						В	ridae Ir	spection							
Bridge File Num	ber	07447 -	2 Bridge					Form Type	<b>.</b>		PSR				
Year Built/Year		2005/20						Lot No.		4					
Supstr								Inspector Name		Tom Carey					
Bridge or Town	Name	BROOK	S					Inspector C	Class		BR CLS A				
Located Over			IVER, 2.13		RCRS-S	ST		Assistant Name			,				
Located On		539:02	C1 29.820					Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./								Inspection Date			10-Feb-201	)			
Navigabil. Cl./Ye								Data Entry	Ву		Erin Roberts	3			
Legal Land Location SW SEC 13 TWP 17 RGE 17 W4					17 W4ľ	M		Data Entry Date			11-Apr-2010	)			
Longitude, Latitude -112:13:36, 50:25:48								Reviewer Name			Garry Robe	rts			
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					T)			Review Date			23-Feb-201	)			
Contract Main. Area CMA23								Dept. Reviewer Name			Lorenz Bohi	nert			
Clear Roadway/Skew 9 /								Dept. Revi	ew Da	te	13-Apr-2010	)			
AADT/Year		360 / 20	` '					Follow-Up	Ву						
Road Classificat		RCU-20	9-110					-							
Detour Length (I		50			T										
Allowable Load	(t):  Sin	igle CS	1 28		Semi	CS	2 49	Train CS3			3 62		> On Critical N	tical Spans Member	
Design Loading:													> Primary Span		
zeeigii zeaaiiigi						Po	stina Ir	nformation					- i iiiiai y	Opan	
Required Load F	Posting	(t)		Single				Semi				Trucl	k Train		
Posted Loading		.,		Single				Semi				Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	WB			tion (Y/ľ	<b>V</b> )	No	In Adv	ance (	Y/N)	No		idge (Y/N)	No	
Posted:	Lane	EB			tion (Y/ľ		No	In Adv			No		idge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks	Not re	equired			,	,			`						
Hazard Marker			Yes												
Remarks		5 - ( - /													
Other Sign Type	es		70 Km/l	nr curve,	river id										
<u> </u>						Uti	lities (L	ocated at)							
Utility Attachmen	nts														
Telephone	East F	R/W						Gas							
Power	2W to	NW + C	rossing ro	ad to 50	m North			Municipal							
Others								Problem (Y	′/N)	No					
Remarks															
							Approa	ch Road							
					L	ast	Now	Explanation		Condi	tion				
Horizontal Align						6	6	Curve to S							
Vertical Alignme	nt					6	6								
								6 Steel pos	sts @	500m	m spacing @	corne	rs of bridge v	vith 5m of	
								Thrie Bean							
Roadway Width			12.000					-							
Approach Bump			1.,			8	8								
Guardrail (Y/N)			Yes				1	Incorrect la	ap at N	IW an t stee	d SE at bridg I brace to pos	e st.conn	nections and	2 missing at	
Guardrail			<b></b>			8	4	clip angle	at SW	. 5.50	. 3.230 to poc	55111		559 ut	
Length (m)		<b>7.</b> IV	76.000					-							
Current Stand	•	N)	No					-							
Termination T	ype		turndow	/n											
Drainage						8	8								
Approach Road	d Gene	eral Ratir	ng			6	6								
			_												

