						=	Bridge II	nspec	tion							
Bridge File Num	ber	13882 -1	Bridge				Ĭ		n Type			PCS				
Year Built/Year		1957/195	7					Lot N	Lot No.			3				
Supstr			ANCELLOD						Inspector Name			Jon Davies				
Bridge or Town	Name		ITARY TO CROWEOUT CREEK					Inspe	ector C	lass		BR CLS B				
Located Over		2.13.14.2	. WATEF	CROWF CRS-S	OOT CR T	KEE	K,	Assis	Assistant Name							
Located On		561:02 C		91					Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./	Year							Inspe	ection	Date		23-Jan-2012	2			
Navigabil. Cl./Ye								Data	Entry	Ву		Kelsey Robe	erts			
Legal Land Loca	17 TVVI ZTINOL ZO VVTIVI						Data Entry Date			08-Mar-2012						
			31, 31.02.17						Reviewer Name			Garry Rober				
			ransportation (AIT)						ew Da			03-Feb-2012	2			
Contract Main. Area CMA30									Dept. Reviewer Name							
Clear Roadway/Skew 10.1 /										ew Date	9	11-Mar-2012	2			
AADT/Year		700 / 201	0 (A)					Follo	w-Up I	Ву						
Road Classificat	ion	RCU-208	-110													
Detour Length (I	km)	3														
Allowable Load (t): Single CS1					Semi	CS2 52			Train			3 75 -		> On Critical Spans		
Design Loading:		GIRI HS2				G	IRDER				Gir	GIRDER		>Critical Member > Primary Span		
Design Loading.		1102	0			Po	osting li	nform	ation					> i ililiary	Эран	
Required Load F	osting	ı (t)		Single					Semi				Trucl	k Train		
Posted Loading				Single					Semi				Trucl	k Train		
Posted:	Lane	EB			tion (Y/N	۷)	No	ı	In Adva	ance (Y	/N)	No	At Br	ridge (Y/N)	No	
Posted:	Lane	WB		At Junc	tion (Y/N	N)	No			Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No	
Remarks	Not re	equired														
Hazard Marker A	At Brid	ge (Y/N)	Yes													
Remarks																
Other Sign Type	s															
						Ut	ilities (L	Locate	ed at)							
Utility Attachmer	nts															
Telephone	SOUT	TH DITCH						Gas								
Power		RE NORTH							icipal							
Others	Fibre	Optics N.	Ditch					Prob	lem (Y	/N) N	lo					
Remarks																
							Approa			n of C	o m al i	lan				
Horizontal Aligni	ment				L	ast 8	Now 7			n of Co		uon				
Vertical Alignme						7	6	111161	Section	i at Eds	JL					
Roadway Width			8.000			-	U	Mino	or settle	ment a	at \//c	est and East a	annro	ach		
Approach Bump			0.000			7	5	1011110	, seme	mont o		ot and Last o	zppi00	AOI I		
Guardrail (Y/N)			Yes					SW-	Turndo	wn dar	กลดค	. Not attatche	ed			
Guardrail			, , 50			7	5				agc	i tot attatorit	- u.			
Length (m)			12.200			•		Not t	thriebe	am						
Current Standa	ard (Y/	N)	No													
Termination Ty		,	TURND	OWN												
Drainage						8	7									
Approach Road	d Gene	eral Rating]			7	6									

