						Bridge	Inspe	ction							
Bridge File Num	ber 7	73976 -1	Bridge				For	m Type	;		PCS				
Year Built/Year		1965/196	5				Lot	No.			3				
Supstr							Ins	Inspector Name Ga			Garry Rober	Garry Roberts			
Bridge or Town							Ins	Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over		STIMSON ST	I CREEK	(, 2.13.27.1	1, WAI	ERCRS	- Ass	sistant N	Vame						
Located On	Į	532:02 C ²	1 22.782				Ass	Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./	Year						Ins	Inspection Date 16-May-2010							
Navigabil. Cl./Ye	ar							Data Entry By Alyssa Boynton							
Legal Land Loca	tion I	NW SEC	20 TWP	15 RGE 2	W5M			Data Entry Date 17-Aug-2010							
Longitude, Latitu	Longitude, Latitude -114:15:10, 50:16:48							Reviewer Name Ash Morjaria							
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)										10-Jun-2010					
Contract Main. Area CMA27								ewer N		Lorenz Bohr					
Clear Roadway/	Skew 7	7.3 / -15 c	deg. (LHF	-)					ew Date	e	18-Aug-2010)			
AADT/Year		110 / 200	9 (A)				Fol	low-Up	Ву						
Road Classificat	ion I	RCU-208	-110												
Detour Length (F	(m) t	50													
Allowable Load (t): Sing	le CS1 GIRE		S		CS2 49 GIRDER			Train		3 65 RDER		> On Critic >Critical M	al Spans ember	
Design Loading:		HS20)										> Primary S	Span	
De avvine del e e d E		(1)		0. 1		Posting	Infor					-	· - ·		
Required Load F		(1)		Single				Semi					k Train		
Posted Loading		ED		Single	- ()//NI)	Na		Semi		Ne		k Train	Ne		
Posted: Posted:	Lane Lane	EB WB		At Junction		No No		In Advance (Y/N) In Advance (Y/N)		No No		ridge (Y/N) ridge (Y/N)	No No		
Remarks	Not rec			AL JUNCTION	1 (1/IN)			III Auv	ance (1	/IN)	INO	AL D	liuge (1/14)	INU	
			Vaa												
Hazard Marker A Remarks	AL DHUY		Yes	Ale		arkers a	t toyo	o goto (20m\//						
Other Sign Type				AIS	111111	arreis a	i iexa	s yale t	JOHIV						
Other Olgh Type	3					Jtilities		ted at)							
Utility Attachmer	nts GA	S UTILIT	IES-GAS	SLINE: TE						: PO	WER UTILIT	IES-P	OWER LINE		
Telephone	I	in south					Ga			0m S					
Power								Municipal							
Others	Phone	in condui	t on S cu	ırb				Problem (Y/N) No							
Remarks	PVC co	onduit att' on S curb							,						
						Appro	ach R	Road							
					Las				on of C	ondit	ion				
Horizontal Align	ment				5	6		Curve 150m West.							
Vertical Alignme	nt				7	7	le>	Texas gate 60m W							
Roadway Width	(m)		7.600				Gra	Gravel road							
Approach Bump					5	5									
Guardrail (Y/N)			Yes				Mir	or dam	age to l	NE T	.D. end				
Guardrail					7	5									
Length (m)			12.200				Not	t thriebe	eam						
Current Standa	ard (Y/N	I)	No												
Termination Ty	/pe		TURN D		S										
Drainage					5	7									
Approach Road	l Gener	al Rating	J		5	5									

