						Bridge	Inspe	ction							
Bridge File Num	ber	71246 -1	Bridge				For	Form Type			PSR				
Year Built/Year		1972/197	<b>'</b> 2				Lot	Lot No.			1				
Supstr	Nama	WOKING	<b>.</b>				- Insp	Inspector Name			Brian Pientsch				
Bridge or Town Located Over	Ivame	SADDLE		DI\/ED	9 1 57		- Insp	Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Localed Over		WATERO		NIVEN,	0.1.57,			Assistant Name							
Located On		731:02 C	1 0.383					Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./	Year							pection Dat		02-Mar-2012					
Navigabil. Cl./Year								a Entry By		Theresa Lacusta					
Legal Land Location SW SEC 22 TWP 76 RGE 6 W6					6 W6M			a Entry Da		02-Apr-2012					
Longitude, Latitude -118:51:13, 55:35:45								/iewer Nam		Eric Carcoux 27-Mar-2012					
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					Τ)										
Contract Main. Area CMA05						Dept. Reviewer Name Dept. Review Date					18-Jun-2012				
Clear Roadway/Skew 8.2 / -15 deg. (LHF)								low-Up By	Dale		10-3011-2012				
AADT/Year		590 / 201					- 1 011	ow-op by							
Road Classifica		RCU-209	9-110												
Detour Length (	1	10						-					0.0%		
Allowable Load	(t): Sin	gle			Semi			Train				> On Crit	cal Spans ⁄lember		
Design Loading:	:	HS2	0										> Primary	Span	
						Posting	Inforn	nation							
Required Load F	osting	(t)		Single				Semi				Truc	k Train		
Posted Loading	(t)			Single				Semi				Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	NB		At Junc	tion (Y/N)	) No		In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N) No		No		
Posted:	Lane	SB		At Junc	tion (Y/N)	) No		In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No		
Remarks															
Hazard Marker	At Brido	ge (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	es														
Liche Au						Utilities	(Loca	ted at)							
Utility Attachme	Т.														
Telephone	West		1	-4 01				Gas Municipal							
Power Others	Overn	ead, north	n abutme	nt - ZL				Problem (Y/N) No							
Remarks							PIO	biem (1/N)	IN	<u> </u>					
Remarks						Appro	ach R	oad							
					La			olanation o	of Co	ndit	tion				
Horizontal Align	ment					7 7		In sag curve, no passing							
Vertical Alignme						6 6		3							
Roadway Width	(m)		9.450												
Approach Bump			0.100			5 5									
Guardrail (Y/N)			Yes												
Guardrail						4 5									
Length (m)			23.000												
Current Stand	ard (Y/	N)	Yes												
Termination T			TURNE	D DOWI	N										
Drainage						4 5									
Approach Road	d Gene	ral Ratin	9			6 6									

Alberta Transportation

						Supers	tructure
Bridge Com	ponent				Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Spa	n : <b>PM, 3 Sp</b> a	ans, Lei	ngths(	m): 16.8-16.8-	·16.8, A	-Ident	Number: )
Special Feat	ures						
Special Feat	ure					X	
(Type:)							
Special Featu	ure					X	
(Type:)							
Wearing Surf	ace/Deck Top	o Detail	Rating	IS.			
	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last							
Now	10.0	C	0.0	0.0	C	0.0	
Wearing Surf	ace				4	7	
		ONVEN	NOIT	AL CHIP SEAI	L COAT	Γ)	
(Thickness						,	
	ection Proble	m	No				
Deck Top					N	N	
Deck Rideab	ility				6	7	
Deck Joints					4	7	
Temperatu	re (dea. C)		-3				
-				AUER, TRANS	SFLFX	FTC))	
(Fixed Type		10 (1171	<b>50</b>	TOLIN, THOUSE	, LL/	,	
Gap Size (r	<u> </u>		Gan	Location			
Cap Cize (i	11111)		Сар	Location			
							-
De els Dreines					1	7	
Deck Drainag			N.I		4	7	
Drains Clog	<del> </del>		No			T	
Curbs/Media					4	N	Deep gauges from grader. Insufficient cover over stirrps is causing rust stains & spalls07-Oct-2009
(Curb Type	·		_		1		Snow covered
	ercent Area)		7			_	
Bridge Rail					7	7	Snow above bottom rail looks o.k.
(Type:)							
Bridge Rail P					7	7	
(Type : GA STEEL)	LVANIZED P	OST ST	ΓEEL;	GALVANIZED	POST		
Bridge Rail/P	osts Coating				7	7	
	LVANIZED)						
Sidewalk					Х	Х	
Girder Detail	Ratings						
	N (count)	1 (cou	unt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last	0		0	0	(33)	1	
Now	0		0	0		<u>.</u> 1	
Girders					3	3	S1, G1 & S2, G1 & G10, & S3,G1.
Cracking (Y	(/NI)		No			<u> </u>	Rust stains on underside at girder ends. (Photo)
	ercent Area)		1				Stains likely from debris left in forms during costing. Sp1G1 is more extensive with a 100mm dia. spallphoto
(Number Of (			1				Oxforto with a Tooliin dia. Spairprioto
LIMMINDEL OF	JIIUCIS . <b>30</b> )						

