							:	Bridge I	nspec	tion						
Bridge File Nur	nber	0746	0 -2 E	Bridge						n Type			PCS			
Year Built/Year		2003	/2003	3					Lot N	No.			4			
Supstr									Inspe	ector N	ame		Wade Nann	inga		
Bridge or Town	Name								Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over				SLAVE F RS-ST	RIVER, 8	3.11.80,			Assistant Name							
Located On		88:02							Assis	stant C	lass					
Water Body Cl.	/Year	00.02	_ 01	1.0 17					Inspe	ection [Date		27-Mar-201	3		
Navigabil. CI./Y									Data	Entry	Ву		Theresa Lad	custa		
Legal Land Loc		SW S	SEC 7	7 TWP 7	3 RGE !	5 W5M			Data	Entry	Date		16-Apr-2013	3		
Longitude, Lati				7, 55:18:					Revi	ewer N	lame		Eric Carcou	x		
Road Authority				ansporta		T)			Revi	ew Dat	e		11-Apr-2013	3		
Contract Main.	Area	CMA				.,			Dept	t. Revie	wer Na	ame	Brent Herric	k		
Clear Roadway				deg. (LH	IF)				Dept	t. Revie	w Date	•	23-Apr-2013	3		
AADT/Year				12 (A)					Follo	w-Up E	Зу					
Road Classifica	ation	RAU		. ,												
Detour Length	(km)	175														
Allowable Load		gle (CS12	28		Semi	C	S2 49			Train	CS	3 62		> On Criti	cal Spans
		-													>Critical N	
Design Loading	g:	(CS75	50				,							> Primary	Span
	Deeting	(4)			0. 1		P	osting I						-		
Required Load		(t)			Single					Semi					k Train	
Posted Loading					Single	1		NL		Semi		· / N I)	NI-		k Train	NI-
Posted: Posted:	Lane	N S			At Junc	· · ·	-	No		In Adva	· · ·		No		ridge (Y/N)	No
	Lane				At Junc		N)	No		In Adva	ance (i	/IN)	No	ALD	ridge (Y/N)	No
Remarks	Not re			Nie												
Hazard Marker Remarks	Αι ΒΠάξ	ge (1/		No Not req	uirod											
Other Sign Typ	00			Informa												
Other Olgh Typ	63			Informa			Uł	tilities (I	locate	ed at)						
Utility Attachme	ents G	AS U	TILITI	IES-GA	S LINE: "	TELEPH					E LINE					
Telephone	East &				,				Gas			onda	x 20m South	& Nc	orth.	
Power				. 2 wires	SE.					icipal		<u></u>				
Others										lem (Y	/N) N	о				
Remarks																
								Approa	ach Ro	bad						
						L	.ast			anatio	n of Co	ondi	tion			
Horizontal Aligr	nment						7	7	Appr	oaches	s to Hw	y bo	th ways.			
Vertical Alignm	ent						8	8	-							
Roadway Width	n (m)			11.000												
Approach Bum	-						8	7	-							
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes												
Guardrail							5	5	_							
Length (m)				56.000					_							
Current Stand		N)		Yes					_							
Termination 7	Гуре			Turn Do	wn											
Drainage							7	7								
Approach Roa	d Gana	ral D	atina				7	7								
Approach Koa	ia Gene	a al Ra	aung				1									

Bridge ComponentLastNowExplanation of Condition(Primary Span : SCC, 6 Spans, Lengths(m): 14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-1	
Special FeaturesSpecial FeatureIX(Type :)Special FeatureIXSpecial FeatureIIX(Type :)IIIWearing Surface/Deck Top Detail RatingsIIN (%)1 (%)2 (%)3 (%)LastIIINow10.0IIWearing Surface/InterseIIVearing Surface/InterseIIInterseIINow10.0IIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseIIInterseI	
Special FeatureXX(Type :)Special FeatureIXSpecial FeatureVX(Type :)XWearing Surface/Deck Top Detail RatingsIXN (%)1 (%)2 (%)3 (%)LastII3 (%)Now10.0IIWearing Surface/Deck Top Detail RatingsISecond Rating Second Ra	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
Special Feature X (Type :) X Wearing Surface/Deck Top Detail Ratings Image: Second Secon	
X X Special Feature X (Type :) Vearing Surface/Deck Top Detail Ratings N (%) 1 (%) 2 (%) 3 (%) Last N 2 (%) 3 (%) Now 10.0 2 (%) 3 (%) Wearing Surface Special Feature (Material Type : CONCRETE) (Thickness(mm) : 50) Lateral Connection Problem No Sealing along West side span 1 - less than 1%Jul-20	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c } \hline (Type:) & \hline$	
Wearing Surjeck Top Detail Ratings N (%) 1 (%) 2 (%) 3 (%) Last Image: Colspan="4">Image: Colspan="4" Image: Colspan="4" Image: Colspan="4" Image: Colspan="4" Image: Colspan="4" Image: Colspan="4" Image: Colspan="4" Image	
N (%) 1 (%) 2 (%) 3 (%) Last Image: Constant of the state o	
Last Image: Concentration of the system of	
Now 10.0 5 5 Wearing Surface 5 5 (Material Type : CONCRETE) 5 5 (Thickness(mm) : 50) 5 Lateral Connection Problem No	
Wearing Surface 5 5 Scaling along West side span 1 - less than 1%Jul-20 (Material Type : CONCRETE) (Thickness(mm) : 50) Lateral Connection Problem No	
(Material Type : CONCRETE) (Thickness(mm) : 50) Lateral Connection Problem No	11
(Thickness(mm): 50) Lateral Connection Problem No	
Lateral Connection Problem No	
(Y/N)	
Deck Top N N	
Deck Rideability 7 8	
Deck Joints 7 7 Buffer angles each end.	
Bump (Y/N) No	
Deck Drainage 7 7	
Drains Clogged (Y/N) No	
Curbs/Median 7 N	
(Curb Type : Standard)	
Scaling (Percent Area)	
Bridge Rail 7 7	
(Type : GALVANIZED STEEL TUBE BEAM TYPE 2)	
Bridge Rail Posts 7 7	
(Type : GALVANIZED POST STEEL;GALVANIZED POST STEEL)	
Bridge Rail/Posts Coating 7 7	
(Type : GALVANIZED)	
Sidewalk 7 N	
Girder Detail Ratings	
N (count) 1 (count) 2 (count) 3 (count)	
Last	
Now	
Girders 5 5 Viewed spans 2-5 from banks.	
Last Complete Inspection Date All girders have hairline longitudinal crack in underside	}.
Cracking (Y/N) Yes Staining around drains.	
Spalling (Percent Area)	
Lift or Connector Pocket Yes Grouted (Y/N)	
(Number Of Girders : 72)	
Span Alignment Problems	
Vertical (Y/N) No	
Horizontal (Y/N) No	
Superstructure General Rating 5 5	

