## Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

						-	snage ir	spection		_		_		
Bridge File Number 02233 -1 Bridge							Form Type				CON			
Year Built/Year		1955/195	55					Lot No.			2			
Supstr								Inspector	Name		Owen Salav	– Owen Salava		
Bridge or Town	Name							Inspector	Class		BR CLS A			
Located Over			RIVER, 5	5, WATE	RCRS-S	ST_		Assistant	Name					
Located On		14:14 C1	29.315					Assistant	Class					
Water Body Cl.								Inspection	Date		10-Jan-2012	2		
Navigabil. Cl./Y								Data Entr	у Ву		Marcia Chavez			
Legal Land Loc	ation	SE SEC	19 TWP 4	45 RGE	7 W4M			Data Entr			02-Feb-2012	2		
Longitude, Latit	ude	-111:00:2	26, 52:53	:35				Reviewer			Jason Saly			
Road Authority		Alberta T	ransporta	ation (Al	Т)			Review D	ate		27-Jan-2012			
Contract Main.	Area	CMA15								ame	Andrew Smikles			
Clear Roadway	/Skew	8.5 /				Dept. Review Date				23-Feb-201				
AADT/Year		2,560 / 2	010 (A)					Follow-Up		_		_		
Road Classification RAU-211.8-110									_,					
Detour Length (km) 21														
GIRDER			Semi	CS	S2 49		Train		3 63 RDER		> On Critical Spans >Critical Member			
Design Loading	:	HS2	20										> Primary	Span
						Po	osting Ir	formatior						
Required Load Posting (t) Single						Semi				Truck Train				
Posted Loading							Semi				Truc	k Train		
Posted:	Lane				tion (Y/N	۷)	No	In Ad	vance (Y	′/N)	No	At B	ridge (Y/N)	No
Posted:	Lane	WB At Junction (			tion (Y/N	۱)	No	In Ad	vance (Y	′/N)	No	At B	ridge (Y/N)	No
Remarks	Not re	ام میں ا												
	NOLIE	quired.												
		·	Yes											
Hazard Marker Remarks		·	Yes											
Hazard Marker	At Bride	·		nr, 7% gr	ade, hill,	, inf	ormation	n, narrow b	ridge, str	eam	ID			
Hazard Marker Remarks	At Bride	·		ır, 7% gr	ade, hill,			n, narrow b . <b>ocated at</b>	-	eam	ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Typ	At Bride	·	85 km/h			Ut			-	ream	ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks	At Bridg es ents T	ge (Y/N)	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup>			Ut			-	ream	ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Typ Utility Attachme	At Bridg es ents T	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup>			Ut		ocated at	-	ream	ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Typ Utility Attachme Telephone	At Bridg es ents T Under	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE	ilities (L	ocated at		eam	ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others	At Bridg es ents T Under	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE	ilities (L	ocated at Gas Municipal			ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others	At Bridg es ents T Under	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE	ilities (L	ocated at Gas Municipal			ID.			
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power	At Bridg es ents T Under	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE	ilities (L	Gas Municipal Problem (	Y/N) N	lo				
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks	At Bridges	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE	ilities (L ength. Approa	Gas Municipal Problem ( ch Road Explanat	Y/N) N on of Co	lo ondit	tion	ons - 7	percent grad	es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Aligr	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE	ilities (L ength. Approa	Gas Municipal Problem ( ch Road Explanat	Y/N) N on of Co	lo ondit	tion	ons - 7	percent grad	es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/r NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b.	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE III Ie ast	Approat Now 6	Gas Municipal Problem ( ch Road Explanat Curves at	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi	lo ondit	tion		percent grad	es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Typ Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/h	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE III Ie ast	Approat Now 6	Gas Municipal Problem ( ch Road Explanat Curves at	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi	lo ondit	tion both directic		percent grad	es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignma Roadway Width Approach Bump	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/h	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE III le ast 6 6	Approat Now 6 6	Gas Municipal Problem ( ch Road Explanat Curves at	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi es settled	lo ondit ills in d cat	tion both directic using slight b		percent grad	es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Align Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/h NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b. ed to U/S	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE III le ast 6 6	Approat Now 6 6	Gas Municipal Problem ( Ch Road Explanati Curves at Approach	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi es settled	lo ondit ills in d cat	tion both directic using slight b		percent grad	es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Align Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON	85 km/h NE UTILI <sup>-</sup> b. ed to U/S	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE III le ast 6 6 5	Approat Now 6 6 5	Gas Municipal Problem ( Ch Road Explanati Curves at Approach Some blo	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi es settled cking rota	lo ondii lills in d cau ated.	tion both directic using slight b	ump.		es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON West cur uit attache	85 km/h NE UTILI <sup>T</sup> b. ed to U/S 13.100 Yes	TIES-PH	ONE LIN	Ut NE III le ast 6 6 5	Approat Now 6 6 5	Gas Municipal Problem ( Ch Road Explanati Curves at Approach Some blo	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi es settled cking rota	lo ondii lills in d cau ated.	tion both directic using slight b	ump.		es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Aligr Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON West cur uit attache	85 km/h NE UTILI <sup>T</sup> b. ed to U/S 13.100 Yes 60.800 Yes	TIES-PH	ONE LIN curb, fu	Ut NE III le ast 6 6 5	Approat Now 6 6 5	Gas Municipal Problem ( Ch Road Explanati Curves at Approach Some blo	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi es settled cking rota	lo ondii lills in d cau ated.	tion both directic using slight b	ump.		es.
Hazard Marker Remarks Other Sign Type Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Align Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	At Bridg es ents T Under Condu Condu	ge (Y/N) ELEPHON West cur uit attache	85 km/h NE UTILI <sup>T</sup> b. ed to U/S 13.100 Yes 60.800 Yes	of South	ONE LIN curb, fu	Ut NE III le ast 6 6 5	Approat Now 6 6 5	Gas Municipal Problem ( Ch Road Explanati Curves at Approach Some blo	Y/N) N on of Co top of hi es settled cking rota	lo ondii lills in d cau ated.	tion both directic using slight b	ump.		es.

