1horta 1 Transportation



PEACE REGION – SWAN HILLS GEOHAZARD RISK ASSESSMENT SITE INSPECTION FORM

	SITE NAME		PREVIOUS			
SH 28	Little Smoky Valley	HVVY 744:02	INSPECTION DATE	July 3, 2014		
			June 18, 2013			
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	NAD 83	PREVIOUS RISK ASSE	ESSMENT			
LSD 13-18-76-22 W5M	COORDINATES	PF: 8*	CF: 3	TOTAL: 24		
	N6160721	CURRENT RISK ASSESSMENT				
	E474084	PF: 8*	CF: 3	TOTAL: 24		
		*There are currently no	slope movement instrume	nts installed at this site and it is difficult to		
		estimate rates of slope r	novement			
			novement.			
			INSPECTED BY			
SUMMART OF SITE INSTRUM	ENTATION:		INSPECTED BT:			
No. In structure of the			AMEC, John Dichmond Mincont Livong			
No Instruments			AMEC: John Richmond, Vincent Huang			
			Alberta Transportation	1: Ed Szmata, Roger Skirrow		
			Prepared by:			
LAST READING DATE: N/A			Vincent Huang, E.I.T.			
			Geotechnical Engineer			
			A BIOLOGICAL CONTRACTOR			
			John Richmond, P.Eng. Senior Geotechnical En	gineer		
			Reviewed by: Curtis R. Treen, M.Eng. Senior Associate Geote	, P.Eng. chnical Engineer		
			AMEC Environment an Permit Number: P 045	nd Infrastructure 546		

PRIMARY SITE ISSUES:

The primary issue at this site is a failure on the embankment (4 to 5 m high) and natural slope that has historically been encroaching on the highway. The oval-shaped failure occurred in Fall 2004. Currently, the failure backscarp is 3.0 m from the highway (asphalt) and there appears to have been no change between the 2013 and 2014 inspections (see Photos 1-3).

Based on a discussion with AT staff and local AMEC experience, it is possible that the feature is failed uncontrolled fill material that has been saturated and subsequently failed on the natural grade. The backslope ditch is wet and it is possible there is seepage from the backslope side, under the highway and into the failure area.

There was no evidence of movement activity at the time of the inspection. Grass (vegetation) has grown along the failure mass and scarps (Photo 3).

Note: Refer to previous inspection reports for further details

APPROXIMATE DIMENSIONS:

Oval shaped failure approximately 45 m wide (along highway) and about 35m in length (perpendicular to highway). The headscarp is up to 3 m in height, and currently 3 m from road (5.1 m from the white line). The failure mass is estimated to be approximately 3 m deep at its deepest. The overall embankment slope is at ~19 degrees from horizontal in the area.

DATE OF ANY REMEDIAL ACTION:

None.

ITEM	CONDITION EXISTS			NOTICABLE CHANGE FROM LAST INSPECTION		
	YES	NO		YES	NO	See Comment
PAVEMENT DISTRESS		х			х	
SLOPE MOVEMENT	х		Oval shaped failure of possible saturated, uncontrolled fill.		х	
EROSION		х			х	
SEEPAGE	х		Possible seepage from backslope ditch, under highway and into failure area.		х	

COMMENTS:

The mechanism of failure is not known with certainty. It is possible that the feature is failed uncontrolled fill that has been saturated and failed on the natural grade.

Annual inspection of the site should be continued. A guard rail would be required along the roadway if the headscarp of the failure is within or retrogresses into the Clear Zone of the roadway. If retrogression is observed in the future, stabilization of the failure would be required to preserve the R/W and to mitigate further retrogression and encroachment towards the highway. Stabilization/remediation may include drainage improvements and slope flattening/buttressing. An investigation and possibly instrumentation would be required if remedial works were planned.





NOTES :

1. PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS SHOWN IN BLACK

2. CURRENT OBSERVATIONS SHOWN IN RED

HAZARD ASSESSMENT	DATE: OCTOBER, 2013		
E REGION (SWAN HILLS)	PROJECT No.: EG10030		
	REV. No.:		
E RIVER (SWAN HILLS) REGION	A		
SITE PLAN	FIGURE No.:		
	FIGURE 1		



SH28: Little Smoky Valley – Highway 744:02



Photo 1 (looking north). No indications of pavement distress or deformation were observed.



Photo 2 (looking north). The distance between the edge of the pavement and backscarp was unchanged from June 2013.

1berta -Transportation







Photo 3: (looking south) Backscarp is relatively well vegetated and appeared unchanged from June 2013 inspection.



