Bridge File Numb						Bridge	Inspe	ction							
Bhage i lie Nullin	ber	76850 -	1 Bridge				For	т Туре			PSR				
Year Built/Year		1970/19	70				Lot	No.			4				
Supstr							Ins	pector N	lame		Garry Robert	S			
Bridge or Town N		BALZA	-				Ins	Inspector Class		BR CLS A					
Located Over			0.000;2:1	8 R1 0.0	00		Ass	Assistant Name							
Located On		566:04	C1 0.794				Ass	Assistant Class							
Water Body CI./Y							Ins	Inspection Date			12-Sep-2011				
Navigabil. Cl./Ye							- Dat	Data Entry By			Alyssa Boynt	on			
Legal Land Loca			: 13 TWP		1 W5M		- Dat	Data Entry Date			25-Oct-2011				
Longitude, Latitu			:07, 51:12 _				Rev	Reviewer Name			Ash Morjaria				
Road Authority		Alberta Transportation (AIT)				Review Date				10-Oct-2011					
Contract Main. A		CMA29				Dept. Reviewer Nam			me	Tim Davies					
Clear Roadway/S						Dept. Review Date				28-Oct-2011					
AADT/Year			2010 (A)				– Foll	low-Up l	Ву						
Road Classificati		RCU-21	0-110				_								
Detour Length (k		6	1.05			000				0.5					
Allowable Load (	t): Sing	gle CS	1 35		Semi	CS2 61			Train		3 84 RDER		> On Criti >Critical M	cal Spa	ns
Design Loading:		HS	20							OILDER			> Primary		
						Posting	Inforr	nation							
Required Vert. C	learand	ce Posti	ng (m)	UNDEF	2 L1 5.3										
Posted Vertical C	Clearan	ce (Y/N	)	Yes											
Posted: Lane	NB	On E	Bridge (m)	5.3 I	n Advanc	e (Y/N)	Yes	Lane	SB	O	n Bridge (m)	5.4	In Advance	e (Y/N)	Yes
Remarks			-								· · · ·				
Required Load Posting (t) Single							Semi				Truck	Train			
Posted Loading (	(t)			Single				Semi			Truck	Train			
Posted:	Lane	EB			tion (Y/N)	) No		In Adva	ance (Y	/N)	No			No	
Posted:	Lane	WB			tion (Y/N)				ance (Y				idge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks	Not Re	quired													
Hazard Marker A			No												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type:	s		ROUTE	, SPEED	D.										
						Utilities (	(Loca	ted at)							
	ts TE	LEPHC	NE UTILI	TIES-PH		F									
Julity Attachmen						-									
	At east	ditch.					Gas	S							
Telephone	At east	ditch.						s nicipal							
Telephone Power		t ditch. tandard					Mu		(/N) N	0					
Felephone Power Others							Mu	nicipal	//N) N	0					
Telephone Power Others						Appro	Mui Pro ach R	nicipal blem (Y toad							
Telephone Power Others Remarks	Light s					Appro	Mur Pro ach R Exp	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio	on of Co	ondit					
Felephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignn	Light s				Las	Appro	Mur Pro ach R Exp Ltd	nicipal blem (Y Coad blanatio sight di	on of Co	ondit	oth ends &				
Felephone Power Dthers Remarks Horizontal Alignn /ertical Alignmen	Light s		S			Appro st Now	Mur Pro ach R Exp Ltd	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio	on of Co	ondit	oth ends &				
Felephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignmen Vertical Alignmen Roadway Width	Light s					Appro st Now 7 7	Mur Pro ach R Exp Ltd	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio sight di	on of Co	ondit	oth ends &				
Felephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignmen Vertical Alignmen Roadway Width	Light s		S			Appro st Now 7 7	Mur Pro ach R Exp Ltd	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio sight di	on of Co	ondit	oth ends &				
Felephone Power Dthers Remarks Horizontal Alignn /ertical Alignmen Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	Light s		S			Appro st Now 7 7 6 6	Mui Pro ach R Exp Ltd con	nicipal blem (Y Coad blanatio sight dia trolled in	on of Co stance on ntersect	ondit on bo tions	oth ends &	olts at	: NW.		
Felephone Power Dthers Remarks Horizontal Alignn /ertical Alignmen Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	Light s		s 10.300		La: 7 6	Appro st Now 7 7 6 6	A Mui Pro ach R Exp Ltd con	nicipal blem (Y Coad blanatio sight dia trolled in	on of Co stance o ntersect at SW.	ondit on bo tions	oth ends &	olts at	i NW.		
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignner Vertical Alignmer Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	Light s		s 10.300		La: 7 6	Appro     st   Now     7   7     6   6	A Mui Pro ach R Exp Ltd con	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio sight dia trolled in ong lap a	on of Co stance o ntersect at SW.	ondit on bo tions	oth ends &	olts at			
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignmer Vertical Alignmer Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	Light s nent nt (m)	tandard	s 10.300 Yes		La: 7 6	Appro     st   Now     7   7     6   6	Mui Pro	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio sight dia trolled in ong lap a	on of Co stance o ntersect at SW.	ondit on bo tions	oth ends &	olts at	: NW.		
Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignmer Vertical Alignmer Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	Light s nent nt (m) ard (Y/N	tandard	s 10.300 Yes 72.200 No			Appro     st   Now     7   7     6   6	Mui Pro	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio sight dia trolled in ong lap a	on of Co stance o ntersect at SW.	ondit on bo tions	oth ends &	olts at	: NW.		
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Alignmer Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa	Light s nent nt (m) ard (Y/N	tandard	S 10.300 Yes 72.200 No TURNE		La: 7 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Appro     st   Now     7   7     6   6	Mui Pro	nicipal blem (Y coad blanatio sight dia trolled in ong lap a	on of Co stance o ntersect at SW.	ondit on bo tions	oth ends &	olts at	: NW.		

