							Br	id <u>ao k</u>	nspectio	n							
Bridge File Nur	nber	7364	4 -1	Bridge			DI	lage	Form T			PCS					
Year Built/Year		1	3/1993						Lot No.			2					
Supstr									Inspector Name				Wade Nanninga				
Bridge or Towr	Name	WHI	TECO	DURT					Inspector Class				BR CLS B				
Located Over		BULI	L CR	EEK, 8.1	1.100, W	ATERC	RS-	ST	Assistant Name			Director					
Located On		658:0	02 C1	1 1.508					Assistant Class								
Water Body Cl.	/Year								Inspection Date			17-Jun-20	110				
Navigabil. Cl./Y	′ear								· · ·	ntry By	<u> </u>	Theresa					
Legal Land Loo	cation	NE S	SEC 1	I TWP 5	9 RGE 10	0 W5M			Data Entry Date			19-Jul-20					
Longitude, Latitude -115:21:50, 54:04:39								Reviewer Name			Arnold As		her				
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					)			Review Date			13-Jul-20						
Contract Main. Area CMA12									Reviewe	r Name		-					
Clear Roadway/Skew 10.4 /									Review I		22-Jul-20						
AADT/Year 1,130 / 2009 (			09 (A)					Follow-		Jale	22-Jui-20	10					
Road Classifica	ation	RCU	-208-	-110					- FOIIOW	ор Бу							
Detour Length	(km)	14															
Allowable Loac	· · · ·	ngle	CS1	28 Semi		Semi	CS:	2 49		Tra	in C	S3 62	3 62		> On Critical Spans >Critical Member		
Design Loading	g:		CS75	50		· · · · · ·								> Primary Span			
							Pos	sting I	nformat	ion							
Required Load	Posting	g (t)			Single				Se	mi			Truc	k Train			
Posted Loading	g (t)				Single				Se	Semi			Truc	Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	N	IB	At Junction (Y		ion (Y/N)	1 (	No	In .	In Advance (Y/N)		No	At B	At Bridge (Y/N) No			
Posted:	Lane	S	в	At Junction (Y		ion (Y/N)	1 (	No	In .	In Advance (Y/N)		No	At B	ridge (Y/N)	No		
Remarks	Not re	equire	d.														
Hazard Marker	At Brid	ge (Y/	/N)	Yes													
Remarks																	
Other Sign Typ	es																
							Util	lities (	Located	at)							
Utility Attachme	ents																
Telephone									Gas								
Power	3 wire	es We	st r/w	·.					Munici	oal							
Others									Proble	m (Y/N)	No						
Remarks																	
						1	Α	Approa	ach Road	d							
						La	st	Now	Explan	ation o	f Cond	lition					
Horizontal Alig							8	8	_								
Vertical Alignm	ent						9	9									
									Crew o	nsufficient posts. Crew on site at time of inspection replacing approach gu			guardrail post				
				0.400						from creasote to green. Not upgrading to meet standard.				d.			
Roadway Widt				8.100			0	-	Bump at both ends.								
Approach Bum				V-			8	5									
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes			-	-	crease	d but sti	iii tunct	onal.					
Guardrail				00.000			7	6	-								
Length (m)	1 1 0 1	<b>/   )</b>		22.800					-								
Current Stan		'N)		No					-								
Termination	Гуре			Turned	Down					-							
Drainage							8	4	Windro	ws und	er appr	oach rail.					
Approach Roa	ad Gene	eral R	ating	l		1	8	8									

							tructure
Bridge Com	-				Last		Explanation of Condition
	in : SC, 1 Spa	ans, Le	ngths(r	n): 6, A-Iden	t Numbe	er:)	
Special Feat							
Special Feat	ure					X	
(Type:)							-
Special Feat	ure					X	
(Type : )							
Wearing Sur	ace/Deck Top						
	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)		-
Last							
Now					_		
Wearing Sur					6	5	Cracked in 3 lines, leaking between girders at both sides.
(Material T							-
(Thickness	· · · · ·						-
Lateral Conn (Y/N)	ection Proble	m	Yes				
Deck Top					N	N	
Deck Rideab	ility				8	8	
Deck Joints					N	N	Paved over.
Bump (Y/N	)		No				
Deck Draina	ge				8	7	
Drains Clo	gged (Y/N)						
Curbs/Media	n				4	5	Grader damage to curb on NW corner. Spalled at base of posts,
(Curb Type	: Standard)						West curb - photo.
Scaling (Pe	ercent Area)		1				
Bridge Rail					9	8	
(Type : <b>GA</b>	LVANIZED S	TEEL P	FLEX B	EAM)			
Bridge Rail F	osts			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	8	
(Type : GA STEEL)	LVANIZED P	OST S	TEEL;G	ALVANIZED	POST		
Bridge Rail/F	osts Coating				9	8	
	LVANIZED)						
Sidewalk					X	X	
Girder Detail	Ratings						
	N (count)	1 (co	unt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last							
Now							
Girders					4	5	Hairline longitudinal crack on curb unit fascia approx 200mm above
Last Comple	te Inspection	Date	17-Jun	-2010			bottom. Longitudinal crack with efflorescence staining full length G10 -and G1.
Cracking (	(/N)		Yes				Narrow V typ SC crack on G6 less than 0.5m-no staining.
Spalling (P	ercent Area)		0				
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N			Yes				
(Number Of							
	nent Problem	ns					
Vertical (Y/			No				
Horizontal			No				
1	ure General I				4	5	
						Ť	

