ALBERTA TRANSPORTATION GEOHAZARD ASSESSMENT PROGRAM PEACE REGION – HIGH LEVEL 2017 INSPECTION



Site Number	Location	Name	Hwy	km	
PH011-1	North of Doogo River	Whitemud River	742.02	42.4 to 42.8	
PH011-2	North of Peace River	(km 42.6 and km 43.2)	743.02	43.2	
Legal Description		UTM Co-ordinates			
NE36-87-21-W5M	& SW1-88-21-W5M	11U E 487,302	N 6,	272,372	

	Date	PF	CF	Total	
Brovious Inspection:	13-June-2016	km 42.6: 5	4	20	
Frevious inspection.		km 43.2: 9	2	18	
Current Increation	21-June-2017	km 42.6: 5	4	20	
current inspection.		km 43.2: 9	2	18	
Road AADT:	980		Year:	2016	
Increated By	Roger Skirrow, TRANS		Ken Froese, Thurber		
порестей ву.	Ed Szmata, TRANS		Don Proudfoot, Thurber		
Report Attachments:	Photographs	Plans	Maintenance Items		

Primary Site Issue:	Backslope slumping, minor erosion, and slope ravelling.		
Dimensions:	150 m backslope slump at km 42.3 to km 42.45; repaired culvert embankment from km 42.45 to km 42.7; 50 m backslope ravelling at km 43.2		
Date of Remediation:	2010: Culvert replaced and embankment rebuilt at km 42.6.		
Maintenance:	2017: Ditch cleanout at km 43.2		
Observations:	Description	Worsened?	
Pavement Distress	Highway is gravel-surfaced.		
Slope Movement	 KM 42.4 (Backslope south of culvert): Continued west backslope slumping on the south end of the site leading to debris build up at the ditch. Toe roll still 1.2 m high near north part of this slump. KM 42.6 (Culvert – main site): Sideslopes re-constructed in 2010. Tension cracks observed previously have not changed. KM 43.2 (Shale slope north of culvert): Additional shallow sloughing of shale slope. Some additional vegetation growth noticed. 		
✓ Erosion	 KM 42.4: Erosion of southwest ditch reduced from 0.6 m deep to 0.2 m by roadway gravel. Erosion in southeast ditch similar to 2015. KM 42.6: Gully on east sideslope slightly worse, erosion at end of riprap swales near inlet (slightly worse) and outlet (worse) of culvert, and on west sideslope (same). 		

✓ Seepage	Steady flow observed from the subdrain pipe at the culvert outlet; some seepage observed from bolts			
Bridge/Culvert Distress	No distress of the culvert (BF77270) observed.			
C Other				
Instrumentation:				
None.				
Assessment:				
 KM 42.4: The shallow slides located in the highway but remain active and ditch by a 1.2 m high toe roll not did not change significantly be reaching around in the ditch (like) 	ne west backslope, south of the culvert are not prese with signs of fresh movement in 2017. The partial o bted in 2015 was similar in 2016 and 2017. Erosion o between 2014 and 2016 and was reduced somew	ntly affecting the obstruction of the on the east ditch vhat in 2017 by		
 KM 42.6: A sinkhole, which had develope 2010, has not been visible si sideslope in 2014 toward the si appeared to be well groomed a 	ed in the east sideslope during the construction of the ince the 2013 inspection. A tension crack was no outh end of the site and has not changed since then and the grass growth is good except for a few localiz	ne new culvert in oted in the east . The sideslopes zed spots.		
 The runoff from the road has accumulation of gravel washed accumulation of gravel was ob was additional deflection of t plow/grader contact. 	s caused a small gully on the east sideslope about a small gully on the east sideslope about a way from the road. This had deepened to 0.4 m served at the bottom of the gully but was unchanged the guardrail at the gully; however, it appears to	ove culvert and in 2016 and an d in 2017. There be from snow		
Flow from the subdrain at the onoted from a few bolts in corru trace of flow was observed fro 2017.	culvert outlet has been steady since installation as h gated steel pipe at the culvert outlet. For the first tin m the subdrain at the culvert inlet in 2016 but was	nas the seepage ne since 2013, a not observed in		
 Erosion is occurring at the end is about 3 m wide and has de unchanged in 2017. The simi measured in 2016; however, a 	of the east sideslope swale and the culvert inlet rip eepened to 1.3 m at the time of the 2016 inspectio lar erosion feature at the culvert outlet was simila tension crack was noted in 2017 indicating further u	rap. This feature n and appeared ir in size to that undercutting.		
An erosion feature has been developing on the west sideslope over the last few years. There are two scour features forming on either side of a silt fence with the upslope one about 5 m in length (measured parallel to the adjacent silt fence) and 0.9 m deep in 2016. The scour hole on the downslope side of the silt fence is about 2 m and 3 m long and hasn't changed since 2015. There is an erosion gully leading out of the lower hole which was about 0.3 m wide and 0.35 m deep in 2015 (unchanged in 2016). It is possible that the silt fence may be concentrating surface flow in this area leading the formation and growth of these features.				
 KM 43.2: The shale slope appears to maintenance issue. There are good vegetative cover indicate slope had increased in 2016 the developing at the south end. A toe had been recently removed 	have sufficient global stability and the spalling is no signs of movement in the roadway. The subdu is that the sideslope is currently stable. Soil buildup to about 1.5 m high and there were indications of t the time of the 2017 inspection, the accumulation of d and windrowed further north of the slope.	is considered a led scarp with a at the toe of the a shallow scarp of material at the		

Recommendations:

Short-Term/Maintenance:

- KM 42.4, West Ditch: Remove material from the ditch as required to maintain flow. Excavated material should be disposed of outside of the valley. Erosion protection may be required to minimize downcutting by water flow.
- KM 42.4, East Ditch: The erosion appears to have stabilized for the present; however, consideration could be given to regrading the ditch and lining with erosion control product (ECP) and synthetic ditch checks.
- KM 43.2: Continued to remove material from the ditch as required to maintain flow.

Medium-Term:

- KM 42.6, West Sideslope: The erosion features forming should be excavated and replaced with compacted granular material and protected with ECP. It is recommended that the silt fences at this site be removed as they are no longer required and, at this specific location, may be contributing to erosion.
- KM 42.6: Erosion beneath the riprap at the culvert aprons (both inlet and outlet) should be excavated salvaging the existing rock, backfilled with compacted granular, and regraded and the riprap reinstalled with non-woven geotextile. The repairs should be tied into the riprap aprons to prevent similar issues in the future.

Long-Term:

KM 42.4, West Backslope: Flatten the backslope to a shallower slope and incorporate 3 m wide benches at 6 m height intervals with controlled drainage paths at the ends of the benches. Reestablish the highway ditch and line it with TRM and synthetic ditch barriers at intervals.

Ongoing Investigation:

It is recommended that the frequency of GeoHazard inspections be reduced to every other year.









Photo 1 – Looking west at backslope failures at the south end of the site (km 42.4).



Photo 2 – Looking north at east ditch erosion at the south end of the site (km 42.4).







Photo 3 – Looking west toward culvert outlet along west sideslope.



Photo 4 – Looking north at east sideslope above culvert inlet.







Photo 5 – Looking north at along east guardrail.



Photo 6 – Looking northwest at culvert inlet. Note erosion to right of culvert above the riprap (red arrow).







Photo 7 – Looking southeast at culvert outlet. Note erosion below the riprap on the right side of the culvert (red arrow).



Photo 8 – Looking southeast at ravelling shale slope (km 43.2).