Alberta Transportation

					\$	Supers	tructure
Bridge Com	oonent						Explanation of Condition
		ns, Lengt	ths(n	n): 36.6-36.6, <i>I</i>		-	· •
Special Feat							
Special Featu					6	6	Grout is cracked @ underslung beam along centreline
	DERSLUNG D	DIAPHR)					Longitudinal strengthening Sp.2- G1, G4, G5
Special Featu		,				7	Longitudinal strengthening 3p.2- G1, G4, G5
(Type : )							
	ace/Deck Top	Detail Ra	atinas				
0	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last	0	0		0		0	
Now	0.0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0	
Wearing Surf	ace			•	5	5	Wide spread tranverse crack
•	/pe : CONCRI	ETE)				-	
· · ·	-	/					- Shallow surface spalls
(Thickness(mm) : <b>50</b> ) Lateral Connection Problem No (Y/N)							
Deck Top					N	N	
Deck Rideab	ility				7	6	
Deck Joints					8	7	
Temperatu	re (deg. C)	10					
(Expansion	Type : GLAN	D (WABC	D-MA	UER, TRANS	FLEX,	ETC))	
(Fixed Type	e : GLAND (W	АВО-МА	UER	, TRANSFLEX	(, ETC)	)	
Gap Size (r	nm)	G	Gap L	ocation			
65		V	V AB	UT			
57		C	ENT	RE			
60		E	ABL	JT			
Deck Drainag	je				6	6	Retro fit drains.
Drains Clog	ged (Y/N)	No	)				
Curbs/Media					7	5	Vertical crks in parapets @ 400mm spacing. Spall cracks at NW.
(Curb Type							
Scaling (Pe		0					1
Bridge Rail	,				Х	Х	
(Type : )							
Bridge Rail P	osts				X	Х	Coating failed on 50% of South parapet.
(Type : )							Pigmented sealer
Bridge Rail/P	osts Coating				4	4	1
(Type : )							1
Sidewalk					X	Х	
Girder Detail	Ratings						
Shaor Dotall	N (count)	1 (count	;)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last	0	0	7	0		0	
Now	0	0		0		0	
Girders					4	4	Medium width typ chamfer crks @ ends of girders
Cracking (Y	(/N)	Ye	s		7	-7	
• ·	ercent Area)	0					Wide vertical crack with corrosion stains @ NE Exterior girder
(Number Of C		U					High load repair at Sp.1 North girder has rough finish
Diaphragms/					7	7	
							2 of 5

		:	Supers	tructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : FC, 2 Spans,	Lengths(m): 36.6	-36.6, A-Iden	t Numb	per: )
Bearings		5	5	Exterior abut. pads deflected but functional. Inside pads visible with
Temperature (deg. C)	10			binoculars.
(Expansion Type : REINFOR TEFLON AND STAINLESS	RCED NEOPRENE STEEL)	E BEARING W	VITH	
(Fixed Type : )				
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		7	7	
Stains (Percent Area)	0			
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			-
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rati	ing	4	4	
			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments				
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7	-
(Type : <b>CONCRETE</b> )			1	
Backwalls/Breastwalls		7	7	
Wingwalls		7	7	
Piles		N	N	Buried.
Paint/Coating		5	4	Isolated peeling and staining at abuts and wings.
Abutment Stability		8	8	
Scour/Erosion		X	5	Minor erosion developing along NW wing.
Piers/Bents				
(Type : <b>PIER-COLUMN</b> )				
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
(Total Number of Bearing Pile	s : <b>0</b> )			
Pier Shaft/Piles		8	8	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		Х	Х	
Nose Plate		Х	Х	
Paint/Coating		4	4	Peeled @ 10% @ shafts
(Colour Description : )				-
(Colour Code : )				
Pier Stability		8	8	
Scour		X	X	
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating	g	7	7	

		S	Structu	re Usage				
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Grade Separation								
Road Alignment		9	9					
Traffic Safety Features		7	7					
Туре	GUARDRAIL							
Slope Protection		6 4		Away 250mm @ West - tar sealed + 80mm @ east. Bottom row is				
(Type : CONCRETE; CONCRE	TE)			heaved and cracked.				
Bank Stability		6	6					
Drainage		6	6					
Grade Separation General Rati	ng	6	4					

		Maintenance R	ecommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Comm	ients		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS									
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	N								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	/Now) (	62.0/53.3 I	Est. Repl. Yr	2028	Maint. Rec	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2028	Maint. Rec	ąd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	/Now) (	Department	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>	Maint. Rec		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	/Now) (	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)   Special Comments for Next Inspection   Maintenance Reviewed By   Proposed Long-Term Strategy	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No   (%)   Special   Comments for   Next Inspection   Maintenance Reviewed By	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	(Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)   Special Comments for Next Inspection   Maintenance Reviewed By   Proposed Long-Term Strategy   On 3-Year Program (Y/N)   Proposed Action	w) 61.1/61.	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)   Special Comments for Next Inspection   Maintenance Reviewed By   Proposed Long-Term Strategy   On 3-Year Program (Y/N)   Proposed Action   Previous Inspector's Name	Garry Roberts	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date		<u> </u>			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)   Special Comments for Next Inspection   Maintenance Reviewed By   Proposed Long-Term Strategy   On 3-Year Program (Y/N)   Proposed Action   Previous Inspector's Name   Next Inspection Date		.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr	<u> </u>			No