Alberta Transportation

						Su	pers	tructure
Bridge Comp	onent				Last	N	low	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Spar	n : <b>NU, 4 Spa</b> i	ns, Ler	ngths(r	n): 50-63-63-5	0, A-Ic	ler	nt Nu	mber: )
Special Featu	ures							
Special Featu	ire						Χ	
(Type:)								
Special Featu	ire						Χ	
(Type:)								
Wearing Surfa	ace/Deck Top	Detail	Ratings	5				
N (%) 1 (%) 2 (%)								
Last	0		0	0		0		
Now	0.0 0.0 0.0				(	0.0		
Wearing Surfa	ace				8		8	
(Material Ty								
(Thickness								
Lateral Conne	` ' '	n I	No					
(Y/N)								
Deck Top					7		7	
Deck Rideabil	lity				7		8	
Deck Joints					8		8	
Temperatur	e (deg. C)	-	-5					
(Expansion	Type : <b>FINGE</b>	ER PLA	TES)					
(Fixed Type	::)							
Gap Size (m	nm)		Gap L	ocation				
110			North	Abut				
120			South	Abut				
Deck Drainag	е				9		9	
Drains Clog		I	No					
Curbs/Median					9		9	
	: Standard)							
Scaling (Per			0					
Bridge Rail			-		9		9	
	_VANIZED ST	rffi R	RIDGE	TUBF)	J 0			
Bridge Rail Po					9		9	
		OST ST	FFI ·G	ALVANIZED				
STEEL)	- VAINIEED I	301 01	,	ALVANIZED				
Bridge Rail/Po	osts Coating				9		9	
(Type : GAL	_VANIZED)							
Sidewalk					X		Х	
Girder Detail F	Ratings							
	N (count)	1 (cou	ınt)	2 (count)	3 (co	unt	)	
Last	0		0	0		0	,	
Now	0		0	0		0		
Girders			-		8	Ţ	8	Hairline diagonal crack 1 visible at abuts at girder ends
Cracking (Y	/NI)	,	Yes		0		J	
Spalling (Pe			0					All girders have cracks marked at all ends from 2008 level 2 inspection
(Number Of G		(	J					op

			Supers	tructure					
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
(Primary Span : NU, 4 Spans, Le	engths(m): 50-63-63-5	0, A-Id	ent Nu	mber: )					
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		8	8						
Bearings		8	8	Exp @ Abuts					
Temperature (deg. C)	-5			Fixed @ piers					
(Expansion Type : POT BEAR	ING)			Trivou & picto					
(Fixed Type : REINFORCED P	AD BEARING)								
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes								
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes								
Deck Underside		8	8	Some Transverse hairline cracks with efflorescence					
Stains (Percent Area)	2								
Span Alignment Problems									
Vertical (Y/N)	No								
Horizontal (Y/N)	No								
Superstructure General Rating		8	8						
Caperstructure Ceneral Rating									
			Subst	ructure					
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Abutments									
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8						
(Type : <b>CONCRETE</b> )									
Backwalls/Breastwalls		8	8						
Wingwalls		8	8						
Piles		N	N						
Paint/Coating		8	8						
Abutment Stability		8	8						
Scour/Erosion		8	8						
Piers/Bents									
(Type : PIER-COLUMN)				Steel pipe Piles					
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8						
(Type : <b>CONCRETE</b> )									
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	0:0:0)								
Pier Shaft/Piles		8	8						
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		8	8						
Nose Plate		Х	Х	Concrete Brace Between Piles @ Bottom					
Paint/Coating		8	8						
(Colour Description : )									
(Colour Code : )									
Pier Stability		8	8						
Scour		N	N	Ice					
Debris (Y/N)	No								
Substructure General Rating		8	8						

		5	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : W)				
(D/S Direction : E)				
Alignment		8	8	
				HWM not visible
Bank Stability			7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		7	8	
(Type: NATURAL; NATURAL	)			
Guidebank/Spurs		Х	X	
Adequacy of Opening			9	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)			
Channel General Rating		8	8	

07447 -2 Bridge

			Maintenance F	Recommend	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments		Department Cor	mments			Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL											
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL											
REPAIR/SEAL CURBS											
PATCH DECK											
SEAL DECK											
OVERLAY DECK											
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS											
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS											
WASHING											
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS											
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	N										
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP											
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION  Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 88.9/88	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Las-	t/Now) 7	79.8/68.5	Est. Rep	ol. Yr	2070	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ew) 88.9/88	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	t/Now) 7	79.8/68.5  Department Comments	Est. Rep	ol. Yr	2070	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection	88.9/88	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now) 7	Department	Est. Rep	ol. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for	ew) 88.9/88	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Las	t/Now) 7	Department Comments	Est. Rep	ol. Yr		Maint. Red		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Not/%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By	ew) 88.9/88	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Last	t/Now) 7	Department Comments	Est. Rep	ol. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Not/%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By  Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ew) 88.9/88	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Last	t/Now) 7	Department Comments	Est. Rep	ol. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	w) 88.9/88 Tom Carey	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Last		Department Comments		ol. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Not/%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name		3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Last	Previous A	Department Comments  Date		ol. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Tom Carey	3.9	Sufficiency Rating (Last	Previous A	Department Comments  Date  Assistant's Name						No

Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)