PEACE REGION
GRANDE PRAIRIE SOUTH GRMP
SITE INSPECTION FORM


## SUMMARY OF SITE INSTRUMENTATION:

There is no instrumentation at the GP002 site.

LAST READING DATE: N/A

INSPECTED BY:
Chris Gräpel James Lyons Roger Skirrow (AT)
Rocky Wang (AT)
Ed Szmata (AT)
Max Shannon (AT)
Chase Milligan (AT)
Dwayne Lowen (AT MCI)

PRIMARY SITE ISSUE: Slide in embankment fill and foundation due to high groundwater table. Site is a repeat failure at a previous repair site.

APPROXIMATE DIMENSIONS: 30 m wide, 25 m high
DATE OF ANY REMEDIAL ACTION: Repaired in 2004


## SITE INSPECTION FORM

- Seems to be more rounded gravel and cobbles visible at upper part of slide than lower part of slide, seems like lower part may have been graded with native material and upper part was reconstructed with gravel. WP098 is at the lower limit of rounded gravel and cobbles.
- Slope appears to have failed again due to plugged drains resulting in rising water level and saturation of repair fill and/or, shearing through the bedrock ledge
- WP095, water in cracks about 0.5 m depth in cracks, near zone where cracking is perpendicular to crest of slope, a more active zone where water is located (WP096)
- WP097, water at surface in a low area
- Slide repair will likely involve re-excavating the previous repair, assessing if the shear key is intact, reestablishing drainage, with redundant outlets at multiple elevations, and reconstructing the slope with freedraining gravel reinforced with geogrid. Drainage should include filtering so that sediments do not enter the drainage pipes. The work could be done in panels to avoid destabilizing the unsupported steep backscarp, but construction access would have to be considered when assessing panel widths. Estimated cost of repair is in the order of $\$ 600,000$ to $\$ 800,000$.
This report is an instrument of service of Klohn Crippen Berger (KCB). The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Alberta Transportation (Client) for the specific application to the Central Region Geohazard Risk Management Program (Contract No. CON0022166) and it may not be relied upon by any other party without KCB's written consent.
KCB has prepared this report in a manner consistent with the level of care, skill and diligence ordinarily provided by members of the same profession for projects of a similar nature at the time and place the services were rendered. KCB makes no warranty, express or implied.
Use of or reliance upon this instrument of service by the Client is subject to the following conditions:
(i) The report is to be read in full, with sections or parts of the report relied upon in the context of the whole report.
(ii) The observations, findings and conclusions in this report are based on observed factual data and conditions that existed at the time of the work and should not be relied upon to precisely represent conditions at any other time.
(iii) KCB should be consulted regarding the interpretation or application of the findings and recommendations in the report.


Chris Gräpel, M.Eng., P.Eng.
Senior Civil Engineer, Associate



Photo 1 Overview of the GP002 site, indicating Hwy 40, Candle Road. Photo taken July 20, 2021 facing south.


Photo 2 Material exposed at the backscarp of the slide appears more granular (cobbles and gravel) than the material near the toe of the slide area. The lower limit of the more granular material is indicated by Waypoint 98. Photo taken July 20, 2021 facing west.


Photo 3 Exposed silt fencing near the toe of the slide (Waypoint 94). Photo taken July 20, 2021 facing north.


Photo 4 Silt fencing observed near the lower portion of the slide, near the north (left) flank (Waypoint 93). Photo taken July 20, 2021 facing north.


Photo $5 \quad$ Cracking observed near the toe of the slide. Cracks were up to 0.5 m deep and filled with water (Waypoint 95 and 96). The slide appears more active at this area. Photo taken July 20, 2021 facing northwest.


