								Bridge I	nspect	tion							
Bridge File Num	ber	7398	2 -2	Bridge						Туре			SG				
Year Built/Year		2009	/200	9					Lot N	lo.			2				
Supstr									Inspe	Inspector Name			Brian Pientsch				
Bridge or Town I	Name								Inspe	ector C	Class		BR CLS A				
Located Over		HENI WAT	DER ERC	SON CR RS-ST	EEK, 8.	10.97.8	3,		Assistant Name								
Located On				1 4.581					Assistant Class								
Water Body Cl./	Year								Inspection Date			28-Feb-2012					
Navigabil. Cl./Ye									Data	Data Entry By			Theresa Lacusta				
Legal Land Loca	ition	NE S	EC 2	29 TWP	79 RGE	12 W6	М		Data	Data Entry Date			02-Apr-2012				
Longitude, Latitude -119:49:47, 55:52:35								Reviewer Name			Eric Carcou						
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					T)			Review Date			27-Mar-201	2					
Contract Main. Area CMA05									Dept. Reviewer Name			David Morris					
Clear Roadway/	Skew	10 /							· ·		ew Date	)	29-Aug-201	2			
AADT/Year		600 /	201	1 (A)					Follo	w-Up	Ву						
Road Classificat	ion	RCU	-210	-110													
Detour Length (F	(m)	32															
Allowable Load (		gle (	CS1	28	Semi		С	S2 49			Train	CS	3 62		> On Critical Spans >Critical Member		
															> Primary Span		
Design Loading:		(	CL80	00			Б	osting l		ation					> Primary	' Span	
Required Load F	Postina	(†)			Single		P	osting i		Semi				Truc	k Train		
Posted Loading		(1)		Single						Semi				Truck Train Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	S	R		At Junc	tion (V	/NI)	No			u dvance (Y/N)		No		ridge (Y/N)	No	
Posted:	Lane	N			At Junc			No			ance (Y		No		ridge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks	Lane		<u> </u>				(11)			n Auvo		/11)					
Hazard Marker A	\t Brid	70 (V/	NI)	Yes													
Remarks		ge (17)	11)		I SE cor	ners											
Other Sign Type	s																
outor olgh Type	0						U	tilities (I	Locate	ed at)							
Utility Attachmer	nts																
Telephone	West	r/w							Gas								
Power		wire	Wes	t r/w						cipal							
Others									Problem (Y/N) No								
Remarks											,						
								Approa	ach Ro	ad							
							Last	Now	Expla	anatio	on of Co	ondi	tion				
Horizontal Align	ment						6	6		In sag curve. Signed for 80kph							
Vertical Alignme							6	6	Signe		оокрп						
Roadway Width	(m)			10.000													
Approach Bump							8	8	<u> </u>								
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes					4								
Guardrail							8	8	_								
Length (m)				30.400					_								
Current Standa	ard (Y/	N)		No					_								
Termination Ty	/pe			Turn Do	wn												
Droinago							8	N	Gabi		skets N	W di	tch				
Drainage									Chief		icu.						

Alberta Transportation

					Supers	tructure
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Spa	an : <b>WG, 3 Spa</b>	ans, Leng	ths(m): 26-31-26	, A-Iden	t Numl	per: )
Special Fea	tures					
Special Feat	ure				X	
(Type : )						_
Special Feat	ure				X	
(Type : )						
Wearing Sur	face/Deck Top	Detail Ra	atings			
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)		30mm crack in ACP at N abut. and 20mm crack in ACP at S abut.
Last	5	0	0		0	Partly gravel covered.
Now	50.0	0.0	0.0	C	0.0	
Wearing Sur	face			5	5	Lateral cks 6-8m intervals throughout ACP wearing surface.
(Material T	ype : MIX TYP	PE 1 ACP)	)			
(Thickness	s(mm) : <b>90</b> )					
Deck Top				N	N	
Deck Rideat	oility			8	8	
<b>D</b> ( ) )						
Deck Joints				N	N	
	ire (deg. C)	-5				-
(Expansion						-
(Fixed Typ						-
Gap Size (	(mm)	G	Sap Location			
						-
						-
						-
						_
						-
Deck Draina	ge			X	9	On vertical grade.
Drains Clo	gged (Y/N)					
Curbs/Media	an			8	N	Lateral cracking approx every 3.3m along face with effloresence.
(Curb Type	e : Standard)					Spalling on bottom side of curb at efflorensence or rust staining on East side from P1 to AZ112-Apr-2011
	ercent Area)					
3.						Snow covered.
Bridge Rail				9	9	
(Type : GA	LVANIZED S	TEEL BRI	DGE TUBE)			8 posts on East side with insufficient thread.
Bridge Rail F	Posts			4	4	
	LVANIZED P	OST STEE	EL;GALVANIZED	POST		
STEEL)				0	<u> </u>	
	Posts Coating			9	9	
	LVANIZED)					
Sidewalk				X	X	
Girder/Bean	<u> </u>					
Cover Plat				X	X	
	e					-
Flange				9	9	-
Web				9	9	-
Stiffeners				9	9	-
Splice				9	9	-
Weld				9	9	
Diaphragms	/Cross Frame			9	9	

