of different soil types to yield a runoff. For various soil types, a general relationship between precipitation and runoff per hectare can be assessed. (see Figure G.3: Estimate Runoff from Rainfall);
- Some jurisdiction (such as EPA) assume 25 mm runoff as minimum parameter;
- 150-250 m^3/ha of disturbed land;
- Amount of fine sediment laden runoff close to high risks: SDR=1

The quantity of runoff from precipitation is affected by the absorbance, permeability and texture of the surficial soils (Figure G.1).




Figure G.1 Estimated Runoff from Precipitation Over Different Soils

Source: Fifield, 2001

Settling Velocity (V_s) for Soil Particles

A particular soil particle size (D_s) can be targeted within settlement laden runoff and its percentage by weight is determined from a hydrometer gradation curve of local soil (cut/fill) material. Different size particles exhibit different settling velocities with smaller particles requiring a long time to settle. The different settling velocities for sand to silt to clay size particles are presented in Table G.1. The times required for the clay to sand size particles to settle on vertical distances in water are presented in Figure G.2 and it can be evidenced that clay size particles require a very long settling time.

A settling velocity (V_s) is assessed for a target soil particle size

$$V_s \propto D_s \text{ (Stokes' Law)}$$

Where: D_s = Diameter of a target particles size (cm)

APPENDIX G

Stoke's Law

$$V_s = g \times (S - 1) \times d^2 / (18 \times \mu) \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.2})$$

Where: V_s = Settling velocity (cm/sec)
 g = Acceleration of gravity (981 cm/sec²)
 μ = Kinematic viscosity of a fluid (cm²/sec²)
 S = Specific gravity of a particle
 d = Diameter of a particle (cm-assumed a sphere)

Table G.1: Settling Velocities (V_s) for Suspended Particles (Specific Gravity = 2.65) in Water at Different Temperatures, as Calculated by Stokes' Law

Diameter (MM)	Settling Velocity in Centimeters per Second					Particle
	0°C	5°C	10°C	15°C	20°C	
0.01	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	Fine Silt
0.02	0.020	0.023	0.027	0.031	0.035	Median Silt
0.03	0.044	0.052	0.060	0.069	0.078	
0.04	0.078	0.092	0.107	0.122	0.139	Coarse Silt
0.05	0.122	0.143	0.167	0.191	0.217	
0.06	0.176	0.207	0.240	0.275	0.313	
0.07	0.239	0.281	0.327	0.375	0.426	Very Fine Sand
0.08	0.312	0.367	0.427	0.490	0.556	
0.09	0.395	0.465	0.540	0.620	0.704	
0.110	0.488	0.574	0.667	0.765	0.869	
0.11	0.590	0.694	0.807	0.926	1.051	
0.12	0.703	0.826	0.960	1.101	1.251	
0.13	0.825	0.970	1.127	1.293	1.468	Fine Sand
0.14	0.956	1.125	1.307	1.499	1.703	
0.15	1.098	1.291	1.501	1.721	1.955	
0.16	1.249	1.469	1.707	1.958	2.224	
0.17	1.410	1.658	1.928	2.211	2.511	
0.18	1.581	1.859	2.161	2.478	2.815	
0.19	1.761	2.072	2.408	2.761	3.136	
0.20	1.952	2.295	2.668	3.060	3.475	
°F	32	41	50	59	68	

Source: Fifield, 2001

Commonly Used Conversion Factors

1.0 cm/sec. = 0.0328 ft./sec or 0.3937 in./sec
 1.0 m = 3.281 ft. or 39.37 in.
 1.0 in. = 2.54 cm = 25.4 mm
 1.0 ha = 2.471 ac. = 107,637 ft.² = 10,000 m²
 1.0 m³ = 35.3 ft.³
 °C = 5/9(°F-32°)




Figure G.2 Time for Suspended Particles to Fall 1 cm in Water at 0°C (Stokes Law)

Source: Fifield, 2001

From Figure G.2, the smaller diameter (D_s) of the soil particle (such as fine silt and clay) yields a very slow settling velocity (V_s), and thus renders the low efficiency of a system to settle very fine size clay particles.

