						Bridge Ir	nspection						
Bridge File Num	ber	73389 -1	Bridge			Ŭ	Form Typ			PSR			
Year Built/Year		1984/198	34				Lot No.			4			
Supstr							Inspector Name			Garry Roberts			
Bridge or Town	Name						Inspector Class			BR CLS A			
Located Over		STIMSO ST	N CREEP	K, 2.13.2	7.11, WA	TERCRS-	Assistant Name						
Located On	ocated On 22:10 C1 21.953						Assistant Class						
Water Body CI./Year						Inspection Date		17-Jun-2012					
Navigabil. Cl./Year						Data Entry By		Erin Roberts					
Legal Land Location NW SEC 33 TWP 16 RGB				16 RGE	2 W5M		Data Entry Date			17-Jul-2012			
Longitude, Latitude -114:13:34			34, 50:23	32			Reviewer Name			Joel Wozney			
Road Authority Alberta Transportati				ation (AIT	Г)		Review Date			27-Jun-2012	2		
Contract Main. A	Area	CMA27					Dept. Reviewer Name		Tim Davies				
Clear Roadway/	/Skew	10.1 /					Dept. Re		Э	17-Jul-2012			
AADT/Year		1,140/2	011 (A)				Follow-U	р Ву					
Road Classificat	tion	RAU-209	-110				_						
Detour Length (I	km)	47											
Allowable Load	(t): Sin	igle CS1	28		Semi	CS2 49		Train	CS	3 62		> On Criti	cal Spans
		MOC	200									>Critical N	
Design Loading:	•	MS3				Posting Ir	oformatio	0				> Primary	Span
Required Load F	Postina	(t)		Single		r osung n	Semi				Truc	k Train	
Posted Loading		(1)		Single			Semi				Truck Train Truck Train		
Posted:	Lane	NB			tion (Y/N	) No		In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No
Posted:	Lane	SB			tion (Y/N			Ivance (Y		No		ridge (Y/N)	No
Remarks		quired.				/ 1.10	1		,,				
Hazard Marker			Yes										
Remarks		5- (											
Other Sign Type	es		Curve,	wind gus	ts								
Other Sign Type	es		Curve,	wind gus	ts	Utilities (L	ocated at	:)					
Other Sign Type Utility Attachme		ELEPHO					ocated at	:)					
							<b>-ocated at</b> Gas	:)					
Utility Attachme	nts T	ROW					1						
Utility Attachme Telephone Power	nts T West	ROW					Gas	/ 	lo				
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others	nts T West	ROW				E	Gas Municipa Problem	/ 	lo				
Utility Attachmer Telephone Power Others	nts T West	ROW				E	Gas Municipa Problem ch Road	I (Y/N) N	-				
Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks	nts T West East F	ROW			ONE LIN	E Approa st Now	Gas Municipa Problem ch Road Explanat	I (Y/N) N	-	tion			
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align	nts T West East F	ROW			ONE LIN	E Approa st Now 6 6	Gas Municipa Problem ch Road	I (Y/N) N	-	tion			
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme	nts T West East F	ROW			ONE LIN	E Approa st Now	Gas Municipa Problem ch Road Explanat	I (Y/N) N	-	tion			
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	ment (m)	ROW				E Approa st Now 6 6 6 6	Gas Municipa Problem ch Road Explanat	I (Y/N) N	-	tion			
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump	ment (m)	ROW	NE UTILI <sup>-</sup>			E Approa st Now 6 6	Gas Municipa Problem <b>ch Road</b> <b>Explanat</b> Curve @	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit				
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	ment (m)	ROW				E Approa st Now 6 6 6 6 7 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem Ch Road Explanat Curve @ 2 short sp	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit	tion	bolts	each at SE	
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	ment (m)	ROW	In the second se			E Approa st Now 6 6 6 6	Gas Municipa Problem <b>ch Road</b> <b>Explanat</b> Curve @	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit		bolts	each at SE	
Utility Attachmen Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	ment (m)	ROW	NE UTILI <sup>T</sup> 10.700 Yes 34.200			E Approa st Now 6 6 6 6 7 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem Ch Road Explanat Curve @ 2 short sp	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit		bolts	each at SE	
Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	ment ment (m) p	ROW	VE UTILI <sup>™</sup> 10.700 Yes 34.200 No	ΓIES-PH		E Approa st Now 6 6 6 6 7 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem Ch Road Explanat Curve @ 2 short sp	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit		bolts	each at SE	
Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand Termination T	ment ment (m) p	ROW	VE UTILI <sup>™</sup> 10.700 Yes 34.200 No			E Approa st Now 6 6 6 7 7 4 4	Gas Municipa Problem Ch Road Explanat Curve @ 2 short sp	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit		bolts	each at SE	
Utility Attachme Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	ment ment (m) p	ROW	VE UTILI <sup>™</sup> 10.700 Yes 34.200 No	ΓIES-PH		E Approa st Now 6 6 6 6 7 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem Ch Road Explanat Curve @ 2 short sp	I (Y/N) N ion of Co North.	ondit		bolts	each at SE	

