ALBERTA TRANSPORTATION AND ECONOMIC CORRIDORS GEOHAZARD ASSESSMENT PROGRAM PEACE REGION (GRANDE PRAIRIE DISTRICT- NORTH) **2025 INSPECTION**



Site Number	Location	Name	Hwy	km		
PH067	West of Fairview	East Hill Ditch Erosion Section	682:02	13.8-14.1		
Legal Description		UTM Co-ordinates (NAD 83)				
Centre 36-81-5-W6		11 N 6214450 E 398000)		

	Date	PF	CF		Total	
Previous Inspection:	May 7, 2024	12	4	48	48 (Erosion Risk Scale)	
Current Inspection:	May 6, 2025	12	4	48	48 (Erosion Risk Scale)	
Road AADT:	340	340		2024		
Inspected By:	Don Proudfoot, Nicole Wilder (Thurber) Robert Senior, Chris Newm0an, Ken Szmata, (TEC)					
Report Prepared By:	Nicole Wilder, Don Proudfoot (Review)					
Report Attachments:	⊠Photographs	⊠PI	⊠Plans ⊠Maintenance Items			

Primary Site Issue:	rimary Site Issue: Highway North Ditch Erosion – from top of East Hill to Grimm's Cree				
Dimensions:	About 700 m long by <10m wide				
Date of any remediation:	2017 (under Contract 18261) - Installation of erosion protective along a 600 m length of the north ditch, consisting of a combinati 3 types of Riprap and 2 types of Articulated Concrete Block (a mats (which formed part of compound liners). Also, a north hig embankment slump was repaired, and a riprap channel leading the ACB mat was installed.				
Maintenance:	 2007 - Riprap placement in scour bowl near top of hill, a portion of the backslope was flattened, and ditch erosion backfilled. 2013 - After spring runoff as emergency measures, grading and uncompacted pitrun gravel placed for ditch/shoulder erosion. 2014 - Large riprap placement below culvert outlet near top of hill. 2016 (fall) - Ditch erosion was backfilled with some clay obtained from cutting the backslope, then topped with track-packed pitrun gravel. 2023 - A thin ACP overlay was placed through the site as well as a new guardrail in certain locations. 				
Observations:	Description	Worse?			
⊠ Pavement Distress	Cracks were paved over in 2023; however, the dip in the highway was in similar condition as in 2024. There are some cracks now showing through the overlay up to 30 mm wide.	\boxtimes			
⊠ Slope Movement	A dip in the highway adjacent to the sag pond (first observed in 2014) had no noticeable change. Some north hwy. embankment slumping caused by the adjacent north ditch erosion was worse in 2025 and is now up to the guardrail. There was more slumping on the north slope near where the ACB blocks began caving in but no further upslope.	\boxtimes			
⊠ Erosion	There were a few areas showing erosion activity at intermittent locations along the north ditch. The most severe was near the west end at the steep gradient leading to Grimm's Creek where a 75 m length of the ACB mat is now undermined and erosion gullies and tension cracks have formed. More than 2 m of erosion in the centre of the erosion channel but did not				

Client: Alberta Transportation and Economic Corridors File No.: 32123

	retrogress further upslope in 2024. The ditch erosion ~100 m long upstream of the ACB mats installed in 2017 at the top of the east hill has also gotten slightly worse. The was also noticeably more erosion/abrasion on the ACB's. There is erosion in the north ditch east of where the ACB blocks begin that has worsened and is up to 3 m wide and 0.6 m deep and 2.1 m away from the white pavement line on the highway. There was also a scour hole and a steep drop off next to the east erosion.	
⊠ Seepage	Several areas along the ACB mats had water flowing and the erosion that formed upslope (east) of the mats had water ponding and flowing slightly. Water was ponding within the riprap as well. There was a channel with some flowing water running along the side of the mats towards the bridge file culvert inlet.	\boxtimes
☐ Bridge/Culvert		
⊠ Other	Willows that had been observed growing through the ACB mats were cut down prior to the 2024 inspection, as part of the pavement overlay project. Some of the old wooden guardrail posts were also cut off but left in place instead of removing them.	
Instrumentation: None		·

Assessment:

The ditch erosion at this site was remediated in 2017 under Contract 18261 (in conjunction with other erosion repairs at neighbouring sites PH029 and PH066), utilizing various types of surface linings, consisting of: Class 1M riprap for the 3 to 4 percent gradients, Class 2 riprap for the dissipation bowls, Class 40T articulated concrete block (ACB) mats for the 5 to 8 percent gradients, and Class 60T ACB mats for the steepest gradients.