Alberta Transportation

			Supers	tructure
Bridge Component		Last		Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : WG, 3 Spans,	Lengths(m): 26-31-2	6, A-Iden		
Paint Condition		7	7	Pigmented sealer
(Colour Description : )				weathering steel
(Colour Code : )				
Touchup Required (Y/N)	No			
Bearings		9	9	
Temperature (deg. C)	-5			
(Expansion Type : REINFOR TEFLON AND STAINLESS	CED NEOPRENE BE STEEL)	ARING W	/ITH	
(Fixed Type : )				
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		5	5	3 minor spalls just NOrth of pier 1 East side due to effloresence
Stains (Percent Area)	5			staining.
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Ratir		5	5	
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments		0	•	
Bearing Seats/Caps		9	9	
(Type : CONCRETE)		0	0	
Backwalls/Breastwalls		9	9	
Wingwalls		9	9	
Piles		N	N	
Paint/Coating		9	9	
Abutment Stability		9	9	
Scour/Erosion		9	9	
Piers/Bents				
(Type : <b>PIER-COLUMN</b> )				
Bearing Seats/Caps		9	9	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles	: 4:4)			
Pier Shaft/Piles		9	9	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		X	X	
Nose Plate		X	Х	
Paint/Coating		9	9	
(Colour Description : )				
(Colour Code : )				
Pier Stability		9	9	
Scour		9	9	
Debris (Y/N)	No			

			Subst	ructure						
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition						
Substructure General Rating		9	9							
		S	Structu	re Usage						
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition						
Channel										
(U/S Direction : E)										
(D/S Direction : W)										
Alignment		9	9							
Bank Stability			9							
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				No HWM visible						
Drift (Y/N)	No									
Slope Protection		4	7	Rock from piers to channel, geotextile from abutments to pier,						
(Type : )				geotextile at A1 lifted - not adequately pinnedphoto Class 2 riprap						
Guidebank/Spurs		Х	X							
Adequacy of Opening		9	9							
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)									
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)									
Channel General Rating		4	7							

			IMa	intenance Re	commenta	ations				·		
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspect	tor Comments			Department Co	ommen	ts		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL												
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL												
RETROFIT BRIDGE RAIL												
SEAL CURBS												
PATCH DECK												
SEAL DECK												
OVERLAY DECK												
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS												
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS												
REPAINT SUPERSTRUCTURE												
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS												
WASHING												
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS												
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIO	NC											
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP												
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION												
OTHER ACTION	2012	Repair	geotextile fabrie	c at A1.								
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION OTHER ACTION												
	ow) 77.8/7	7.8	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	low) (	65.2/68.5	Est	t. Repl. Yr	2084	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 77.8/7	7.8	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	low) (	<b>5.2/68.5</b> Department Comments	Est	t. Repl. Yr	2084	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	ow) 77.8/7	7.8	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	low) (	Department	Est	t. Repl. Yr		Maint. Re		Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 77.8/7	7.8	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	low) (	Department Comments	Est	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 77.8/7	7.8	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	low) (	Department Comments	Est	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 77.8/7	7.8	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	low) (	Department Comments	Est	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	ow) 77.8/7		Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/		Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr Russel Vande	E			Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action			Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/I	Previous	Department Comments Date			E			Yes
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Brian Pientscl		Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last/	Previous	Department Comments Date		Russel Vande	E			Yes