- The efficiency of containment system is proportional to the settling velocity (V_s) and the particle size (D_s).
- An outflow capacity (Q_o) of the containment system will be designed based on free-draining properties of an outflow system which normally function through a seepage/filter drainage outlet of the containment system. The outflow capacity is designed equal to or smaller than inflow volume and to function with a pond size/configuration to provide sufficient flow path and containment time to effect sedimentation of a target size particle. During the time of containment, the target size particle will have sufficient detention time to settle to the bottom of the pond system. Generally, the outflow design of these systems will be free drainage

granular berm or a combination of perforated pipe (s) or riser system functioning as filter/seepage flow structures and the size/configuration of the system will allow settling time for sedimentation bedload to collect within the containment system. An example of the containment systems (Type I and II) is presented in Figures G.3d, G.3e and G.3f and as discussed below.

The general criteria for the selection and functioning of a containment pond system is presented in Section 12.2. The selection is dependent on size of disturbed land, amount of runoff (Q_i) into the pond and target particle size (D_s) for settlement to provide an assessment of pond size/surface area (SA) required. The outflow capacity (Q_o) of the pond outlet is a function of structural and permeability design.

Generally, the runoff inflow (Q_i) should be determined by hydraulic/hyrotechnical professional or engineer. For the efficient settling operation of a pond, the inflow (Q_i) should approximately equal to and/or less than the outflow (Q_o) to allow sufficient settlement time from a low lateral flow passage within the pond chambers. Therefore, the rationale of settlement pond design assumes inflow (Q_i) to equal to outflow (Q_o).

$$Q_o = Q_i \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.3})$$

Where: Q_o = Outflow capacity of containment system

Outflow System

Two options of outflow system (1) Riser Outlet Option; (2) Permeable Rock Berm Outlet Option can be considered as follows:

Riser Outlet Option

A riser outlet is a circular overflow spillway connected to a culvert that passes through the containment berm. The riser pipe outlet should be fabricated from corrugated steel pipe conforming to CSA Standard CAN 5-G401-M81. The outlet pipe passing through the containment berm shall consist of a horizontal pipe welded to a 45° elbow (miter joint) that connects to the riser pipe. The riser outlet system shall be equipped with a trash rack to minimize debris blockage.

Drainage holes at 100 mm diameter hole shall be cut into the base of the riser pipe to form a perforated section near the elbow and a steel mesh tack welded over it as a screen. The portion of the riser pipe and elbow with 100 mm drainage holes and mesh should be backfilled with gravel. The size of the mesh covering the 100 mm holes shall be fine enough to filter the granular material and coarse enough to not impede flow. Similar 100 mm drainage holes shall be provided along the riser pipe immediately above the elevation of the anticipated maximum sediment level.

The design of a riser pipe outlet shall be completed by a hydrotechnical engineer to ensure the system has adequate capacity to discharge design flows without the risk of overtopping. Furthermore, a geotechnical engineer should design the culvert passing through the containment berm if the consequences of berm failure be significant.

Overflow Section System

An overflow section in the sediment containment system is not recommended as the primary means of discharging water due to the concern for erosion of the containment berms. However, an overflow section is considered appropriate as an auxiliary outflow system for use in the event that the primary permeable rock outlet system (described in the following paragraph) system should become blocked. Erosion protection must be designed by an engineer at the outlet and on the berm slope. The overflow section should be dimensioned a minimum width of 1.5 m per 250 m² of pond area.

