						Bridge	Inspe	ection							
Bridge File Number 01969 -1 Bridge						Form Type					PCS				
Year Built/Year		1977/197	77				Lot	No.	lo.		2				
Supstr	N						Ins	Inspector Name			Brian Pientsch				
Bridge or Town	Name	i					Insp	Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over		RIVER, 8			TO WAB	ASCA	Ass	Assistant Name			Clem Guenette				
Located On		88:12 C1	25.790					Assistant Class							
Water Body CI./Year								Inspection Date			12-Jun-2012				
Navigabil. Cl./Year								Data Entry By			Theresa Lacusta				
Legal Land Location NE SEC 18 TWP 95 RGE 8 W5					8 W5M			Data Entry Date			19-Nov-2012				
Longitude, Latitude -115:16:59, 57:14:39								Reviewer Name			Eric Carcoux				
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					Г)			view Date	-		01-Nov-2012				
Contract Main.	Area	CMA02					· · ·			me	David Morris				
Clear Roadway	/Skew	10.1 /						pt. Revie			11-Jan-2013				
AADT/Year		180 / 201	1 (A)				Foll	low-Up E	By						
Road Classifica	tion	RAU-210)-110												
Detour Length (km)	300													
Allowable Load	(t): Sin	igle CS1	CS1 28 Semi			i CS2 49		r	Frain	CS	3 62		> On Critic >Critical M	cal Spans Iember	
Design Loading	•	HS2	5										> Primary Span		
						Posting	Inforr	nation							
Required Load	Posting	(t)		Single				Semi				Truck Train			
Posted Loading	(t)			Single				Semi				Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	NB		At Junc	tion (Y/N)	No		In Advance (Y/N)		N)	No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No	
Posted:	Lane	SB		At Junc	tion (Y/N)	N) No		In Advance (Y/N)		N)	No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No	
Remarks															
Hazard Marker	At Brid	ge (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	es														
Utility Attachme	nte					Jtilities (Loca	ted at)							
•					l	Jtilities (
Telephone						Utilities (Gas	s							
Telephone Power					l	Jtilities (Gas	s nicipal							
Telephone Power Others						Jtilities (Gas	s	N) No)					
Telephone Power Others							Gas Mur Pro	s nicipal blem (Y/	N) No)					
Telephone Power Others						Approa	Gas Mui Pro	s nicipal blem (Y/			ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks					Las	Approa it Now	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align	ment				Las	Approa st Now 8	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme	ment		40.000		Las	Approa st Now 8	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	ment ent (m)		10.800		Las 8 7	Approa st Now 8 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump	ment ent (m)				Las	Approa st Now 8 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	ment ent (m)		10.800 Yes		Las 8 7 7 7	Appros st Now 8 7 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	ment ent (m)		Yes		Las 8 7	Appros st Now 8 7 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Align Vertical Align Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	ment ent (m)		Yes 30.000		Las 8 7 7 7	Appros st Now 8 7 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stanc	ment ent (m)	N)	Yes 30.000 Yes		Las 8 7 7 7 8 8	Appros st Now 8 7 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	ment ent (m)	N)	Yes 30.000 Yes	D DOWI	Las 8 7 7 7 8 8	Appros st Now 8 7 7	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stanc	ment ent (m)	N)	Yes 30.000 Yes TURNE	DDOW	Las 8 7 7 7 8 8	Approa st Now 8 7 7 7 8 8	Gas Mui Pro ach R Exp	s nicipal blem (Y/ Road planatior	n of Co		ion				

					tructure
Bridge Component					Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : VS, 3 Spans, Le	ngths(n	n): 6.1-6.1-6.1	, A-Ide	nt Num	ıber:)
Special Features					
Special Feature				X	-
(Туре :)			1	1	-
Special Feature				X	
(Туре :)					
Wearing Surface/Deck Top Detai					
N (%) 1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)		_
Last 40	0	0		0	_
Now 78.0					
Wearing Surface			X	X	
(Material Type :)					
(Thickness(mm) :)					
Lateral Connection Problem	No				
(Y/N)				-	
Deck Top			7	7	
Deck Rideability			7	7	
				<u> </u>	
Deck Joints			6	6	
Bump (Y/N)	No				
Deck Drainage			7	7	No drains.
Drains Clogged (Y/N)	No				
Curbs/Median			6	6	
(Curb Type : Standard)					
Scaling (Percent Area)	0				
Bridge Rail			5	5	
(Type : GALVANIZED STEEL	FLEX B	EAM)	1		
Bridge Rail Posts		,	5	5	
(Type : GALVANIZED POST S STEEL)	TEEL;G	ALVANIZED	POST		
Bridge Rail/Posts Coating			5	5	
(Type : GALVANIZED)					
Sidewalk			X	X	
Girder Detail Ratings					
N (count) 1 (cc	ount)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	
Last					
Now					
Girders			5	5	
Last Complete Inspection Date	06-Jun	2012			
Cracking (Y/N)	No				
Spalling (Percent Area)	1				
Lift or Connector Pocket Grouted (Y/N)	Yes				
(Number Of Girders : 27)					
Span Alignment Problems					
Vertical (Y/N)	No				
Horizontal (Y/N)	No				
Superstructure General Rating			5	5	

