							Bridge I	nspection							
Bridge File Num	ber	7040	08 -2	Bridge				Form Type			PCS				
Year Built/Year		2003	3/200	3				Lot No.			4				
Supstr		2406	2D 4 T					Inspector Name			Jon Davies				
Bridge or Town	Name				NI O 14/4	TEDODO		Inspector C	Inspector Class			BR CLS B			
Located Over		+			IN C, WA	ATERCRS	s-IC	Assistant N	Assistant Name						
Located On		LOC	CAL R	OAD				Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./								Inspection Date			24-Nov-201	2			
Navigabil. Cl./Ye		NIE (	050	24 TMD	4 DOE 0	0 \0/4\4		Data Entry	Data Entry By			erts			
Legal Land Loca		+			4 RGE 22	2 VV4IVI		Data Entry	Data Entry Date			03-Jan-2013			
Longitude, Latitu	Jae	1		3, 49:20:		-\		Reviewer Name			Garry Roberts				
Road Authority	Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)  Contract Main. Area UNDEFINED CMA				)		Review Da	Review Date			2				
					Dept. Re			ewer Name Tim Davies							
Clear Roadway/Skew 7.9 /						Dept. Revi	ew Dat	te	08-Jan-2013	3					
AADT/Year 15 / 2003 (E) Road Classification RLU-208G-60						Follow-Up	Ву								
Road Classificat		+	J-208(	J-0U					-						
Detour Length (I		3				Com:			Tuelin				. 0-0:::	aal Onarra	
Allowable Load	(t):  Sir	ngie				Semi			Train		> Or >Cri		> On Criti	n Critical Spans tical Member	
Design Loading:													> Primary Span		
0 0							Posting I	nformation					J	1	
Required Load F	Posting	g (t)			Single			Semi				Truc	k Train		
Posted Loading	(t)				Single			Semi				Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	N	ΝB		At Junct	tion (Y/N)	No	In Adv	ance (`	Y/N)	No			No	
Posted:	Lane	5	SB		At Junct	tion (Y/N)	No	In Adv			No	At Bı	ridge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks	Not R					n loading.	ing. Have assumed CS 750 for SC			SC G	irder.				
Hazard Marker	At Brid	lge (Y	′/N)	No											
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	es														
						Į	Jtilities (	Located at)							
Utility Attachme	nts														
Telephone	20m l	N						Gas							
Power								Municipal							
Others				environm	ent gaug	ge equipm	nent at	Problem (Y	′/N) I	No					
D 1	South	n side	curb.												
Remarks							Δ	ash Darad							
						Las		Explanation	n of C	'ondi	tion				
Horizontal Align	ment						Last Now Explanation of Condition  5 5 T intersection 10m N								
Vertical Alignme					5		Road rises to the S and curve			d curves					
Roadway Width				7.800											
Approach Bump				7.550		8	8								
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes			U								
Guardrail				100		8	8								
Length (m)				4.000			Ü	Not thriebeam							
Current Stand	ard (Y	/N)		No											
Termination T		,		TURNO	UT										
Drainage	, , , ,			. 511140	<u> </u>	8	8								
Approach Road	d Gene	eral R	Ratino	1		5	5 5								
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	, ,,,,		3												

