						E	Bridge In	spection	on						
Bridge File Num	ber	77819 -1	Bridge					Form Type			PCS				
Year Built/Year Supstr		1974/197	'4					Lot No.				4			
Bridge or Town	Nomo	BEAVER	MINIES					Inspector Name			Garry Roberts				
Located Over	ivame	SYNCLIN		NC 2 12	25 10 2			Inspec	tor Cl	ass		BR CLS A			
Localed Over		WATERO		/κ, ∠. ۱∠.	33.10.2,			Assistant Name							
Located On		774:02 C	1 2.951					Assistant Class			07.N. 0040				
Water Body Cl./	Year										27-Nov-2012				
Navigabil. Cl./Ye	ear								Data Entry Bota Otto Entry Data Otto Entry Data Otto Entry Data Otto Entry Data						
Legal Land Location NW SEC 36 TWP 4 RGE 4 W5N					4 W5M				Data Entry Date 01-Jan-2013						
Longitude, Latitude -114:25:01, 49:20:31									Reviewer Name Tom Carey						
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					T)			Review				06-Dec-2012			
Contract Main. Area CMA26							Dept. Reviewer Name Dept. Review Date								
Clear Roadway/	Skew	9.1 / 30 c	leg. (RHF	-)								08-Jan-2013	3		
AADT/Year		160 / 201	1 (A)					Follow-	-Up E	sy					
Road Classificat	ion	RCU-208	-110												
Detour Length (100												I	
Allowable Load	Allowable Load (t): Single CS1 28 Sen				Semi	i CS2 49		Train CS		63 62		> On Critical Spans > Critical Member			
Design Loading:		HS2	5											> Primary	Span
						Po	sting In						1_		
Required Load F		(t)		Single	Single				Semi			Truck Train			
Posted Loading	<u>`</u>			Single					Semi			Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	NB			tion (Y/N	_	No	In Advar		•	` '		U ()		No
Posted:	Lane	SB		At Junc	tion (Y/N	1)	No	In Advance (Y/N)		/N)	No	At Bridge (Y/N) No		No	
Remarks		equired.													
Hazard Marker A	At Brid	ge (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	S					H	ilities (L	ocated	at)						
Utility Attachmer	nte					Οι	llities (L	.ocateu	al)						
Telephone	East [Ditch						Gas							
Power		- West dit	ch					Municip	nal						
Others	i wiic	- West ait	OI1.					Proble		N) N					
Remarks								1 TODICI	111 (17	14) 14					
romano							Approac	ch Road	d						
					La	ast	Now	Explan		of Co	ndi	tion			
Horizontal Aligni	ment					7	7	Road c							
Vertical Alignme	nt					6	6								
Roadway Width	(m)		8.300					ACP tra	ansiti	ons.					
Approach Bump						7	8								
Guardrail (Y/N)			Yes												
Guardrail						8	8	Not thr	iehes	ım					
Length (m)			10.000												
Current Stand	ard (Y/	N)	No												
Termination Ty	уре		TURNE	D DOWI	N										
Drainage						7	7								
Approach Road	d Gene	ral Rating	9			6	6								

				9	Supers	structure				
Bridge Com	ponent				Now	Explanation of Condition				
	an : VH, 1 Spa	ns. Lenaths()	m): 6.1. A-Ide							
Special Fea		<u> </u>	, . ,		,					
Special Feat					X					
(Type:)										
Special Feat	ure				Х					
(Type:)						-				
	face/Deck Top	Detail Pating	<u> </u>							
vvearing our	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)						
Last	0	0	0		0	-				
Now	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					
	Wearing Surface					New ACP Oct 2009.				
	ype : ACP)			9	8	New ACF Oct 2009.				
						-				
(Thickness		. N.				_				
(Y/N)	nection Probler	m No								
Deck Top				N	N	Paved over.				
				.,	.,					
Deck Rideab	oility			8	8					
Deck Joints				N	N	Paved over.				
Bump (Y/N	1)	No				s=Saw cut and filled with tar.				
Deck Draina		·		7	7					
	gged (Y/N)	No			-					
Curbs/Media				6	6	Some minor spalls.				
	e : Standard)					Some minor spane.				
	ercent Area)	1				_				
Bridge Rail	ercent Area)	<u> </u>		7	7	Double layer.				
	LVANIZED S	TEEL ELEV D	EAM\	1						
`		I EEL FLEX B	CAIVI)	7	7					
Bridge Rail F (Type : GA STEEL)	LVANIZED P	OST STEEL;	SALVANIZED		/					
,	Posts Coating			7	7					
				1						
	LVANIZED)									
Sidewalk				X	X					
Girder Detail	Ratings									
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)					
Last	0	0	0		0	1				
Now	0	0	0		0					
Girders		·		5	5	Chipped West curb unit, some staining				
	te Inspection [Date 27-Nov	/-2012			and girders 1,9,10 have wide long				
Cracking (Yes				cracks in one leg outside of the AZ. The West curb unit has wide long cracks in both legs, in the AZ.				
	Percent Area)	1								
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N	ector Pocket	Yes								
(Number Of	•									
	ment Problem	s								
Vertical (Y		No								
Horizontal		No								
Superstruct	ure General R	Naurig		5	5					

