							:	Bridge Ir	nspec	tion						
Bridge File Num	ber	014	91 -1	Bridge					Form	туре)		PSR			
Year Built/Year		199	1/199	1					Lot No.			3				
Supstr									Inspector Name			Jason Saly				
Bridge or Town	Name				- \^/^==	0000	~ —		Inspe	ector (Class		BR CLS A			
Located Over		1			o, WATE	, WATERCRS-ST			Assistant Name							
Located On	.,	855	:06 C	1 23.597					Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./									Inspection Date			03-Jun-2010				
Navigabil. Cl./Ye		N IVA /	050	00 TMD	40 005	40 \\/41			Data Entry By			Jill Potts				
					2 40 RGE 16 W4M				Data Entry Date			01-Jul-2010				
						- \			Reviewer Name			John O'Brie	n			
Road Authority Alberta Tran				ransporta	ation (All)			Review Date				24-Jun-2010)		
Contract Main. Area CMA21									Dept. Reviewer Name				Chris Black			
Clear Roadway/	Skew	9.2		0 (4)					Dept	. Revi	ew Date	Э	06-Jul-2010			
AADT/Year			/ 200						Follo	w-Up	Ву					
Road Classificat			J-209	-110	110											
Detour Length (FAIIowable Load (1	30 ngle	CS1	28		Semi CS		S2 49	<u> </u>		Train CS		33 62		> On Critical Spans	
Design Loading:			CS75												>Critical Member> Primary Span	
Design Loading.			0373	30			D	osting Ir	oform	ation					> Pilillary	эран
Required Load F	Postino	r (t)			Single		Posting ii			Semi				Truck Train		
Posted Loading) (4)		Single						Semi				Truck Train		
Posted:	Lane		 NB		At Junction		/N) No			In Advance (Y/N)		No		At Bridge (Y/N) No		
Posted:	Lane		SB		At Junct	•		No			Advance (Y/N)		No		At Bridge (Y/N) No	
Remarks	Not re				, tt Gariot		•)	110		111710100 (1714)		110	Dilago (1/14)		110	
Hazard Marker A				Yes												
Remarks	tt Dila	gc (1	/14)	103												
Other Sign Type																
Carlor Cigit Typo							Ut	tilities (L	ocate	ed at)						
Utility Attachmer	nts															
Telephone									Gas							
Power									Municipal							
Others	(SE a	butm	ent el	lect rectif	ier. 11/F	eb/2009	9)		Problem (Y/N) No							
Remarks																
								Approa	ch Ro	ad						
						L	.ast				on of Co	ondi	tion			
Horizontal Aligni	ment						6	6	Curves at top of both hills. Long gradual sag curve through valley Park intersection at both ends.					ugh valley.		
Vertical Alignme	nt						5	5	Park	inters	ection a	at 00'	un enas.			
Roadway Width	(m)			10.500												
Approach Bump							6	6								
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes						Accident damage @ SW, 1 post broken. SE guardrail at 4th			t 4th			
Guardrail							4	4	connection missing splice bolt.							
Length (m)				68.000												
Current Standa	ard (Y/	/N)		Yes												
Termination Ty	уре			Turn Do	own											
Drainage							3	3	Erosion at NW corner 0.5m deep and extends 0.5m under approaslab.				ler approach			
Approach Road	d Gene	eral R	Rating)			5	5								