Permeable Rock Berm Outlet Option

One type of granular berm system is considered appropriate for use to allow seepage flow to exit from a sediment containment system. The following relationship (Jiang et al., 1998) can be used. The seepage outflow through drainage rock (sizes 25 mm to 100 mm diameters) in gabion basket was modeled and can be applied to a granular berm outlet of a sedimentation pond/trap as illustrated in Figure G.3a and G.3b. The parameters and porosity of drainage rocks are shown in Figure G.3c.

$$Q_o = 0.327 e^{1.5S} (g D / T)^{0.5} DW H^{1.5} \quad \dots \text{(Equation G.4)}$$

(Jiang et al, 1998)

Where:

- Q_o = Outflow capacity of containment system (m³/sec)
- g = Acceleration due to gravity = 9.8m/sec²
- D_{50} = Mean diameter of the rock (m); for this equation,
- W = Total width of the barrier (m)
- D = Porosity of the rock barrier
- T = Thickness of the barrier (m)
- H = Hydraulic head (m)
- S = Slope of channel (%) (generally varies from 0% to 7% for highway gradeline profiles)




Figure G.3a Model of Drainage Outlet of Sediment Pond





Figure G.3b Flow (Q) Through an Outlet Barrier of Various Diameter (D) Rocks in Gabion Basket

Source: Fifield, 2001

Mean Diameter (D) (mm)	Rock Density (kg/m ³)	Bulk Density (kg/m ²)	Porosity of Rock Fill (ρ)
25	2648	1593	0.398
43 - 50	2675	1446	0.459
75 - 88	2657	1461	0.450
100	N/A	N/A	N/A

(Source: Jiang et al 1998)

Figure G.3c Parameters and Porosity (r) of Rocks



NOTES:

1. CONTRIBUTING RUNOFF AREA CAN BE LARGER THAN 2.0 ha BUT LESS THAN 40.0 ha.
2. EFFECTIVENESS APPROPRIATE FOR REMOVING MEDIUM TO COARSE SILT PARTICLES SUSPENDED IN RUNOFF.
3. FLOW PATH $L = L1 + L2 + L3$; FLOW WIDTH $W_e = 6$ m MINIMUM
4. PROVIDE 1 TO 2 m (1 TO 2% GRADE) ELEVATION DROP BETWEEN INLET AND OUTLET GRADES.
5. SHAPE OF POND TO CONFORM TO LAND WITH OUTLET AT MINIMUM 5 m SETBACK FROM TOP OF BANK.
6. CONSTRUCTION TO ENSURE SWALES AND BAFFLES ARE TO CHANNEL WATER INTO THE PROPOSED SEDIMENT PONDS.

Figure G.3d Type I Sedimentation Pond Containment Structure (Sediment Basin Plan)




Figure G3.e Type II Containment Structure (Sediment Trap Plan)




Figure G.3f Simplified Sections of Dyke/Outlet

Source: Fifield, 2001

The outflow filter capacity of rock barrier appears not sensitive to channel slopes varying from 0 to 6% (Jiang et al., 1998). The equation (Jiang et al., 1998) can be used for rock checks along channel with properly sized rock for appropriate flow velocity (a nominal gradation can be: top size 250 mm, average size 150 mm, and bottom size 25 mm diameter) to provide stability to flow impact. A typical permeable outlet structure (with rock filter and perforated pipe) for sediment basin/trap is presented in Figure G.4 for practical highway construction.




Figure G.4 Typical Sedimentation Basin/Trap Outlet Permeable Structure with Rock Filter Barrier and Perforated Pipe

Pond Area (SA)

The area of pond (SA) size is based on the outflow capacity (Q_o) of the outlet structure (Figure G.3d and G.3e) and the settling velocity (V_s) target size particle. The outflow capacity (Q_o) is designed based on the runoff inflow quantity (Q_i) (Equation G.3).

$$SA = 1.2 Q_o / V_s \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.5})$$

SA (m²)

- Q_o = Outflow capacity for an outflow structure (m³ /s)
- V_s = Settling velocity of a target particle size (m/s)
- 1.2 = 20% extra capacity allowed for pond size

Pond Configuration

The size and configuration of a containment system is designed to provide sufficient volume and flow path to allow the target soil particles within the sediment laden runoff to settle during the time of impoundment.