The 2018 spring snowmelt flows over the new ditch linings were extreme, as evidenced by videos taken/shared by a member of TEC ~ April 25, 2018, however everything appeared to be functional at that time. Information from the maintenance contract inspector suggested that some erosion problems had been observed a few days after this in some areas of the surface liners. Our May 16, 2018, annual site inspection showed that some areas contained erosion damage, most of it relatively minor or that which occurred on unprotected areas not included under Contract 18261 work. However, the lower 45 m of the Class 60T mats on the steepest slope (Sta 3+525 to Grimms Creek), sustained major damage consisting of undermining and formation of new gullies under/alongside the mats. Each year since 2018, this major erosion damaged area has steadily regressed further upslope, currently affecting the mats to about Sta 3+597 (75 m above the downslope end). Also, the associated erosion head scarp elongation in this area has similarly retrogressed closer to the highway. A design to repair the eroded areas was prepared but has not been implemented due to lack of available funding. If left unattended, the erosion will continue to lead to further degradation and enlargement and could soon start affecting the highway. A slide related tension crack was now at the quardrail at the time of the recent inspection.

Since installation of the ACB mats in 2017, there has been some abrasion and spalling of blocks with some broken blocks located along the very bottom (center) portion of the ACB mats in the channel, with the thicker 60T mat blocks (~Sta 3+340 to 3+265) in noticeably better condition than the thinner 40T mat blocks further east. It is anticipated that highway salt and/or freeze-thaw conditions may be contributing to the degradation of these ACB mat blocks.

In conjunction with associated warranty work for Contract 18261, in the fall of 2018 the channel leading from the dugout runoff exit to the ACB mats near the top of the east hill was modified to remedy the erosion and undermining that occurred in the spring of 2018. The 1 m dia. half culvert was removed, and a combination of Class 2 (bottom) and Class 1 (flanks) riprap was placed over non-woven geotextile over a re-compacted clay base shaped in a 1 m wide flat-bottomed channel with 3H:1V side slopes.

The dip across the highway near the sag pond (first noticed in 2014 ~Sta 3+200), has not gotten any worse this year, however cracks had appeared in 2020 before the 2023 overlay covered them and three cracks up to 30 mm wide have now shown through the new overlay and suggest that a landslide might be slowly developing at this location, moving southward.

Client: Alberta Transportation and Economic Corridors File No.: 32123

Recommendations:

It is understood that engineering to fix the erosion problem at the west end of the site is scheduled for 2026 and that repair work could be combined with PH029 Grimms Creek and PH077 east of Hines Creek.

Maintenance:

Continue cutting or spraying the willows that grow in the lined channel with broadleaf pesticide to eliminate the trees from growing larger as their presence, if left unchecked, could impede drainage and cause high flows to erode outside the lined channel

Short Term:

Continue monitoring the slide that appears to be developing across the highway near the sag pond.

Remedial repairs of the eroded areas should be carried out, which could consist of:

- 1) Sta 3+500 to Grimms Creek: Completely remove the damaged ACB block mats then fill/compact the eroded bottom with clay then repair with a stepped structure consisting of sheet piles and class 3 or grouted Class 2 riprap.
- 2) Extending the length of the Cl 2 riprap dissipation bowl by at least 15 m (Sta 3+360 to 3+375), where flow scoured the existing Cl 1M riprap and exposed the underlying non-woven geotextile and deepening the channel to accommodate the larger riprap. Then re-arranging the moved CI 1M riprap over the 40 m length of channel downstream of this.
- 3) Repairing the short erosion gully that outlets from the sag pond onto the ACB mats (Sta 3+195) and installing a CI 1 riprap channel with a compacted clay cut-off leading onto the top of the mats.