						Supers	tructure				
Bridge Com	ponent						Explanation of Condition				
	an : <b>CT, 3 Span</b>	ns, Leng	gths(m	n): 20.1-27.4-							
Special Feat				/							
Special Feat						Х	"8" Deck Strengthening. Typical all spans.				
(Type:)							N-S bridge on W-E HWY; n abut = A1.				
Special Feature						Х					
(Type:)											
	face/Deck Top	Detail R	Ratings								
	N (%)	1 (%)	tatiligo	2 (%)	3 (%)						
Last	0	0	)	0	́	0	-				
Now	0.0	0.		0.0	-	0.0					
Wearing Sur		0.	0	0.0	4	4	Chipseal on epoxy on concrete deck. Chipseal worn off at both				
<b>U</b>	ype : CONCRE						abutment ends - photo. Epoxy delam in EB, East abut.				
COAT)	ype. CONCRE				IF JEA	L					
(Thickness	(mm) : <b>50</b> )										
Deck Top					N	N					
Deck Rideab	oility				7	7					
<b>D I I I I</b>											
Deck Joints	( )		_		7	7	-				
Temperatu							-				
	n Type : <b>GLAN</b>	d (Silio	CON S	EAL))			-				
(Fixed Typ							-				
Gap Size (	mm)		Gap L	ocation			-				
60				abutment			-				
81			East a	butment							
Deck Draina	ge				4	6	_				
Drains Clo	gged (Y/N)	N	lo								
Curbs/Media	n				5	5	Curbs have been sealed. Typical vertical crack at post base. Minor				
(Curb Type	e : Standard)						plow scrapes.				
Scaling (Pe	ercent Area)	3									
Bridge Rail					7	7					
(Type : <b>GA</b>	LVANIZED ST	EEL B	RIDGE	TUBE)			Dirty.				
Bridge Rail F	Posts				7	7					
(Type : <b>GA</b>	LVANIZED PC	OST ST	EEL;G	ALVANIZED	POST						
ŠŤĖEL)							-				
	Posts Coating				7	7					
	LVANIZED)										
Sidewalk					X	X					
Oinday					-	-					
Girders					5	5	NW girder cracked & scaling from deck drainage. S1G3 narrow shear cracks.				
Diaphragms/	Cross Frame				5	5	Vertical crack in diaphragms.				
<b></b>											
Bearings			_		4	4	Scaling rust at all bearings.				
Temperatu		{					-				
	n Type : <b>ROLLE</b>						A1,2, P1				
	e : PINNED BE						- P2				
	lequate (Y/N)		lo				-				
Functioning	g (Y/N)	N	lo								
Deck Unders	side				5	5					
Stains (Per	rcent Area)	1									