					Supers	structure			
Bridge Com	ponent				1	Explanation of Condition			
	an : HC, 3 Spa	ns, Lengths(m): 6.1-6.1-6.1	, A-Ide	nt Nun	nber:)			
Special Feat	tures								
Special Feat					X				
(Type :)									
Special Feat	ure				X	-			
(Type :)					7.				
	face/Deck Top	Detail Rating	e						
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)					
Last	0	0	0		0	-			
Now	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	-			
Wearing Sur		0.0	0.0	X	X				
(Material T				~	~				
(Thickness						-			
	ection Problen	n Na				-			
(Y/N)	lection Problem	n No							
Deck Top				6	6	Moderate gravel abrasions			
					Ľ				
Deck Rideab	oility			7	7				
Deck Joints				7	7	Buffer angles			
Bump (Y/N	•	No			1				
Deck Drainag	ge			7	7	-			
Drains Clog	gged (Y/N)	No							
Curbs/Media	n			5	5	MINOR SPALLED - NW CORNER			
(Curb Type	e : Standard)					SPALL ON W END, CENTRE SPAN, S CURB			
Scaling (Pe	ercent Area)	0							
Bridge Rail				7	6	Double layer flexbeam.			
(Type : FLI	EX BEAM)								
Bridge Rail F	Posts			6	6	_			
	ST STEEL;PC	OST STEEL)				PAINT FLAKING-20% RUST ON POSTS			
	Posts Coating	,		4 4					
(Type : PA									
Sidewalk	,			Х	Х				
Girder Detail	Ratings								
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (count)					
Last	0			1	0				
Now	0	0	0	1	1				
Girders				3	3				
Last Comple	te Inspection D	Date 16-Ma	y-2010						
Cracking (Y/N)	Yes							
Spalling (P	ercent Area)	0							
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N		Yes							
(Number Of	Girders : 27)								
	nent Problem	s							
Vertical (Y/		No				At W span, S curb W span misaligned by			
Horizontal		No				40 mm no problems			
1	ure General R			3	3				

Alberta Transportation

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments		I				
	Backwall Piles	; (Y/N) : Y)				
	Backwall Piles) : 1200)			
	er of Caps/Cor		//			
	s/Caps/Corbe	· · · · ·	as			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	
Last	0	0	0		0	-
Now	0	0	0	_	0	
	s/Caps/Corbe	-		7	7	-
	EATED TIMBI			•		
(Depth(mm						-
(Width(mm)						-
Backwalls/Br	· · · ·			7	7	
		4.00		1	1	
Greatest He	eight (m)	1.80		-	-	
Wingwalls				7	7	
(Total Numbe	er of Bearing F	Piles ; 6:6)				
Piles Detail R						1
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	Piles split but all banded.
Last	0	0	0		0	
Now	0	0	0		0	
Piles	0	0	0	5	6	-
				X	X	
Faint/Coating	Paint/Coating				^	
Abutment Sta	ability			7	7	
					-	
Scour/Erosion	n			7	7	
Piers/Bents						
	R-COLUMN)					
	er of Caps/Co	bels : 3:3)				
	s/Caps/Corbe		as			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	
Last	0	0	0		0	
Now	0	0	0		0	1
	s/Caps/Corbe	1		7	6	1
	EATED TIMBI					1
(Depth(mm		-/				1
(Width(mm)						1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	er of Bearing F	Piles : 6:6)				
Piles Detail R		100.0.0)				
r iles Detail IX	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	(int)	
Last		0	0		0	CHECKS IN ALL PILES ALL BANDED
Now	0	0	0		0	-
Pier Shaft/Pil	1	U	0	5	5	-
		2.55		5	5	
Greatest He		2.55		E	6	
Bracing/Strut	soneathing			6	ю	
Nose Plate				X	Х	
Paint/Coating				X	Х	
(Colour Des	scription :)					
(Colour Co	de:)					

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure					
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Pier Stability		7	6						
Scour			7						
Debris (Y/N) No				Sp. 3 has large drift pile					
Substructure General Rating		5 5							
		S	Structu	re Usage					
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Channel									
(U/S Direction : S)				Flow to U/S follows road before					
(D/S Direction : N)				turning 90 degress in the bridge through several meanders.					
Alignment		5	5	Beaver dams 10m and 70m U/S					
Bank Stability		4	4	Cut banks at both U/S and D/S where undermining at elbows is expected.					
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible					
Drift (Y/N)	No			Large drift pile under Sp. 1					
Slope Protection		5	5	Some rock at top of headslopes					
(Type:)									
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X						
Adequacy of Opening			6						
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)								
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)								
Channel General Rating		4	4						

			Maintenance F	Recommend	lations				
Inspector Recommendations	Year	In	spector Comments		Department Com	ments	Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS									
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	ON								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION	2010	Ur	nder Sp.3						
INSTALL STRUTS									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	ow) 44.4	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) {	57.2/57.0	Est. Repl. Yr 2020	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 44.4,	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) t	57.2/57.0 Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr 2020	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 44.4,	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) t	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr 2020			Yes
(%) Special Comments for	ow) 44.4,	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) t	Department	Est. Repl. Yr 2020	Maint. Red		Yes
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 44.4,	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) t	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr 2020			Yes
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 44.4,	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) t	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr 2020			Yes
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	Tim Davies	44.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr 2020			Yes
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Tim Davies		Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date				Yes
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date			Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr 2020			Yes