							:	Bridge Ir	rspe	ction						
Bridge File Num	ber	7253	35 N-2	2 Bridge				J	Forr	т Туре			PSR			
Year Built/Year		1991	1/199	1					Lot No.			2				
Supstr		00.4		•					Inspector Name				Garry Roberts			
Bridge or Town	Name		NUM				יםפ-ור			Inspector Class		BR CLS A				
Located Over				IGATION	IC, WAI	ERCRS	S-IC	;	Assistant Name							
Located On	.,	2:08	K1 1	1.728						Assistant Class						
Water Body Cl./									Insp	ection	Date		02-Oct-2011			
Navigabil. Cl./Year Legal Land Location NW SEC 6 TWP 10 RGE 26 W4N				00 10/484			Data Entry By Alyssa Boynton									
				26 VV4IVI	4IVI			Data Entry Date 10-Nov-2011								
				-\				Reviewer Name Tom Carey								
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT))	Review Date				07-Oct-2011							
Contract Main. Area CMA26 Clear Roadway/Skew 12.4 / -42 deg. (LHF)				Dept. Reviewer Name			ame	Tim Davies								
AADT/Year	Skew			ueg. (Lr)10 (A)	ir <i>)</i>					t. Revie)	17-Nov-2011	1		
Road Classificat	ion			4-130					Foll	Follow-Up By						
		1	/ - 412.	- -130					1							
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			Semi	C	S2 49	Train CS		3 62> On C		> On Critic	itical Spans					
Design Loading:			CS75	50											> Primary	
							Po	osting Ir	nform	nation					,	- p
Required Load F	Posting	(t)			Single					Semi				Truc	k Train	
Posted Loading	(t)				Single					Semi	emi			Truc	k Train	
Posted:	Lane	N	ΝB		At Junct	tion (Y/N	Y/N) No			In Adva	n Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bı	idge (Y/N)	No
Posted:	Lane	S	SB		At Junct	tion (Y/N	Y/N)			In Advance (Y/N)			At Bı	idge (Y/N)		
Remarks	Not re	eq.														
Hazard Marker	At Brid	ge (Y	/N)	Yes												
Remarks																
Other Sign Type	s			Only on	e @ SW	-hazard	ma	rker. LN	- 16							
							Ut	ilities (L	ocat	ed at)						
Utility Attachmen	nts T	ELEF	PHON	E UTILIT	TES-PH	ONE LI	ΝE									
Telephone	East	curb i	nt cor	nduit.						;						
Power	3 LINI	E 20	m E 8	k S					Mur	nicipal						
Others									Problem (Y/N) No							
Remarks	Fibre	Optic	: @ S	E and N												
								Approa								
Horizantal Ali	m e := t					L	ast	Now		Explanation of Condition						
Horizontal Align							7	7	Intersection to South, both sides							
Vertical Alignme							6	6								
Roadway Width				13.400												
Approach Bump				.,			6	6								
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes												
Guardrail				00.555			8	7	·							
Length (m)	100	A. 1.		26.000					INOt	thriebe	am					
Current Stand		N)		No	D D C : : :				-							
Termination T	ype			TURNE	D DOWN	N			N 4:	Minor damage to SW concrete slope drain.						
Drainage	Drainage				6	6	Min	or dama	age to S	SVV C	oncrete slope	e drair	1.			
Approach Road	d Gene	ral R	ating	l			6	6								