			Supers	tructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : PM, 3 Spans, Lo	engths(m): 16.8-16.8-1	16.8, A	-Ident I	Number: )
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		Х	Х	
Bearings		7	7	
Temperature (deg. C)	-3			
(Expansion Type : NEOPRENE	STRIP BEARING)			
(Fixed Type : NEOPRENE STR				
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		5	5	50% of G1 in SP1-3 has water stains from leakage between G1 & 2.
Stains (Percent Area) 3				
Span Alignment Problems	10			
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rating		3	3	
Superstructure General Nathing		, J		
			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments				
Bearing Seats/Caps		5	5	50% of N & S caps have light scaling.
(Type : <b>CONCRETE</b> )				
Backwalls/Breastwalls		5	5	
Wingwalls		5	5	Light scaling on 5% of wall.
Piles		5	N	
Paint/Coating		4	7	
Abutment Stability		6	6	
Scour/Erosion		4	7	
Piers/Bents				
(Type : PIER-COLUMN)				
Bearing Seats/Caps		4	7	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	5:5)			The pile bracing on S pier is 1.2m
Pier Shaft/Piles		7	7	lower than N. pier.
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		5	5	
Nose Plate		7	7	
Paint/Coating		4	4	20% top coat has failed.
(Colour Description : BROWN)			'	20% top sout has lands.
(Colour Code : <b>30252</b> )				
Pier Stability		8	8	
-				
Scour		7	7	
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating		4	7	

		S	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : W)				downstream on bridge,
(D/S Direction : E)				
Alignment		7	7	
Bank Stability			5	Steep vertical banks on outside of bends u/s & d/s.
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		8	8	
(Type: RIP RAP; RIP RAP)				
Guidebank/Spurs			X	
Adequacy of Opening			8	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)			
Channel General Rating		4	5	

71246 -1 Bridge

			Main	tenance Rec	ommenda	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments			Department Co	mmen	its		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL												
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL												
SEAL CURBS												
PATCH DECK												
SEAL DECK												
OVERLAY DECK												
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS												
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS												
WASHING												
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS												
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	N											
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP												
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION  Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 38.9/55	.6	Sufficiency Ra	iting (Last/No	ow) 5	1.9/59.8	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2032	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	w) 38.9/55	.6	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ting (Last/No	ow) 5	1.9/59.8  Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2032	Maint. Red	 qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 38.9/55	.6	Sufficiency Ra (%)	iting (Last/No	ow) 5	Department	Es	t. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for	ow) 38.9/55	.6	Sufficiency Ra (%)	iting (Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 38.9/55	.6	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ting (Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 38.9/55	.6	Sufficiency Ra	ting (Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	Stew Hagan	.6	Sufficiency Ra			Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		.6	Sufficiency Ra	F	Previous A	Department Comments  Date						No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name	Stew Hagan	.6	Sufficiency Ra	F	Previous A	Department Comments  Date		Kris Bosters				No