GEOHAZARD ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

PEACE RIVER / HIGH LEVEL AREA



Government of Alberta ■ Transportation

2011 INSPECTION

THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

Site Number	Location			Name				Hwy	km	
PH63	About 70 km north of Red Earth, AB			Slug Creek				88:12	Approx. 5	
Legal Description				UTM Co-ordinates						
LSD13-17-93-07-W5M				11V N 6327155 E				E 61536	61	
			Date	PF		CF		Tata	.1	
Provious Inspection:		June 4, 2010		12		2 2		Total 24		
Previous Inspection: Current Inspection:		June 7, 2010		12		2		24 20		
Road AADT:		180		10		_		20		
			(Don Proudfoot and Harjeet Panesar, Thurber En						ina)	
Inspected By:		(Neil Kjelland and Ed Szmata, Alberta Transportation)								
Report Attachments:		Photograph		s 🔽 Plar		lans	□ Maintenance Items		ltems	
				,						
Primary Site Issue:			Surficial backslope failures caused by seepage							
Dimensions:			See drawing							
Date of any remediation:			None in the last year Worsened?							
Maintenance: Observations			None in the last year Description							
			Description						No	
Pavement Distress										
Slope Movement		Shallow slumps, on both backslopes didn't look much worse than last year Grass cover was significantly better than before						V		
Erosion										
✓ Seepage			Several wet areas located on both backslopes						•	
Bridge/Culvert Distress										
Conter Conter										
Instrumentation	. .		1					1	I	

Instrumentation:

None

Assessment (Refer to Figure PH63-1):

The slope failures appear to be the result of clay softening plus seepage forces flowing from the shallow ground water table on the valley top towards the backslopes. The wet areas noted on the backscarps may be zones where the material is more permeable and groundwater seeps in greater quantity through them. The excavation required for the cut and the presence of groundwater may have led to softening and swelling of the clay.

Generally the slope conditions appear to be similar to last year.

Recommendations:

Subdrainage measures aiming to increase the stability of the backslopes are recommended. These may consist of gravel drains installed in the east and west backslopes to conduct the water downslope. For this purpose, ditches 1 m wide and 1.5 m deep could be excavated and backfilled with washed gravel enveloped in non-woven geotextile. The surficial 0.3 m of the excavated ditches should be backfilled with clay and topsoil and seeded. The gravel drains should be spaced about 10 m center-to-center across the affected backslope locations. The upslope end of the gravel drain should extend 1.5 m vertically along the affected 4H:1V inclination above the highest level of observed seepage. The water from the gravel drains could be discharged into perforated subdrain pipes installed at least 1.5 m below the ditches at the toes of the backslopes. The subdrain pipes should also be surrounded by washed gravel enveloped in non-woven geotextile with a compacted clay cap of 0.5 m thickness constructed at the ditch bottom level.

After the slope drains have been installed and the slide area has dried, the slide material should be removed (taking care not to disturb the drains) and the lower slope benched and rebuilt to its original line with salvaged, moisture conditioned clay fill. It should then be promptly top soiled and seeded.

Additional measures would include seeding of the backslopes and removal of the loose material dumped on the crest of the west backslope, which should be disposed away from the site.

The ballpark cost of the above measures could be in the order of \$400,000 including engineering if the entire length of the east and west backslopes are treated and drained into a subdrain system installed below the ditches. The cost would be considerably reduced if only the worst sections are addressed and the ground drains are drained into the ditches instead of a subdrain system, however with the possible inconvenience of having to return for additional repairs at a later date.