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments				1=000	,	
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : Y)				
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s Spacing(mm) : 1400)			
	er of Caps/Co		,,			
	ts/Caps/Corbe	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ıgs			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)	
Last	0	0	0		0	15mm check at East end of A2 cap.
Now	0	0	0		0	
Bearing Sea	ts/Caps/Corbe	els	·	6	5	
	EATED TIMB			<u> </u>		
(Depth(mm		,				
(Width(mm						
				6	6	
Backwalls/Breastwalls Greatest Height (m) 2.20						
Wingwalls		0		6	6	
g.rano						
(Total Numb	er of Bearing I	Piles : 6:6)				
Piles Detail F	Ratings					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)	
Last	0	0	0		0	
Now	0	0	0		0	
Piles				6	6	
Paint/Coating	g			Х	Х	
Abutment St	ability			7	7	
Scour/Erosic	on			7	7	
Diero/Bente						
Piers/Bents						
(Type:)	or of Cono/Co	rhala . \				
	er of Caps/Corbo					
bearing Sea	ts/Caps/Corbe N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)	
Last	in (count)	i (count)	Z (COUTIL)	3 (000	1111)	
Now						
	to/Cana/Carba	lle		X		-
	ts/Caps/Corbe	:15		Ι . Χ	X	
(Type:)	2) ·)					
(Depth(mm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(Width(mm	•	D:: \				
	er of Bearing I	riles :)				
Piles Detail F		1 (001151)	2 (001151)	2 /	unt\	
Loot	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	irit)	
Last						
Now	laa			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	V	-
Pier Shaft/Pi				X	X	
Greatest H						
Bracing/Stru	ts/Sheathing			X	Х	
Nose Plate				X	X	
Paint/Coating				Х	Х	
	escription:)					
(Colour Co	ode:)					

			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Pier Stability			Х					
Scour		X	X					
Debris (Y/N) No								
Substructure General Rating			5					
			Structu	re Usage				
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Channel								
(U/S Direction : W)				Dry at time of inspection.				
(D/S Direction : E)								
Alignment		6	6					
Bank Stability		6	6					
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.				
Drift (Y/N)	No							
Slope Protection		7	7	Some scattered Cl.2.				
(Type: RIP RAP; RIP RAP)								
Guidebank/Spurs			Х					
Adequacy of Opening			6					
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	1 : NONE)							
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	2 : NONE)							
Channel General Rating		6	6					

77819 -1 Bridge

			M	aintenance R	ecommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments			Department Com	ments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL											
SEAL CURBS											
PATCH DECK											
OVERLAY DECK											
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS											
WASHING											
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS											
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS											
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS											
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIG	NC										
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP											
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION											
INSTALL STRUTS											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTHER ACTION											
OTTILIT ACTION											
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)	ow) 61.1/55	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	/Now)	64.0/62.1	Est. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	ow) 61.1/55	5.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	(Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 61.1/55	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	/Now)	Department	Est. Repl. Yr	2025	Maint. Red		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for	ow) 61.1/55	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2025			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	ow) 61.1/55	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2025			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 61.1/55	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2025			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	ow) 61.1/55	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2025			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr	2025			No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Tim Davies	.6	Sufficiency (%)	Rating (Last	Previous	Department Comments Date Assistant's Name		2025			No