Alberta Transportation

## Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

02233 -1 Bridge

			Supers	tructure
Bridge Component				Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : CT, 3 Spans, Le	engths(m): 20.1-27.4-2	20.1, A·	Ident N	Number: )
Span Alignment Problems	1			
Vertical (Y/N)	No			-
Horizontal (Y/N)	No		_	
Superstructure General Rating		4	4	
			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments	• 			
Bearing Seats		4	4	There is a horiz. ck in the E abut.
Backwalls/Breastwalls		5	5	
Wingwalls		5	5	
Piles		N	N	
Paint/Coating		5	5	
Abutment Stability		8	8	
Scour/Erosion		7	7	
Piers/Bents				
(Type : <b>PIER-SOLID</b> )				
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7	_
(Type : CONCRETE)				
Pier Shaft/Piles		7	7	
Nose Plate		7	7	
Paint/Coating		X	Х	
(Colour Description : )				
(Colour Code : )				
Pier Stability		7	7	
Scour		7	7	
Debris (Y/N)	Yes			Minor debris at the N pier.
Substructure General Rating		4	4	
		s	Structu	re Usage
				Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : W)				Crossing located in long sweeping horizontal curve in the river.
(D/S Direction : E)				
Alignment		6	6	
Bank Stability		7	7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.
Drift (Y/N)	Yes			Minor.
Slope Protection		5	5	Minor erosion gully from runoff beside wingwalls.
(Type : NATURAL; NATURAL	)		-	
Guidebank/Spurs		X	Х	
Adequacy of Opening		8	8	

		S	structur	e Usage						
	Last Now Explanation of Condition									
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)									
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)									
Channel General Rating		5	5							

Ē
÷₽
đ
õ
g
E
Ĕ
Ë
þ
₹

				Maintenance Recommendations	ndations					
Inspector Recommendations	~	Year	Inspector Comment	iments	Department Comments	ments	Та	Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
<b>REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL</b>										
<b>GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL</b>										
RETROFIT BRIDGE RAIL										
SEAL CURBS										
PATCH DECK										
SEAL DECK										
OVERLAY DECK	2	2012	Chipseal deck overlay before	Chipseal deck. Remove all loose polymer overlay beforehand.						
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS										
<b>RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS</b>	2	2012	Replace all ex	Replace all exp bearings and plates.						
WASHING										
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS										
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSION	SION									
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP										
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Now) (%)		44.4/44.4		Sufficiency Rating (Last/Now) (%)	39.9/51.3	Est. Repl. Yr 20	2030	Maint. Reqd. (Y/N)		Yes
Special Comments for Next Inspection					Department Comments					
Maintenance Reviewed By					Date		Estin	Estimated Total	0	
Proposed Long-Term Strategy										
On 3-Year Program (Y/N)										
Proposed Action										
Previous Inspector's Name	Jason Saly	aly		Previou	Previous Assistant's Name					
Next Inspection Date	10-Oct-2013	013		Previou	Previous Inspection Date	23-Jun-2010				
Inspection Cycle (Default) (months)	21									
Comment										
										1

		Maintenance Recommer	ndations				
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments	Department C	Comments	Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL							
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL							
RETROFIT BRIDGE RAIL							
SEAL CURBS							
PATCH DECK							
SEAL DECK							
OVERLAY DECK	2012	Chipseal deck. Remove all loose polymer overlay beforehand.					
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS							
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS	2012	Replace all exp bearings and plates.					
WASHING							
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS							
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSION							
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP							
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION							
OTHER ACTION							
OTHER ACTION							
OTHER ACTION							
OTHER ACTION							
OTHER ACTION							
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Now) (%)	44.4/44	.4 Sufficiency Rating (Last/Now) (%)	39.9/51.3	Est. Repl. Yr 2030	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Special Comments for Next Inspection			Department Comments	2012.05.15 Flood tested glan no leaks. Rehab assessment Requested MCI to patch faile patch when next in the area.	is programmed	for 2013	
Maintenance Reviewed By			Date		Estimated Tota	I 0	
Proposed Long-Term Strategy							
On 3-Year Program (Y/N)							
Proposed Action							

Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

Previous Inspector's Name	Jason Saly	Previous Assistant's Name	
Next Inspection Date	10-Oct-2013	Previous Inspection Date	23-Jun-2010
Inspection Cycle (Default) (months)	21		
Comment			