Pond configuration entails length (L) and width (We) can be evaluated from pond area (SA).

$$L = SA / We \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.6})$$

$$L^2 = (SA \times (L / We)) \quad \text{multiple both sides by L}$$

$$L = (SA \times (L / We))^{0.5} \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.7})$$

We	=	Width of Pond Chamber (m)
L	=	Length of Pond Chamber (m)
SA	=	Surface Area of Settling Pond (m ²)

- L/We = 10 was recommended for 100% apparent efficiency (A_{eff}) to minimize short-circuiting and maximize settling area (Goldman 1986). However, the exact behaviour of L/We in determining 100% A_{eff} can be subjective. The limitation of spacing normally may not allow a large size pond to be constructed to L/We ratio of 10. The following pragmatic L/We can be considered appropriate for the following structures:

Containment Structure	L/We
Sediment Basin (Type I)	8
Sediment Trap (Type II)	3

Pond Efficiency

The net efficiency (N_{eff}) of the containment system can be assessed based on model suggested (Fifield 2001) utilizing the following concepts.

A_{eff} (%)	: Apparent Efficiency
PEG (%)	: Particle Size Equal to and Greater than a target size soil particle of a substrate soil (Reverse presentation of hydrometer gradation curve)

- A_{eff} is modeled based on pond dimensions (Fifield 2001) and the L/We ratios postulated (Goldman, 1986). The dimension of a pond area to be designed is compared with a dimension of a model pond where 100% A_{eff} can be achieved for a target soil particle size (Figure G.6).
- PEG is a form of presentation of the gradation curve (hydrometer results of the fines portion) of an erodible substrate soil to indicate the percentage of coarser particles (Figure G.5) in the

APPENDIX G

runoff that can be settled out in comparison to a target size soil particle (e.g. medium silt of 0.04 mm diameter). The soil source tested for sedimentation PEG is usually from an erodible soil source of cut slope or borrow material for fills.




Figure G.5 Hydrometer (Particle Size) Gradation Curve to Determine PEG

Source: Fifield, 2001

Apparent Efficiency (A_{eff}) is modeled from the ratio of a 2 dimensional (length and height of flow) areas of a design pond (A_c) in comparison with a model pond (A_{tc}) with an idealized design outfall capacity. A proportionality factor (K) of 0 to 1 is postulated for ratio of realistic pond area of pragmatic sediment capture to the model pond area (A_{tc}) of sediment capture. Within the containment pond, the flow path (L) is sized utilizing a lateral flow velocity (V_a) and a vertical settling velocity (V_s) of a target size soil particle allowing sufficient time for the

particle to settle within the containment system (Fifield 2001). An illustration of the Apparent Efficiency (A_{eff}) model is presented in Figure G.6. The vertical distance of settlement was suggested by some investigator at 0.67 m for minimum height for a pond dyke; however, for design purposes with a factor of safety of 1.8, it is prudent to use 1.2 m for pond dyke to provide a suggested extra freeboard of 0.2 m above the outlet permeable berm.




Figure G.6 Concept of Sedimentation Apparent Efficiency (A_{eff}) for Suspended Particles in Zones of Uniform and Turbulent Flows at Permeable of a Containment System Outlet

Source: Fifield, 2001

APPENDIX G

$$A_{\text{eff}} = (A_c / A_{tc}) \times 100 \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.8})$$

$$A_{\text{eff}} = (2K - K^2) \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.9})$$

$$K = 0.1 (L / W_e) \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.10})$$

$$N_{\text{eff}} = A_{\text{eff}} \times \text{PEG} \quad \dots(\text{Equation G.11})$$

- A_{eff} = Apparent Efficiency (%)
 K = A manipulation factor of 0.1 to 1 based on L/W_e ratio of 0 to 10 (100% A_{eff})
 N_{eff} = Net Efficiency (%)
 PEG = % of Particles Equal to and Greater than a target size particle determined from hydrometer gradation curve (see Figure G.5)
 L = Length of a containment (chamber) system
 W_e = Width of a containment (chamber) system
 = 8 m bottom width is considered appropriate for highway construction application

Incorporating the above relationship, the A_{eff} can be estimated from the following figure (Figure G.7).




Figure G.7 Apparent Effectiveness (A_{eff}) of a Sediment Containment System

Source: Fifield, 2001

Design Example

A simple design example is presented in Appendix H as H.16.