					9	Supers	structure
Bridge Com	ponent					Now	Explanation of Condition
		ns. Le	naths(r	n): 8.5, A-Ide			
Special Feat			<u> </u>	, ,		,	
Special Feat						Х	
(Type:)	<u></u>					, ,,	
Special Feat	ıre				X		
(Type:)	uio						
	ace/Deck Top	Dotai	l Patings				
vvearing our	N (%)	1 (%		2 (%)	3 (%)		Partially snow covered
Last	14 (70)	1 (70)		2 (70)	0 (70)		1 artially show covered
Now					0.0		
Wearing Surf			0.0	0.0	5	N	Minor Cracks in ACP btwn girders
(Material Ty					<u> </u>	IN	INITION CLACKS IN ACF DIWIN GILDERS
(Thickness							
	ection Proble		No				
(Y/N)	ection Problei	П	INO				
Deck Top					N	N	
Deck Rideab	ility				5	5	
Deck Joints					N	N	ACP covered
Bump (Y/N)		No				
Deck Draina	ge				6	6	Draining thru girders
Drains Clog	gged (Y/N)		No				
Curbs/Media	n				5	5	South curb G1 had cracks at top of curb at posts 2 and 4 from West
(Curb Type	: Standard)						
	ercent Area)		20				
Bridge Rail					5	5	1 layer
	LVANIZED S	TEEL	FLEX B	EAM)			Missing splicebolts at lap splices between posts at NW and SE
Bridge Rail P					7	4	
	ST STEEL;PO	OST S	TEEL)				
Bridge Rail/P					7	6	
	LVANIZED)				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
Sidewalk					Х	Х	
Girder Detail	Ratings						
	N (count)	1 (co	unt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	nt)	
Last							
Now	0		0	0)	
Girders					5	4	Narrow width shear crks on 50% of legs
Last Complet	te Inspection I	Date	23-Jan	-2012			50 mm dim popout s. curb girder
Cracking (\	//N)		Yes				G2, G4 and G9 have wide crks/spalls in (1) leg with unsound
Spalling (P	ercent Area)		1				concrete. And narrow shear cracks on same leg.
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N			Yes				3 center girders are new - 2005 G5,6&7
(Number Of 0	Girders : 12)						
Span Alignm	nent Problem	s					
Vertical (Y/			No				
Horizontal			No				
	ure General F	Rating			5	4	
						1	

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments		I			11011	
	Backwall Piles	(Y/N) : Y)				
	Backwall Piles) : 1800)			
	er of Caps/Cor		, ,			Stacked caps
	ts/Caps/Corbel	•	as			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	nt)	
Last	(000111)	(0.000.00)	(***********************************			
Now	0	0	0		0	
	ts/Caps/Corbel			7	6	
	EATED TIMBE					
(Depth(mn						
(Width(mm						
Backwalls/B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7	6	
Greatest F		2.90				
Wingwalls	ieigiit (iii)	2.30		7	5	
vviilgwalls				'	3	
(Total Numb	er of Bearing P	Piles : 8:8)				
Piles Detail I		,				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	nt)	Wide crack in P5 at abutment 1
Last						
Now 0 0 0					0	
Piles				5	4	
Paint/Coatin	Paint/Coating					
Abutment St	ability			8	8	
Scour/Erosio	on .			6	5	Minor erosion at bottom of backwall at D/S
Piers/Bents						
(Type:)						
	er of Caps/Cor					
Bearing Sea	ts/Caps/Corbel					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	nt)	
Last						
Now						
	ts/Caps/Corbel	ls		X	X	
(Type:)						
(Depth(mn						
(Width(mm						
	er of Bearing P	Piles :)				
Piles Detail I		T .				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	nt)	
Last						
Now					1	-
Pier Shaft/Pi				X	X	
Greatest F						
Bracing/Stru	ts/Sheathing			5	5	
Nose Plate				X	Х	
Paint/Coatin	g			X	X	
	escription :)					
(Colour Co						
Pier Stability	<u> </u>			Х	Х	
Clability					``	

			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Scour		X	X					
Debris (Y/N)	No							
Substructure General Rating		5	4					
			Structu	re Usage				
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Channel								
(U/S Direction : N)								
(D/S Direction : S)								
Alignment		7	7					
Bank Stability		8	7					
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				NO HWM VISIBLE				
Drift (Y/N)	No							
Slope Protection		7	4	RipRap incomplete at D/S Backwall				
(Type: RIP RAP; RIP RAP)								
Guidebank/Spurs		X	Х					
Adequacy of Opening		8	7					
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)							
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)							
Channel General Rating		7	4					

			M	aintenance F	Recommend	lations						
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments			Department Co	ommen	its		Target Yea	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL												
SEAL CURBS												
PATCH DECK												
OVERLAY DECK												
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS												
WASHING												
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS												
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS												
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS												
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSI	ON											
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP												
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION												
INSTALL STRUTS												
OTHER ACTION	2012	Replace	SW T.D. end	d and reattach	to post							
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)	ow) 55.6/4	4.4	Sufficiency	Rating (Las	t/Now)	71.5/63.5	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2017	Maint. F	Reqd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N	ow) 55.6/4	4.4	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	t/Now)	71.5/63.5 Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2017	Maint. F	Reqd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 55.6/4	4.4	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department	Es	t. Repl. Yr		Maint. F		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for	ow) 55.6/4	4.4	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 55.6/4	4.4	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 55.6/4	4.4	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	ow) 55.6/4 William Rearc		Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las		Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action			Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	Previous	Department Comments Date		t. Repl. Yr 28-Nov-2008				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	William Rearc		Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Las	Previous	Department Comments Date Assistant's Name						Yes