					Subst	ructure					
Bridge Comp	onent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Abutments											
(Extended E	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : <b>Y</b> )				_					
(Extended F	3ackwall Piles	Spacing(mm	) : 1500)								
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Cor	bels : <b>11:11</b> )				3 caps (356x305) with a 50,75,100 & a 150mm plank (4 deep/8 total)					
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbel	s Detail Ratin	gs			on top - at each abutment - 22 total pieces.					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	Top 2 planks showing signs of rot. A1 subcap wide horiz. check.					
Last											
Now				_		-					
	s/Caps/Corbel			7	4						
	EATED TIMBE	ER)				_					
(Depth(mm)						_					
(Width(mm)	,										
Backwalls/Bre				4	5	(2 cracked planks on North abutment at bottom, @ c/l & @ NW. 24/Nov/2003)					
Greatest He	eight (m)	3.00				Front sheathing extended and void filled with groutphoto					
Wingwalls					6						
(Total Numbe	er of Bearing P	Piles : <b>7:7</b> )				(Pile 7 North abutment minor split(5mm).					
Piles Detail R	atings	1				A2P6 crushing from strut pressure - photo P1A2 stubbed with H-pile.					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	P1A1 minor split-photo(5mm)					
Last					1	All banding is broken or loose.					
Now	0	0	0		1	_					
Piles				3	3						
Paint/Coating				X	Х						
Abutment Sta	bility			7	7						
Scour/Erosion	า			7	7						
Piers/Bents											
(Type : )											
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Cor	bels : )									
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbel		gs								
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (coi	unt)						
Last						-					
Now						_					
	s/Caps/Corbel	ls		X	X						
(Type : )						-					
(Depth(mm)						-					
(Width(mm)											
	er of Bearing P	Piles : )				-					
Piles Detail R						-					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	-					
Last						-					
Now				V	v						
Pier Shaft/Pile				X	X						
Greatest He	eight (m)										

Alberta Transportation

		Subst	ructure
	Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
	7	7	Struts
	X	Х	
	Х	Х	
			_
		-	
	X	X	
	X	Х	
No			
l	3	3	
	S	tructu	re Usage
	Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
			Bend u/s.
		1	
	7	7	
No			HWM not visible.
	7	7	
	X	X	
	7	7	
· · ·			_
2 : <b>NONE</b> )			
	7	6	
		7         X <tr td=""> <!--</td--><td>LastNow77<math>X</math>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXNo<math></math></td></tr>	LastNow77 $X$ XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXNo $$
LastNow77 $X$ XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXNo $$			

				Μ	laintenance F	Recommend	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Ye	'ear	Inspecto	or Comments			Department Cor	ts		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #	
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL													
SEAL CURBS													
PATCH DECK													
OVERLAY DECK													
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS													
WASHING													
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS													
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS	20	012											
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS													
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSI	ON												
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP													
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION													
INSTALL STRUTS													
OTHER ACTION	20	010	Band sp	olit piles (3)									
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)	low) 38	8.9/44.4	4	Sufficiency (%)	r Rating (Las	/Now) {	51.7/57.3	Est	. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N				(%)	/ Rating (Last	/Now) !	Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)Special Comments for Next Inspection				(%)	v Rating (Las	:/Now)	Department	Est	. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%) Special Comments for				(%)	/ Rating (Las	/Now)	Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N         (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By				(%)	/ Rating (Last	:/Now)	Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N         (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By         Proposed Long-Term Strategy				(%)	v Rating (Last	/Now) 4	Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/NSpecial Comments for Next InspectionMonitor cracked pilMaintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term StrategyOn 3-Year Program (Y/N)		or crack		(%)	v Rating (Las		Department Comments		. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N         Special Comments for Next Inspection       Monitor cracked pil         Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy         On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	es. Monito	or crack		(%)	/ Rating (Last	Previous	Department Comments Date		Repl. Yr 01-Mar-2007				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N         (%)         Special Comments for Next Inspection         Maintenance Reviewed By         Proposed Long-Term Strategy         On 3-Year Program (Y/N)         Proposed Action         Previous Inspector's Name	es. Monito	or crack		(%)	v Rating (Last	Previous	Department Comments Date						Yes