					Supers	structure				
Bridge Com	ponent									
	an : <b>SC, 4 Spa</b> i	ns, Lengths(	m): 10-10-10-1							
Special Feat		<u> </u>				,				
Special Feat					X					
(Type:)										
Special Feat	ure				X					
(Type:)										
	face/Deck Top	Detail Rating	s							
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)						
Last	0	0	0		0					
Now	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					
Wearing Sur				Х	Х					
(Material T										
(Thickness										
	ection Problen	n								
(Y/N)	lection i toblen	"								
Deck Top				8	8					
•										
Deck Rideab	oility			8	8					
Deck Joints				8	8					
Bump (Y/N		No								
Deck Draina	ge			8	8	No Drains				
Drains Clo	gged (Y/N)	No								
Curbs/Media	n			8	7					
(Curb Type	e : Standard)									
Scaling (Pe	ercent Area)	0								
Bridge Rail				8	8					
(Type : <b>FL</b> I	EX BEAM)					Some peeling with surface rust on painted posts.				
Bridge Rail F	Posts			8	8	Some pooling man canade rack on painted pools.				
(Type : GA STEEL)	LVANIZED PO	OST STEEL;	GALVANIZED	POST						
Bridge Rail/F	Posts Coating			6	6					
(Type : PA	INT)									
Sidewalk				Х	X					
Girder Detail										
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)					
Last	0	0	0		0					
Now	0	0	0		0					
Girders				8	7	Small spall on outside edge of the W curb S-4 from the installation.				
Last Comple	te Inspection D	Date 24-Nov	/-2012							
Cracking (	Y/N)	Yes				8 of 32 girders have hairline D-cracks ar pier faces. No signs of corrosion.				
Spalling (P	ercent Area)	1				COTIOSIOII.				
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N		Yes								
(Number Of	Girders : 32)									
Span Alignn	nent Problems	s								
Vertical (Y/	/N)	No								
Horizontal	(Y/N)	No								
	ure General R	Rating		8	7					
		<b>J</b>								

					Subst
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now
Abutments					
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : <b>N</b> )			
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s Spacing(mm	n):)		
(Total Numb	er of Caps/Co	rbels : <b>1:1</b> )			
Bearing Seat	ts/Caps/Corbe	ls Detail Ratir	ngs		
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)
Last	0	0	0		0
Now	0	0	0		0
Bearing Sea	ts/Caps/Corbe	els		8	7
(Type : ST	EEL)				
(Depth(mm	n) : <b>305</b> )				
(Width(mm	n) : <b>305</b> )				
Backwalls/Bi	•			8	7
Greatest H	leight (m)	1.30			
Wingwalls				8	7
	er of Bearing I	Piles : <b>6:6</b> )			
Piles Detail F				1	
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	
Last	0	0	0		0
Now	0	0	0		0
Piles				8	7
Paint/Coating	g			6	7
Abutment St	ahility			8	8
Abutillelit St	ability			0	0
Scour/Erosic	n			8	8
Piers/Bents					
	R-COLUMN)				
	er of Caps/Co				
Bearing Seat	ts/Caps/Corbe				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	
Last	0	0	0		0
Now	0	0	0		0
	ts/Caps/Corbe	els		8	7
(Type : ST					
(Depth(mm					
(Width(mm	•				
	er of Bearing I	Piles : <b>6:6:6</b> )			
Piles Detail F					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	
Last	0	0	0		0
Now	0	0	0		0
Pier Shaft/Pi				8	7
Greatest H		5.30			
Bracing/Stru	ts/Sheathing			X	X
Nee- Di				V	V
Nose Plate				X	X
Paint/Coating	g			6	7
	scription : )				
(Colour Co					
, 2 3.0 3.1 00	,				

			Subst	ructure			
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition			
Pier Stability		8	8				
Scour		8	8				
Debris (Y/N)	(Y/N) Yes			Old piles in channel			
Substructure General Rating			7				
			Structu	re Usage			
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition			
Channel							
(U/S Direction : W)							
(D/S Direction : E)							
Alignment		8	8				
Bank Stability		8	8				
HWM (m below Top of Curb)	3.1			No HWM visible			
Drift (Y/N)	No						
Slope Protection		8	8				
(Type: RIP RAP; RIP RAP)							
Guidebank/Spurs		X	Х				
Adequacy of Opening			9				
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)						
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	2 : NONE)						
Channel General Rating		8	8				

70408 -2 Bridge

			Maintenance I	Recommend	lations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments		Department Commo	ents		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL										
SEAL CURBS										
PATCH DECK										
OVERLAY DECK										
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS										
WASHING										
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS										
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS										
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS										
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIG	N									
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP										
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION										
INSTALL STRUTS										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 88.9/77	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	92.0/75.6	Est. Repl. Yr	2043	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 88.9/77	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2043	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
(%) Special Comments for	ow) 88.9/77	8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		No
Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 88.9/77	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 88.9/77	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 88.9/77	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	ow) 88.9/77	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name		.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	Previous	Department Comments  Date	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Tim Davies	.8	Sufficiency Rating (Las	Previous	Department Comments  Date  Assistant's Name					No