Bridge File Num						Bridg	<u>je in</u>	spection						
Bridge File Number 76566 -1 Bridge /ear Built/Year 1970/1970								Form Type)	PSR				
Year Built/Year		1970/197	70					Lot No.		2	2			
Supstr							Inspector Name			Garry Roberts				
Bridge or Town I								Inspector Class		BR CLS A				
Located Over			22.888;1:		2.955			Assistant Name						
Located On		25129:02	2 C1 0.17	0				Assistant Class						
Water Body CI./								Inspection Date		08-Feb-2012	2			
Navigabil. Cl./Ye								Data Entry By		Lauren Korte	Lauren Korte			
Legal Land Loca			: 14 TWP		9 W5M			Data Entry Date		13-Mar-2012	13-Mar-2012			
Longitude, Latitude -115:09:49, 51:02:57								Reviewer Name		Tom Carey				
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					Г)	Review			Date 22-Feb-20		2			
Contract Main. Area CMA28						Dept. Reviewe			ewer Nam	e Tim Davies	Tim Davies			
Clear Roadway/Skew 9.1 / 26 deg. (RHF)									•		2			
AADT/Year		100 / 198	. ,					Follow-Up By						
Road Classificat		RLU-208	-100											
Detour Length (k		16							_					
Allowable Load (t): Sin	gle CS1 37 Semi			Semi	i CS2 64			Train (CS3 91	> On Cr	> On Critical Spans >Critical Member		
Design Loading:	Design Loading: HS20)								> Primary Span		
gigi		1102				Postir	ig In	formation						
Required Vert. C	learan	ce Postin	ig (m)	UNDER	R: 1 L1 6.6									
Posted Vertical (<u> </u>	Yes										
	EB		ridge (m)	5.2	n Advanc	e (Y/N) Y	'es Lane	WB	On Bridge (m)	In Advan	ce (Y/N)	Yes	
Remarks	W/B si		ng from b			- (-	/							
Required Load F		-	<u> </u>	Single				Semi			Truck Train	Fruck Train		
Posted Loading		()		Single				Semi			Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	NB			tion (Y/N)) No			ance (Y/N) No	At Bridge (Y/N)	No		
Posted:	Lane	SB			tion (Y/N)				ance (Y/N		At Bridge (Y/N)	No		
i														
Hazard Marker A		- ·	No											
Remarks														
Other Sign Type	s		ROUTE	curves										
ee. e.g) pe	-					+; ;+;,	s (1)							
	nts TE					Ulille	ыц	ocated at)						
Utility Attachmer		ELEPHO	NE UTILI	TIES-PH	ONE LINI		5 (L	ocated at)						
					ONE LINI			ocated at) Gas						
Telephone	FIBRE	OPTICS	NE UTILI S IN MED 70 m SOL	IAN @ P	ONE LINI									
Utility Attachmer Telephone Power Others	FIBRE	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P	ONE LINI			Gas	//N) No					
Telephone Power Others	FIBRE	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P	ONE LINI			Gas Municipal	(/N) No					
Telephone Power Others	FIBRE	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P	ONE LINI	E		Gas Municipal	//N) No					
Telephone Power	FIBRE	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P	ONE LINI	E	roac	Gas Municipal Problem (`		dition				
Telephone Power Others Remarks	FIBRE 3 WIR	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P		E App st No	Iroac DW 4	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanation Crest curv	on of Con e on bridg	Э				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr	FIBRE 3 WIR	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P		E App st No 4	Iroac DW 4	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio	on of Con e on bridg	Э				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignre	FIBRE 3 WIR	OPTICS	S IN MED 70 m SOL	IAN @ P		E App st No 4	roac bw 4	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanation Crest curv	on of Con e on bridg	Э				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	FIBRE 3 WIR nent nt (m)	OPTICS	IN MED	IAN @ P	ONE LINI	E App st No 4	170210 DW 4 4	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanation Crest curv	on of Con e on bridg	Э				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump	FIBRE 3 WIR nent nt (m)	OPTICS	8 IN MED 70 m SOL	IAN @ P	ONE LINI	E App st No 4	110210 DW 4 4 5	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr	on of Con e on bridg her at S. e	e nd	d 1 split post at N			
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	FIBRE 3 WIR nent nt (m)	OPTICS	S IN MED 70 m SOL	IAN @ P	ONE LINI IER Las 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	E st No 4 4 6	roac bw 4 4 5	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr	on of Con e on bridg her at S. er through v	e nd rith 1 broken ar	nd 1 split post at N	NW guardr		
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignr Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	FIBRE 3 WIR nent nt (m)	OPTICS	9.100 Yes	IAN @ P	ONE LINI IER Las 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	App st No 4 4 6	roac bw 4 4 5 3	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr Bolt pulled and 1 split	on of Con e on bridg her at S. en through w post at SE	e nd vith 1 broken ar		NW guardr	ail	
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignre Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	FIBRE 3 WIR nent nt (m)	OPTICS E MAIN 7	9.100 Yes 26.000	IAN @ P	ONE LINI IER Las 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	E st No 4 4 6	roac bw 4 4 5 3	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr Bolt pulled and 1 split	on of Con e on bridg her at S. en through w post at SI e and mis	e nd rith 1 broken ar		NW guardr	ail	
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignre Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa	FIBRE 3 WIR ment nt (m)	OPTICS E MAIN 7	 IN MED 70 m SOL 9.100 Yes 26.000 No 	IAN @ P JTH	ONE LINI IER Las 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	E st No 4 4 6	roac bw 4 4 5 3	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr Bolt pulled and 1 split Short splic	on of Con e on bridg her at S. en through w post at SI e and mis	e nd vith 1 broken ar		NW guardr	ail	
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignre Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail (M) Current Standa Termination Ty	FIBRE 3 WIR ment nt (m)	OPTICS E MAIN 7	9.100 Yes 26.000	IAN @ P JTH	ONE LINI IER Las 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	E st No 4 5 3	roac bw 4 4 5 3	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr Bolt pulled and 1 split Short splic	on of Con e on bridg her at S. en through w post at SI e and mis	e nd vith 1 broken ar		NW guardr		
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignre Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa	FIBRE 3 WIR ment nt (m)	OPTICS E MAIN 7	 IN MED 70 m SOL 9.100 Yes 26.000 No 	IAN @ P JTH	ONE LINI IER Las 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	E st No 4 5 3	roac bw 4 4 5 3	Gas Municipal Problem (` ch Road Explanatio Crest curv Sharp corr Bolt pulled and 1 split Short splic	on of Con e on bridg her at S. en through w post at SI e and mis	e nd vith 1 broken ar		NW guardr		

