								Bridge li	nspect	ion							
Bridge File Numl	ber	77595	5 -1	Bridge					Form				PCS				
Year Built/Year		1971/	200	4					Lot No	э.			2				
Supstr									Inspector Name			Owen Salava					
Bridge or Town I	Vame								Inspe	Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over		NOR ⁻ ST	TH F	RAM RIV	ER, 6.16	61.2, WA	ΥE	RCRS-	Assistant Name								
Located On			6 C	1 63.170					Assistant Class								
Water Body CI./	/ear								Inspection Date			02-Dec-201	0				
Navigabil. Cl./Ye									Data Entry By			Marcia Chav	/ez				
Legal Land Loca		NE S	EC 2	24 TWP	38 RGE	15 W5N	1		Data Entry Date				16-Feb-201	1			
Longitude, Latitude -116:00:05, 52:16:58								Revie	Reviewer Name John O'Brien								
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					T)			Review Date			16-Dec-201	0					
Contract Main. Area CMA18							Dept. Reviewer Name			Chris Black							
Clear Roadway/S	Skew	6.4/-	15 0	deg. (LHI	=)				Dept.	Revi	ew Date	Э	23-Feb-201	1			
AADT/Year		60 / 2		U (,				Follov	v-Up	Ву						
Road Classificati	ion	RLU-		. ,													
Detour Length (k	-	190							-								
Allowable Load (<u> </u>	CS1	28		Semi	C	S2 49	1		Train	CS	3 62		> On Crit	cal Spans	
		J													>Critical I	Member	
Design Loading:															> Primary	' Span	
		(1)					Po	osting l						_			
Required Load P		(t)		Single					Semi				Truck Train				
Posted Loading	. ,			Single					Semi				Truck Train				
	Lane	NE				tion (Y/	-	No			ance (Y		No		ridge (Y/N)	No	
Posted:	Lane	SE				tion (Y/		Yes			ance (Y		No		ridge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks					54t @ S	SB jct, no	ot fo	r this bri	idge. Si	gns r	not requ	ired	for this bridge	э.			
Hazard Marker A	t Bride	ge (Y/N	۷)	Yes													
Remarks				-													
Other Sign Type	S			Campin	g, North	Ram Ri											
	4.0						Ut	ilities (l	Locate	d at)							
Utility Attachmen									0.00								
Telephone									Gas	inal							
Power									Munic	-	(/NI) N	1					
Others									Proble	em (Y	/N) N	lo					
Remarks								Approx	oh Por	d							
						1	ast	Approa Now			on of Co	ondi	tion				
Horizontal Alignr	nent						<u>азі</u> 6	6	<u> </u>								
Vertical Alignme							7	7	Approaches both sides to the North.								
Roadway Width				7.000			-	1									
Approach Bump	(11)			1.000			7	7	1								
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes			1	1									
Guardrail				103			9	7									
Length (m)				17.300			3	1	With t	hrie H	beam tra	ansit	ion.				
Current Standa	ard (V/	N)		No									ing one stror	ng pos	st - photos.		
Termination Ty		· •)		Turned	down												
Drainage	pe			Turried			7	7	<u> </u>								
Ť																	
Approach Road							6	6									

Bridge Comp						Explanation of Condition
		ins, Lengths(m): 12.8-12.8	-12.8-12	.8, A-IO	dent Number:)
Special Feature					V	
Special Featu	ire				X	-
(Type:)					V	-
Special Featu	ire				X	-
(Type:)						
Wearing Surfa	-	Detail Rating		0 (0()		
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)		Snow covered.
Last Now	100.0	0.0	0.0		0	-
	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Wearing Surfa				X	X	-
(Material Ty	· · · ·					-
(Thickness(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-
Lateral Conne (Y/N)	ection Proble	m				
Deck Top				4	N	(Some lift pockets on both sides under rail not grouted - photo.
						22Nov2005). Snow covered.
Deak Dideahi	1:45.7					
Deck Rideabi	lity			8	8	
Deck Joints				9	N	Buffer angles.
Bump (Y/N)		No				
Deck Drainag				8	8	No deck drains.
Drains Clog	•	No				
Curbs/Mediar				X	X	9
	: Standard)					
Scaling (Pe						
Bridge Rail	,			9	4	
	LVANIZED S	TEEL THRIE	BEAM)			
Bridge Rail Po				4	9	Gap between post base & grout pad yet to be grouted (WP15). (Insufficient thread in West rail post A/B over center pier - photo.
v		OST STEEL;	GALVANIZED	POST		22Nov2005).
Bridge Rail/Po	osts Coating			9	9	
(Type : GAI	LVANIZED)					
Sidewalk				X	X	
Girder Detail	Ratings					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	
Last						
Now	0	0	0		0	
Girders				9	9	New superstructure.
Last Complete	e Inspection	Date 02-De	c-2010			
Cracking (Y	′/N)	No				
Spalling (Pe	ercent Area)	0				
Lift or Connec Grouted (Y/N		Yes				
(Number Of G	Girders : 24)					
Span Alignm	ent Problem	IS				
Vertical (Y/	N)	No				
Horizontal (Y/N)	No				
Superstructu	ure General	Rating		8	9	

