Bridge File Num						D	ridge Ir	ispect	ion						
2age :e : ta	ber	06572 -1	Bridge			Form Type				PCS					
Year Built/Year		1961/196	51					Lot No	0.		1				
Supstr			,					Inspector Name		Jason Saly					
Bridge or Town I Located Over	Name							Inspe	ctor Cla	ass	BR CLS A				
Localed Over		TRIBUTA 3.14.14.2	2.4, WAT	ERCRS-	ST	,		Assistant Name							
Located On		855:04 C	1 24.773						tant Cla						
Water Body Cl./	Year							<u> </u>	ction D		16-Jun-20	10			
Navigabil. Cl./Ye	ear								Entry B	-	Jill Potts				
Legal Land Loca	ation	SW SEC	19 TWP	37 RGE	15 W4N	Л			Entry D		01-Jul-201				
Longitude, Latitu	ıde	-112:08:5	52, 52:11	:29				Reviewer Name John O'Brien							
Road Authority		Alberta T	ransporta	ation (Al	Т)				Review Date		24-Jun-20	-			
Contract Main. Area CMA21								•		Chris Blac					
Clear Roadway/Skew 10 /								<u> </u>	Review		06-Jul-201	0			
AADT/Year 170 / 2009 (A)								Follov	v-Up B	ý					
Road Classificat	ion	RCU-209	9-110					-							
Detour Length (F	- í	3													
Allowable Load (	(t): Sin	igle CS1 GIRI					S2 49		Т		S3 62 RDER		> On Critical Spans >Critical Member		
Design Loading:		HS2				GIRDER				Gli			> Primary Span		
Soligh Loading.		1132	0			Po	sting Ir	oforma	tion					Opan	
Required Load F	Postina	(t)		Single			oting i		emi			Truc	k Train		
Posted Loading				Single				-	emi			_	k Train		
Posted:	Lane	NB			tion (Y/N	1)	No	In Advance (Y/N)		No		ridge (Y/N)	No		
Posted:	Lane	SB			tion (Y/N			In Advance (Y/N)		No		ridge (Y/N)	No		
Remarks				1		/							<u> </u>		
Hazard Marker A	At Bride	ae (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks		<b>J</b> /	Not to s	tandard	height.										
Other Sign Type	s														
						Uti	ilities (L	ocate	d at)						
Utility Attachmer	nts														
Telephone	West	side of roa	side of road												
		wire along East fenceline.						Gas							
	1 OH			nceline.				Gas Munic	cipal						
Power	1 OH			nceline.				Munic	cipal em (Y/I	N) No					
Power Others	1 OH			nceline.				Munic	-	N) No					
Power Others	1 OH			nceline.			Approa	Munic Proble ch Roa	em (Y/I						
Power Others Remarks				nceline.	L	ast	Now	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla	em (Y/I ad ination	of Condi					
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr	ment			nceline.		ast 7	Now 7	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla	em (Y/I ad ination	of Condi		Approac	h 40m NW.		
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr Vertical Alignme	ment nt		g East fer	nceline.		ast	Now	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r	ad Ination	of Condi	-37-16-4. A		:h 40m NW.		
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	ment nt (m)			nceline.		ast 7 8	Now 7 8	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r	ad Ination	of Condi			h 40m NW.		
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump	ment nt (m)		9 East fer			ast 7	Now 7	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	ment nt (m)		g East fer			<b>ast</b> 7 8 5	Now 7 8 6	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignre Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	ment nt (m)		2 East fer 11.000 Yes			ast 7 8	Now 7 8	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignme Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	ment nt (m)	wire along	East fer 11.000 Yes 39.000			<b>ast</b> 7 8 5	Now 7 8 6	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignme Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa	ment nt (m) ard (Y/	wire along	East fer 11.000 Yes 39.000 Yes			<b>ast</b> 7 8 5	Now 7 8 6	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa Termination Ty	ment nt (m) ard (Y/	wire along	East fer 11.000 Yes 39.000			ast 7 8 5 5	Now 7 8 6 5	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignme Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa	ment nt (m) ard (Y/	wire along	East fer 11.000 Yes 39.000 Yes			<b>ast</b> 7 8 5	Now 7 8 6	Munic Proble ch Roa Expla 1100r Trans	ad mation m South verse /	of Condi n of NE 24 ACP crack	-37-16-4. A	ents.			