				Supers	tructure
Bridge Component					Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : CBC, 2 Span	s, Lengths	s(m): 23-23, A			
Special Features					
Special Feature				Х	
(Type:)					
Special Feature				Х	
(Type:)					
Wearing Surface/Deck Top De	etail Ratings	S			
N (%)	(%)	2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last 0	0	0		0	
Now 0.0	0.0	0.0	C	0.0	
Wearing Surface			6	5	Random transverse cracks and longit
(Material Type : ACP)					cracks -sealed Some ravelling @ outside lane
(Thickness(mm): 50)					Come ravelling & outside lane
Lateral Connection Problem (Y/N)	No				
Deck Top	·		N	N	Paved over.
Deck Rideability			7	7	
Deck Joints			3	3	1 misssing plow guard @ north abut and one at South abut.
Temperature (deg. C)	12				Varying thickness of plow guards - 3 to 5 mm - most bent
(Expansion Type : GLAND	(WABO-MA	UER, TRANS	FLEX,	ETC))	Transmig anomicos of pion guardo o to o film micor both
(Fixed Type :)					
Gap Size (mm)	Gap L	_ocation			
83	N. ab	ut			
80	S. abı	ut			
Deck Drainage			7	7	
Drains Clogged (Y/N)	No				
Curbs/Median			7	7	Vertical hairline cracks in curbs
(Curb Type : Standard)					every 1.5 m, some with leaching.
Scaling (Percent Area)	0				
Bridge Rail			8	7	
(Type : BRIDGE TUBE)					
Bridge Rail Posts			8	7	
(Type: GALVANIZED POS	T STEEL;G	ALVANIZED	POST		
ŠŤĖEL)			T -	_	
Bridge Rail/Posts Coating			7	7	
(Type:)					
Sidewalk			X	X	
Girder Detail Ratings					
	(count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)	
Last 0	0	0		0	
Now 0	0	0		0	
Girders			7	7	Shrinkage along CIP diaphragm over pier- not cracked.
Cracking (Y/N)	Yes				Continuous.
Spalling (Percent Area)	0				
(Number Of Girders : 6)					

			Supers	tructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : CBC, 2 Spans,	Lengths(m): 23-23, A-	Ident	Numbe	r:)
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		8	8	
Bearings		8	8	
Temperature (deg. C)	12			
(Expansion Type : REINFORC TEFLON AND STAINLESS ST	ED NEOPRENE BEAR (EEL)	RING V	VITH	
(Fixed Type : REINFORCED N TEFLON AND STAINLESS ST	EOPRENE BEARING	WITH		
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		7	7	MEDIUM WIDTH CRACK @ COLD JOINT @ SOUTH SPAN.
Stains (Percent Area)	0			
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rating		7	7	
			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments			'	
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
Backwalls/Breastwalls		8	8	
Wingwalls		7	7	
Piles		N	N	Buried.
Paint/Coating		6	6	
Abutment Stability		8	8	
Scour/Erosion		8	8	
Piers/Bents				
(Type : PIER-COLUMN)				
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	4)			
Pier Shaft/Piles		8	8	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		Х	Х	
Nose Plate		X	X	
Paint/Coating		6	6	
(Colour Description :)				Galvanized
(Colour Code :)				
Pier Stability		8	8	
Scour		N	7	
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating		8	8	

		S	tructu	re Usage					
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Channel									
(U/S Direction : W)				Integration canal.					
(D/S Direction : E)									
Alignment		8	8						
Bank Stability		8	8						
HWM (m below Top of Curb) 2.0				No visible HWM.					
Drift (Y/N)	No								
Slope Protection		8	8	Low velocities.					
(Type: RIP RAP; RIP RAP)									
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X						
Adequacy of Opening		7	7						
(Fish Compensation Measure 1:	NONE)								
(Fish Compensation Measure 2:	NONE)								
Channel General Rating		8	7						

		Maintenance F	Recommend	lations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Com	ments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS	2011	Replace 2 plow guards.							
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSI	ON								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTTEN ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)	ow) 83.3/83	Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now)	73.5/72.1	Est. Repl. Yr	2061	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N	ow) 83.3/83	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now)	73.5/72.1 Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2061	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for	ow) 83.3/83	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now)	Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Re		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 83.3/83	Sufficiency Rating (Last	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 83.3/83	Sufficiency Rating (Last	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 83.3/83	Sufficiency Rating (Last	t/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	ow) 83.3/83 Garry Roberts	Sufficiency Rating (Last		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		Sufficiency Rating (Last	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Garry Roberts	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date Assistant's Name					Yes