Alberta Transportation

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Comp	onent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments						
(Extended E	Backwall Piles	(Y/N) : Y)				_
(Extended E	3ackwall Piles	Spacing(mr	n) : 1000)			
(Total Numbe	r of Cans/Cor	hele · 1·1)				
Bearing Seats			nas			-
Dearing Ocale	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (co	unt)	
Last						
Now						Steel capitals
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbel	s		8	8	
	EL;TREATE					
(Depth(mm)		,				
(Width(mm)						
Backwalls/Bre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4	4	Loss of material under North backwall.
Greatest He		2.20				Bottom planks not adequately attached to piles.
Wingwalls					6	
(Total Numbe	r of Bearing P	Piles : 10:10)			_	
Piles Detail R		,				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (co	unt)	
Last						
Now						
Piles				5	5	
Paint/Coating				X	Х	
Abutment Sta	bility			5	5	
Scour/Erosior	1			4	4	Loss of embankment material through North backwall planks due to soil presusre.
Piers/Bents						
	R-COLUMN)					
(Total Numbe		bels : 1:1)				1
Bearing Seats	•		ngs			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (co	unt)	
Last						
Now					_	
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbel	s		8	8	
(Type : STE	EL)					_
(Depth(mm)) : 360)					_
(Width(mm)	: 360)					
(Total Numbe	r of Bearing P	Piles : 18:15)				Steel pipes driven beside piles with voids and rot which were rated 3
Piles Detail R						with repair condition rating 5.
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (co	unt)	
Last						4
Now						4
Pier Shaft/Pile	es			5	5	
Greatest He	eight (m)	3.10				

Alberta Transportation

		_	Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		7	7	
Nose Plate			Х	
Paint/Coating		Х	Х	
(Colour Description :)				
(Colour Code :)				
Pier Stability		6	6	
Scour		5	5	
Debris (Y/N)	No		1	
Substructure General Rating		5	5	
		S		re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : W)				
(D/S Direction : E)				
Alignment		6	6	
Bank Stability		6	6	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		5	5	
(Type : NATURAL)				
Guidebank/Spurs			X	
Adequacy of Opening		7	7	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)			
Channel General Rating		5	5	

					Mainter	nance Re	commend	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Ye	ar	Inspecto	or Comme	ents			Department Co	ommer	nts		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL														
SEAL CURBS														
PATCH DECK														
OVERLAY DECK														
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS														
WASHING														
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS														
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS														
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS														
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	ON													
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP														
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION														
INSTALL STRUTS														
OTHER ACTION	201	12	Repair N	North back	kwall shee	ting								
OTHER ACTION														
OTHER ACTION														
OTHER ACTION														
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	ow) 55.	.6/55.	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ig (Last/N	low) !	58.6/58.6	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2021	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 55.	.6/55.	6	Sufficie (%)	ncy Ratin	ig (Last/N	low) t	58.6/58.6 Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2021	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 55.	.6/55.	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ig (Last/N	low) t	Department	Es	rt. Repl. Yr		Maint. Re Estimated Tota		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	ow) 55.	.6/55.	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ıg (Last/N	low) !	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 55.	.6/55.	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ıg (Last/N	low) t	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 55.	.6/55.	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ıg (Last/N	low) !	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	ow) 55. Brian Pien		6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ig (Last/N		Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name		itsch	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ig (Last/M	Previous	Department Comments Date	e	Lisbeth Medir				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Brian Pien	itsch	6	Sufficie (%)	ency Ratin	ıg (Last/N	Previous	Department Comments Date	e					Yes