						Supers	structure
Bridge Compo	nent				Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span	: HH, 3 Spar	ns, Leng	ths(m	n): 6.1-6.1-6.1	I, A-Ide	nt Nun	nber: )
Special Featur	es						
Special Feature	Э					X	
(Type : )							
Special Feature	Э					X	
(Type : )							
Wearing Surfac	e/Deck Top	Detail Ra	atings				
N	l (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last	0	0		0		0	
Now							_
Wearing Surfac	e				5	6	Long cracks reflect through asphalt but HH girders are unconnected
(Material Type							design.
(Thickness(m							-
Lateral Connec		n No	0				
(Y/N)			0				
Deck Top					N	N	(S1G8 top/edge spall (2) - photo. 15/11/03)
Deck Rideability	у				7	7	
Deck Joints					N	N	(Some girder ends do not have buffer angles. 15/11/03) ACP covered.
Bump (Y/N)		No	0				
Deck Drainage					7	7	-
Drains Clogge	ed (Y/N)	No	0				
Curbs/Median					4	3	3 exposed voids along the East curb at deck drains.
(Curb Type :	Standard)						_
Scaling (Perc	ent Area)	5				_	
Bridge Rail					4	5	Single layer.
(Type : GALV	ANIZED ST	EEL FLI	EX BE	EAM)			Weak splice.
Bridge Rail Pos	sts				7	7	
(Type : GALV STEEL)	ANIZED PC	OST STE	EL;G	ALVANIZED	POST		
Bridge Rail/Pos	sts Coating				7	7	_
(Type : GALV	ANIZED)						
Sidewalk					Х	Х	
Girder Detail Ra							
	I (count)	1 (coun	t)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	G9 on all spans, G4 on span 1. No action needed right now.
Last	0					4	-
Now					4		
Girders					3	3	Wide cracks in both legs in AZ in 4 girders. Spalling with exposed
Last Complete	Inspection D	ate 16	S-Jun-2	2010			rebar G9S2.
Cracking (Y/N	۱)	Ye	es				_
Spalling (Per	cent Area)	1					
Lift or Connector Grouted (Y/N)	or Pocket	Ye	es				_
(Number Of Gir	ders : 36)						
Span Alignme	nt Problems	3					
Vertical (Y/N)		N	0				
Horizontal (Y/	/N)	N	0				
Superstructure		ating			3	3	No action required @ this time for cracked girder legs.