			Supers	tructure				
Bridge Component		Last						
(Primary Span : FC, 2 Spans, L	engths(m): 36.6-36.6,							
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		6	6					
			_					
Bearings		4	4	Several pads are compressed especially curb units.				
Temperature (deg. C)	-8							
(Expansion Type : REINFORC TEFLON AND STAINLESS S	ED NEOPRENE BEAR TEEL)	RING W	VITH	NE and NW bearings @ North abut are slid ahead of bottom neoprene pad - marked 140mm @ NE and 71mm @ NW - no change				
(Fixed Type : REINFORCED N TEFLON AND STAINLESS S	IEOPRENE BEARING	WITH						
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	No							
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes							
Deck Underside		5	5	Corrosion and scaling @ weep draining @ deck underside - Sealed				
Stains (Percent Area) 5				off with concrete overlay with no current leakage				
Span Alignment Problems								
Vertical (Y/N)	No							
Horizontal (Y/N)	No							
Superstructure General Rating	3	4	4					
			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Abutments		Luot						
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7					
(Type : CONCRETE)								
Backwalls/Breastwalls		6	6					
Wingwalls		6	6					
Piles		N	N	Buried.				
Paint/Coating		5	5					
Abutment Stability		5	5					
Scour/Erosion		X	Х					
Piers/Bents								
(Type : PIER-COLUMN)								
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7					
(Type : CONCRETE)								
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	0)							
Pier Shaft/Piles		7	7					
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		X	Х					
Nose Plate		X	Х					
Paint/Coating		X	Х	Staining is previous to joint				
(Colour Description :)				installation.				
(Colour Code :)								
Pier Stability		7	7					
Scour		X	X					
Debris (Y/N)	No							
Substructure General Rating		5	5					

		S	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Grade Separation				
Road Alignment		7	6	Curve and grade East.
Traffic Safety Features			3	Wrong lap all ends. 1 post missing at median and 1 split post at
Туре	GUARDRAIL			North Shoulder.
Slope Protection		7	7	
(Type : CONCRETE; CONCR	ETE)			
Bank Stability		7	7	
Drainage		7	7	
Grade Separation General Rat	ing	3	3	

Alberta Transportation

		Maintenance	Recommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Con	Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #		
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL	2012	Install 7 posts-1 post bolt-4 splice	e bolts						
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS	2012	Repair or replace median coverp	late.						
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	N								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION	2012	Install 6.6m V.C sign on bridge							
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	ow) 50.0/50	0.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) {	56.6/56.6	Est. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Rec	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 50.0/50	9.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	56.6/56.6 Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Rec	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ww) 50.0/50	0.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) 5	Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Rec		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	w) 50.0/50	0.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) {	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	w) 50.0/50	9.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	w) 50.0/50	0.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	w) 50.0/50	0.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name		9.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Garry Roberts	9.0 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					Yes