Alberta Transportation

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Comp	onent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments						
(Extended E	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : N)				_
(Extended E	Backwall Piles	s Spacing(mm)):)			
(Total Numbe		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_
Bearing Seats						-
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	-
Last						-
Now				_		-
Bearing Seats	•	ls		7	7	
(Type : COI						-
(Depth(mm)						-
(Width(mm)						
Backwalls/Bre				X	X	
Greatest He	eight (m)	1.50		_		
Wingwalls				7	7	
(Total Numbe	r of Rearing F	Diles · n·n)				
Piles Detail R		1103 . U.U)				
i noo Dotan N	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last	99	0	0		0	1
Now	99		0		0	
Piles	00	1		N	N	-
Paint/Coating				7	7	
Pain/Coaung					<u> </u>	
Abutment Sta	bility			7	7	
Scour/Erosior	ı			7	7	
Piers/Bents						
	R-COLUMN)					Piers 2-4 viewed from banks. P2-P4 not accessible, open water. No
· · · ·		rbels : 1:1:1:1 :	:1)			evident problems.
Bearing Seats						
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last	3	0	0		0	
Now						
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		7	7	
(Type : COI						
(Depth(mm)						
(Width(mm)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(Total Numbe	r of Bearing F	Piles : 8:8:8:8:	8)			
Piles Detail R						
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last	24	0	0		0	
Now						
Pier Shaft/Pile	es			7	7	
Greatest He	eight (m)	4.50				
Bracing/Struts	s/Sheathing			7	7	
Nose Plate				7	X	
Paint/Coating				7	7	Galvanized.
(Colour Des	scription :)					
(Colour Coo	de:)					

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Pier Stability		7	7					
Scour		7	7					
Debris (Y/N)	No							
Substructure General Rating		7	7					
		S	Structu	re Usage				
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Channel								
(U/S Direction : E)				Navigation signs on sides of bridge.				
(D/S Direction : W)								
Alignment		9	9					
Bank Stability		9	8					
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.				
Drift (Y/N)	No							
Slope Protection		9	9					
(Type : RIP RAP; GEOTEXTIL	E; RIP RAP; GEOTEX.	TILE)						
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X					
Adequacy of Opening		9	9					
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)		·					
(Fish Compensation Measure 2:	NONE)							
Channel General Rating		9	9					

			Mair	tenance Re	commend	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments			Department Co	ommen	ts		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL												
SEAL CURBS												
PATCH DECK												
OVERLAY DECK												
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS												
WASHING												
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS												
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS												
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS												
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	ON											
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP												
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION												
INSTALL STRUTS												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION												
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	low) 7	70.0/70.0	Est	. Repl. Yr	2074	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	low) 7	7 0.0/70.0 Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr	2074	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	low) 7	Department	Est	. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>	Maint. Ree		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	low) 7	Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	low) 7	Department Comments	Est	. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	low) 7	Department Comments	Est	:. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	ow) 66.7/66	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N		Department Comments		. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Eric Carcoux	.7	Sufficiency Ra	ating (Last/N	Previous A	Department Comments Date			<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Eric Carcoux 27-Dec-2014	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	Previous A	Department Comments Date		:. Repl. Yr 14-Jul-2011	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Eric Carcoux	.7	Sufficiency Ra (%)	ating (Last/N	Previous A	Department Comments Date			<u> </u>			No