Alberta Transportation

					Subst	ructure				
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Abutments										
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : <b>N</b> )								
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s Spacing(mm	ו) : )							
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Co	rbels : <b>5:5</b> )				3 subcaps. Caps recently replced.				
Bearing Seat	ts/Caps/Corbe	ls Detail Ratir	ngs							
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	_				
Last	0	0	0		0					
Now										
Bearing Seat	ts/Caps/Corbe	ls		5	8					
(Type : )										
(Depth(mm	n) : <b>300</b> )									
(Width(mm	i) : <b>300</b> )									
Backwalls/Br	reastwalls			6	6	Sheathing 75mm x 250mm.				
Greatest H	eight (m)	1.20								
Wingwalls				6	5	1 pile wingwall. Top plank broken @ NW wingwall. No concern at this time.				
(Total Numbe	er of Bearing F	Piles : <b>9:9</b> )				Covered by dirt, backfill.				
Piles Detail F	Ratings									
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	_				
Last	0	0	0		0	_				
Now	9									
Piles				6	6					
Paint/Coating	g			X	X					
Abutment Sta	ability			6	6					
Scour/Erosio	n			6	6	Scour South abutment, not affecting stability, no problem. Erosion channels in both headslopes created by drainage between girders, no problem.				
Piers/Bents										
	R-COLUMN)					3 subcaps. New caps.				
	er of Caps/Co	rbels : <b>5:5</b> )								
	ts/Caps/Corbe	· · · · · ·	าตร							
g	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)					
Last	0				2	1				
Now						1				
	ts/Caps/Corbe	ls		3	8	1				
	EATED TIMB					1				
(Depth(mm		,								
(Width(mm						1				
	er of Bearing F	Piles : 9:9)				2nd pile from East, South pier is spliced with pipe pile. P1P1 vertical				
Piles Detail F						split - photo.				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	1				
Last	0	0	0		0					
Now						1				
Pier Shaft/Pil	les		1	5	5	1				
Greatest H		3.00				1				
Bracing/Strut		0.00		6	6	Bracing 75mm x 300mm. Missing cleat at some struts nailed in. 9 struts 150mm x 200mm.				
Nose Plate				x	X					

			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Paint/Coating		X	X	
(Colour Description : )				
(Colour Code : )				
Pier Stability		6	6	
Scour		N	N	
Debris (Y/N) No				
Substructure General Rating	1	3	5	
		S	Structu	re Usage
			Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : W)				
(D/S Direction : E)				
Alignment		7	7	
Bank Stability		7	7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		5	5	Some erosion on South headslope, no
(Type : NATURAL; NATURAL	)			problem. Low riprap.
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X	
Adequacy of Opening		6	6	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)		-	
Channel General Rating		6	5	

				Maintenance Rec	ennena	auono					
Inspector Recommendations			⁄ear	Inspector Comments		Department Co	mments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLAC	E BRIDGE RAIL										
SEAL CURBS											
PATCH DECK											
OVERLAY DECK											
STRAIGHTEN/RE	PLACE MEMBERS										
WASHING											
SHOTCRETE RE	PAIRS										
CORE TIMBER C	APS/CORBELS										
REPAIR/REPLAC	E TIMBER CAPS										
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSION											
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP											
REMOVE DRIFT	ACCUMULATION										
INSTALL STRUTS	3										
OTHER ACTION		2	2010	Seal cracks in ACP.							
PATCH CURBS/P	ARPETS	2	010	Patch holes in East curb 0.01m3 grou	t						
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
Structural Condi (%)	tion Rating (Last/N	ow) 3	3.3/44.	4 Sufficiency Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 5	56.0/59.6	Est. Repl. Yr	2018	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Special Comments for	No action for girder		atinas								
Next Inspection	<b>.</b>	s with 3 ra	anigo.			Department Comments					
Next Inspection		s with 3 ra				Department Comments Date		E	Estimated Total	0	
Maintenance Revi Proposed Long-Te	ewed By			e adequate until 2024. CB		Comments		E	Estimated Total	0	
Next Inspection Maintenance Revi	ewed By erm Strategy			e adequate until 2024. CB		Comments		E	Estimated Total	0	
Next Inspection Maintenance Revi Proposed Long-Te	ewed By erm Strategy			e adequate until 2024. CB		Comments		E	Estimated Total	0	
Next Inspection Maintenance Revi Proposed Long-Te On 3-Year Progra	ewed By erm Strategy m (Y/N)		hould b		Previous A	Comments			Estimated Total	0	
Next Inspection Maintenance Revi Proposed Long-Te On 3-Year Progra Proposed Action	ewed By erm Strategy m (Y/N) r's Name	Bridge sl	hould b			Comments Date	23-Mar-2007		Estimated Total	0	
Next Inspection Maintenance Revi Proposed Long-Te On 3-Year Progra Proposed Action Previous Inspecto	ewed By erm Strategy m (Y/N) r's Name ate	Bridge sl	hould b			Comments Date			Estimated Total		