We recommend that a hydrotechnical engineering consultant be involved during the design phase to assess the hydrotechnical requirements and flows to ensure proper sizing of riprap.

Ballpark Cost ~\$500.000

Long Term:

The newly eroded 120 m section of north ditch at the east end of the site that extends from the beginning of the 40T ACB mats at Sta 2+975 to the 500 mm dia. approach ditch culvert further east will eventually need to be repaired, using either Class 2 riprap, or gabion mattress.

Ballpark Cost (Including Short Term Measures) ~\$200,000

CLOSURE

It is a condition of this letter report that Thurber's performance of its professional services will be subject to the attached Statement for Use and Interpretation of Report.

Don Proudfoot, M.Eng., P.Eng. Partner | Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Nicole Wilder, M.Eng., P.Eng. Associate | Geotechnical Engineer

Client: Alberta Transportation and Economic Corridors File No : 32123 Page 3 of 3



STATEMENT FOR USE AND INTERPRETATION OF REPORT

1. STANDARD OF CARE

This Report has been prepared in a manner consistent with that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the same profession currently practicing under similar circumstances at the same time and in the same or similar locality and in compliance with all applicable laws.

2. COMPLETE REPORT

All documents, records, data and files, whether electronic or otherwise, generated as part of this assignment, including this Statement For Use and Interpretation of Report, are a part of the Report, which is of a summary nature and is not intended to stand alone without reference to the instructions given to Thurber by the Client, communications between Thurber and the Client, and any other reports, proposals or documents prepared by Thurber for the Client relative to the specific site described herein, all of which together constitute the Report.

IN ORDER TO PROPERLY UNDERSTAND THE SUGGESTIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPINIONS EXPRESSED HEREIN, REFERENCE MUST BE MADE TO THE WHOLE OF THE REPORT, AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. THURBER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR USE BY ANY PARTY OF PORTIONS OF THE REPORT WITHOUT REFERENCE TO THE WHOLE OF THE REPORT.

3. BASIS OF REPORT

The Report has been prepared for the specific site, development, design objectives, and purposes that were described to Thurber by the Client. The applicability and reliability of any of the findings, recommendations, suggestions, or opinions expressed in the Report, subject to the limitations provided herein, are only valid to the extent that the Report expressly addresses proposed development, design objectives and purposes, and then only to the extent that there has been no material alteration to or variation from any of the said descriptions provided to Thurber, unless Thurber is specifically requested by the Client to review and revise the Report in light of such alteration or variation.

4. USE OF THE REPORT


The information and opinions expressed in the Report, or any document forming part of the Report, are for the sole benefit of the Client for the development, design objectives, and/or purposes described to Thurber by the Client. **NO OTHER PARTY MAY USE OR RELY ON THE REPORT OR ANY PORTION THEREOF FOR OTHER THAN THE CLIENT'S BENEFIT IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURPOSES DESCRIBED IN THE REPORT.** Any use which a third party makes of the Report is the sole responsibility of such third party and is always subject to this Statement for Use and Interpretation of Report. Thurber accepts no liability or responsibility for damages suffered by any third party resulting from use of the Report for purposes outside the reasonable contemplation of Thurber at the time it was prepared or in any manner unintended by Thurber.