						tructure
Bridge Comp	onent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Spar	n : <b>DBT, 1 Sp</b> a	ans, Length	s(m): 32, A-Ide	nt Nun	nber:)	
Special Feat	ures					
Special Featu	ire				Х	
(Type : )						
Special Featu	ire				Х	
(Type : )						
Wearing Surfa	ace/Deck Top	Detail Ratin	as			
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last	0	0	0		0	
Now	0.0 0.0 0.0			).0		
Wearing Surface				7	7	Grout key cracks have been sealed with epoxy and sand.
	/pe : CONCRE			1	1	Gibut key clacks have been sealed with epoxy and sand.
(Thickness)	-	-16)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ection Problem	NI-				
(Y/N)	ection Problem	n No				
Deck Top				N	N	
Dook rop						
Deck Rideabi	lity			8	8	
Deck Joints				7	7	
Temperatur	e (deg. C)	12			,	
· ·	/		ND (WABO UN		INGER	
OR SLIDIN	G PLATES))			DENT		
(Fixed Type	e:)					
Gap Size (n	nm)	Gap	Location			
70		Sou				
50		Nort				
			-			
						-
Deck Drainag				6	6	No drains.
Drains Clog		No		0	0	
¥		INU		0	0	
Curbs/Mediar				6	6	Abrasion @ SE. Vertical cracks 0.3 mm wide @ A/B's & @ 500 mm spacing on
	: Standard)	-				exterior of curbs.
Scaling (Pe	rcent Area)	0			_	
Bridge Rail				8	8	
	DGE TUBE)					
Bridge Rail Po	osts			8	8	
(Type : GAI STEEL)	VANIZED PC	DST STEEL;	GALVANIZED	POST		
· · · · ·	anto Conting			6	6	
Bridge Rail/Po	Usis Coaling			0	O	
(Type:)						
Sidewalk				X	X	
Girder Detail I	Ratings				-	
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last	0	0	0	· · ·	0	
Now	0	0	0		0	
Girders	U	0		4	4	Typical parrow DPT gracks web 8 top flange 0.4 mm to 0.2 mm wide
	(/NI)	V		4	4	Typical narrow DBT cracks web & top flange 0.1 mm to 0.2 mm wide - no growth from cracks - marked previously at G1 and G3.
Cracking (Y		Yes				Extend into top flange. Girder #5 @ South - worst.
Spalling (Pe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0				
(Number Of G	Firders : <b>8</b> )					

			Supers	tructure				
Bridge Component		Last		Explanation of Condition				
(Primary Span : DBT, 1 Span	s, Lengths(m): 32, /							
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		8	8					
Bearings		8	8					
Temperature (deg. C)	12		-					
(Expansion Type : <b>REINFO</b>		G)		-				
(Fixed Type : <b>REINFORCE</b>		,		-				
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			-				
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			-				
Deck Underside	100	7	7					
Stains (Percent Area)	0		1					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0							
Span Alignment Problems	No							
Vertical (Y/N)				-				
Horizontal (Y/N)	No							
Superstructure General Rati	ing	4	4					
			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Abutments	1	I						
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8					
(Type : CONCRETE)		I						
Backwalls/Breastwalls		8	8					
Wingwalls		8	8					
Piles		N	N	Buried.				
Paint/Coating		X	Х					
Abutment Stability		8	8					
Scour/Erosion		8	8					
Piers/Bents								
(Type : )								
Bearing Seats/Caps		Х	X	1				
(Type : )				1				
(Total Number of Bearing Pile	s:)							
Pier Shaft/Piles	0.)	Х	X					
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		X X	X					
Nose Plate		X	X					
Paint/Coating		Х	Х					
(Colour Description : )								
(Colour Code : )								
Pier Stability		Х	X					
Scour		X	X					
Debris (Y/N)	Yes			1 old pile in channel				
Substructure General Rating	a	8	8					
	5	Ŭ						

			Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : W)				Sharp bend U/S and D/S.
(D/S Direction : E)				
Alignment		5	5	
Bank Stability		5	5	Vertical cut bank @ NW & SE slumping @ NW.
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				No visible HWM.
Drift (Y/N)	Yes			Partial beaver dam 30m U/S
Slope Protection		7	7	
(Type : <b>RIP RAP; RIP RAP</b> )				
Guidebank/Spurs		7	7	
Adequacy of Opening		7	7	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	NONE)			-
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	NONE)			
Channel General Rating		5	5	

		Maintenance	Recommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Comm	ents		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS									
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIO	N								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTUED AOTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%)	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) {	57.6/57.9 E	Est. Repl. Yr	2048	Maint. Rec	ąd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	57.6/57.9 E	Est. Repl. Yr	2048	Maint. Rec	ąd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>	Maint. Rec		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By         Proposed Long-Term Strategy	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nor (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By         Proposed Long-Term Strategy         On 3-Year Program (Y/N)         Proposed Action	w) 66.7/66.	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By         Proposed Long-Term Strategy         On 3-Year Program (Y/N)         Proposed Action         Previous Inspector's Name	Garry Roberts	.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date		<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By         Proposed Long-Term Strategy         On 3-Year Program (Y/N)         Proposed Action         Previous Inspector's Name         Next Inspection Date		.7 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No