Alberta Transportation

Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments						
	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : Y)				
	Backwall Piles	. , ,	n) : 900)			
· · ·	er of Caps/Co		,,			Double wide top cap with 6 corbels & 2 caps below at each abut.
	s/Caps/Corbe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ngs			Back cap not visible.
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last						
Now	1	0	0		0	
Bearing Seats/Caps/Corbels				9	9	
(Type : TRI		ER)				
(Depth(mm) : 305)					
(Width(mm) : 305)					
Backwalls/Br	eastwalls			7	7	
Greatest H	eight (m)	2.00				
Wingwalls				4	6	
	er of Bearing F	Piles : 12:12)				1 row (assummed piles under each corbel). 12/abut. Fully sheathed/embeded in fill.
Piles Detail R	iles Detail Ratings					
-	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	-
Last	24					-
Now	24	0	0		0	-
Piles				N	N	
Paint/Coating)			X	X	
Abutment Sta	ability			7	7	
					Ĺ	
Scour/Erosio	n			7	7	
D' (D (
Piers/Bents						Triple wide too see with 0 controls 0.0 full leave through some sector is a
	R-COLUMN)					Triple wide top cap with 6 corbels & 2 full length subcaps - each pier. Each corbel is 305 x 305 with 100 x 305 on top.
	er of Caps/Corbo					· ·
bearing Seat	s/Caps/Corbe	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	(nt)	-
Last				3 (COL	iiii)	-
Now	0	0	0		0	-
	s/Caps/Corbe	1	0	9	9	-
u	EATED TIMB			3	3	
(Depth(mm)				
(Width(mm						
· · · · · ·	er of Bearing F	Piles : 12-12-1	2)			Sheeted in, not visible.
Piles Detail R	T		-/			
Jes zotan r	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	1
Last		. (_ (200.110)	5 (000	,	1
Now	72	0	0		0	1
Pier Shaft/Pil				N	N	1
Greatest H		2.75				1
Bracing/Strut				9	9	Fully sheathed.
Nose Plate				9	9	
Paint/Coating				X	X	
(Colour De	· · · · · ·					-
(Colour Co	de:)			-	-	
Pier Stability				7	7	
					Page	1 3 of 5

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure					
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Scour			7						
Debris (Y/N)	Yes	9 7							
Substructure General Rating									
		S	Structu	re Usage					
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
Channel									
(U/S Direction : W)				Substructure at 15 degrees - stream at 30 degrees.					
(D/S Direction : E)									
Alignment		6	6						
Bank Stability		6	6						
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				(High water has come over top of bridge recently. 22Nov2005).					
Drift (Y/N)	Yes								
Slope Protection		7	7	Natural shale.					
(Type : RIP RAP; RIP RAP)									
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X						
Adequacy of Opening			6						
(Fish Compensation Measure	1 : NONE)								
(Fish Compensation Measure	2 : NONE)								
Channel General Rating		6	6						

Alberta Transportation

Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

77595 -1 Bridge

		Maintenance I	Recommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Comm	nents		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL	2011	Grout under post base plate.							
SEAL CURBS	2011	Grout lift pockets on both sides, if	not yet done.						
PATCH DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS									
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIO	ЛС								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
INSTALL STRUTS									
OTHER ACTION	2011	Install one strong post @ NW & SE	E corners.						
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No.	ow) 94.4/88	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now) {	32.5/71.0	Est. Repl. Yr	2035	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 94.4/88	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now) ٤	32.5/71.0 Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2035	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	ow) 94.4/88	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now) ٤	Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 94.4/88	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now) ٤	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 94.4/88	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now) ٤	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 94.4/8	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	t/Now) ٤	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	ow) 94.4/88	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Dave Lam	8.9 Sufficiency Rating (Las (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					Yes