5. INTERPRETATION OF THE REPORT

- a) Nature and Exactness of Soil and Contaminant Description: Classification and identification of soils, rocks, geological units, contaminant materials and quantities have been based on investigations performed in accordance with the standards set out in Paragraph 1. Classification and identification of these factors is inherently judgement-based. Comprehensive sampling and testing programs implemented with the appropriate equipment by experienced personnel may fail to locate some conditions. All investigations utilizing the standards of Paragraph 1 will involve an inherent risk that some conditions will not be detected and all documents or records summarizing such investigations will be based on assumptions of what exists between the actual points sampled. Actual conditions may vary significantly between the points investigated and the Client and all other parties making use of such documents or records with or without our express written consent need to be aware of this risk and the Report is delivered subject to the express condition that such risk is accepted by the Client and such other parties. Some conditions are subject to change over time and those making use of the Report need to be aware of this possibility and understand that the Report only presents the interpreted conditions at the sampled points at the time of sampling. If special concerns exist, or the Client has special considerations or requirements, the Client must disclose them so that additional or special investigations may be undertaken which would not otherwise be within the scope of investigations made for the purposes of the Report.
- b) Reliance on Provided Information: The evaluation and conclusions contained in the Report have been prepared based on conditions in evidence at the time of site inspections and based on information provided to Thurber. Thurber has relied in good faith upon representations, information and instructions provided by the Client and others concerning the site. Accordingly, Thurber does not accept responsibility for any deficiency, misstatement or inaccuracy contained in the Report resulting from misstatements, omissions, misrepresentations, or fraudulent acts of the Client or other parties providing information relied on by Thurber. Thurber is entitled to rely on such representations, information and instructions and is not required to carry out investigations to determine the truth or accuracy of such representations, information and instructions.
- c) **Design Services:** The Report may form part of design and construction documents for information purposes even though it may have been issued prior to final design being completed. Thurber is recommended to be retained to review final design, project plans and related documents prior to construction to confirm that they are consistent with the intent of the Report. Any differences that may exist between the Report's recommendations and the final design need to be reported to Thurber immediately so that Thurber can address potential conflicts.
- d) Construction Services: During construction Thurber should be retained to provide field reviews. Field reviews consist of performing sufficient and timely observations of encountered conditions to confirm and document that the site conditions do not materially differ from those conditions considered in the preparation of the report. Adequate field reviews are necessary for Thurber to provide letters of assurance, in accordance with the requirements of many regulatory authorities.

6. INDEPENDENT JUDGEMENTS OF CLIENT

The information, interpretations and conclusions in the Report are based on Thurber's interpretation of conditions revealed through limited investigation conducted within a defined scope of services. Thurber does not accept responsibility for independent conclusions, interpretations, interpretations and/or decisions of the Client, or other parties who may come into possession of the Report, or any part thereof, which may be based on information contained in the Report. This restriction of liability includes, but is not limited to, decisions made to develop, purchase, or sell land, unless such decisions expressly form part of the stated purpose of the Report as described in Paragraph 3.












Photo 1. Looking east along the beginning of the eroded ACB mat area north of the highway.



Photo 2. Looking north along the edge of the eroded ACB mat area. Note the scarp extension along the north edge of the mats.





Photo 3. Looking northwest along the severely damaged ACB mat area and enlarged slide scarp area.



Photo 4. Looking southeast at the severe erosion through the ACB mats. Note the enlarged erosion and slide developing south of the mats.





Photo 5. Looking southeast along the east edge of the eroded ACB mats. Here the mats have slid down into the deeply eroded channel and water flows along here.



Photo 6. Looking north along the southwest side of the damaged and eroded ACB mats.





Photo 7. Looking north at the slumping creek valley slopes upstream of the culvert.



Photo 8. Looking southeast at the culvert inlet, trash rack and eroded ACB mat area leading to it.





Photo 9. Looking north at the slumping and infill occurring into the channel upstream of the 1524 mm SWSP culvert inlet.



Photo 10. Looking northwest at area within riprap that now has mud and grass covering some of the riprap likely from high runoff flow events and ponding afterwards.





Photo 11. Looking northwest at the ACB Matting that was previously grouted.



Photo 12. Looking southeast at the dip in the highway.





Photo 13. Looking northwest at the ACB Matting performing well and previously had some willows growing out of them which were cut.



Photo 14. Looking west at Grimms Creek valley and dip in the road.





Photo 15. Looking north at where riprap was previously placed, appears to be in good condition.



Photo 16. Looking northwest at the eastmost erosion in the ditch.