						Supers	tructure					
Bridge Comp	onent						Explanation of Condition					
(Primary Spar	n : CBC, 3 Sp	ans, Le	ngths	(m): 26-32-20	, A-Ide	nt Nun	nber:)					
Special Feat	ures					_						
Special Featu	ıre					X						
(Type :)												
Special Featu	ıre					X						
(Type:)												
Wearing Surfa	ace/Deck Top	Detail R	Ratings									
	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)							
Last	0	0		0		0						
Now												
Wearing Surfa	ace				6	5	Water proof membrane. Wide random transverse crack. Transverse					
	/pe : ACP - C (ONVEN	TIONA	L CHIP SEA	L COAT	Γ)	and random cracks reflecting through chipseal coat.					
(Thickness(•					,						
	ection Problem	n N	lo									
Deck Top					N	N						
Deck Rideabi	lity				7	7						
Deck Joints					7	7						
Temperatur	re (deg. C)	1	9									
	Type : GLANI	D (WAB	о-ма	UER, TRANS	SFLEX,	ETC))						
(Fixed Type				·		• • •						
Gap Size (n			Gap L	ocation								
67	,		•	abutment - A	1							
89				abutment - A								
Deck Drainag	1 0				7	7	Bridge on grade, drains to South.					
Drains Clog		N	lo									
Curbs/Mediar	, ,				7	7	Vertical medium wide cracks up face of curb @ 300-900mm					
	: Standard)						intervals.					
Scaling (Pe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0										
Bridge Rail	. 3011.71104)	0			8	7						
	LVANIZED ST	FFI BE	SIDGE	TURF)	_ 0							
Bridge Rail Po			.IDGE	· ODL)	8	8						
	LVANIZED PC	ST ST	EEL;G	ALVANIZED		0						
Bridge Rail/Po	osts Coating				7	7						
(Type : GAI												
Sidewalk	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Х	Х						
Jidowaik						^_						
Girder Detail	Ratings											
	N (count)	1 (cour	nt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)						
Last	0	0		0		0						
Now												
Girders					7	7	Vertical cracks in CIP section over piers at SW, NE & NW varying					
Cracking (Y	′/N)	N	lo				from narrow to medium.					
	ercent Area)	0										
(Number Of C												
\												

		,	Supers	tructure
Bridge Component				Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : CBC, 3 Spans, I	Lengths(m): 26-32-20,			
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		8	8	
Bearings		8	8	
Temperature (deg. C)	19			
(Expansion Type :)				
(Fixed Type :)				
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		7	7	
Stains (Percent Area)	0			
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rating		7	7	
- up		-		
				ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments			I	
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8	
(Type : CONCRETE)			1	
Backwalls/Breastwalls		8	8	Backwall has been sealed.
Wingwalls		7	7	
Piles		N	N	Medium vertical cracking.
Paint/Coating		7	7	
Abutment Stability		8	8	Backwall staining.
Scour/Erosion		6	6	Minor erosion.
Piers/Bents				
(Type : PIER-COLUMN)				
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	7	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	5:5)			
Pier Shaft/Piles	,	8	8	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		8	8	
Nose Plate		Х	Х	
Paint/Coating		7	7	
(Colour Description :)				
(Colour Code :)				
Pier Stability		8	8	
-				Wotor
Scour		N	N	Water.
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating		8	8	

		5	Structu	re Usage
				Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : N)				
(D/S Direction : S)				
Alignment		8	8	
Bank Stability			7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.
Drift (Y/N)	Yes			
Slope Protection		8	7	
(Type: RIP RAP; RIP RAP)				
Guidebank/Spurs			X	
Adequacy of Opening			7	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)			
Channel General Rating		8	7	

01491 -1 Bridge

				Ma	intenance R	ecommend	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Y	ear	Inspecto	or Comments			Department Co	mmen	its		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL	20	010	Missing	splice bolt at S	E.								
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL				•									
SEAL CURBS													
PATCH DECK													
SEAL DECK													
OVERLAY DECK													
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS													
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS													
WASHING													
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS													
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIG	ON												
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP													
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION													
OTHER ACTION	20	010	One post 6" x 8" x 7' SW corner.										
OTHER ACTION	20	010	Repair erosion at NW approch slab.										
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 83	3.3/83.3	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/	Now) 7	2.1/70.4	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2051	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 83	3.3/83.3	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/	Now) 7	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2051	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for	ow) 83	3.3/83.3	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	Now) 7	Department	Es	t. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	(we) 83	3.3/83.0	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	Now) 7	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 83	3.3/83.3	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/	Now) 7	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 83	3.3/83.3	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/	Now) 7	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	ow) 83		3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last		Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		berts	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/	Previous /	Department Comments Date		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Garry Ro	berts	3	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	Previous /	Department Comments Date Assistant's Name						Yes