.						Bridge	Inspectior								
Bridge File Num	ber	78742 -1	Bridge				Form Ty	ре		PCS					
Year Built/Year		1989/198	9				Lot No.			2					
Supstr							Inspecto	Inspector Name			Brian Pientsch				
Bridge or Town	Name						Inspecto	Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over		TRIBUT/ 8.10.18.1	ARY TO L 2 15 1 V	UBICON	NRIVER,		Assistan	Assistant Name			Clem Guenette				
Located On		986:06 C					Assistan	Assistant Class			BR CLS B				
Water Body CI./	Year						Inspection Date			12-Mar-2013					
Navigabil. CI./Ye							Data En	Data Entry By			Theresa Lacusta				
Legal Land Location NW SEC 13 TWP 86 RGE 12 W							Data En	Data Entry Date			08-Apr-2013				
Longitude, Latitude -115:45:20, 56:27:39							Reviewe	Reviewer Name			x				
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					Г)		Review	Date		08-Apr-2013	3				
Contract Main. Area CMA02					/		Dept. Re	Dept. Reviewer Name							
Clear Roadway		10 /					Dept. Re	eview Da	te						
AADT/Year		580 / 201	2 (A)				Follow-L	Ір Ву							
Road Classifica	tion	RCU-209	. ,												
Detour Length (135													
Allowable Load		gle CS1	28		Semi	CS2 49		Train	CS	3 62		> On Critic	cal Spans /lember		
Design Loading: MS230					II								> Primary Span		
						Posting	Informatio	n			1				
Required Load I	Posting	(t)		Single			Serr	ni			Truck Train				
Posted Loading	(t)			Single			Sem	ni			Truck Train				
Posted:	Lane	EB		At Junc	tion (Y/N)	No	In A	dvance (Y/N)	No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No		
Posted:	Lane	WB		At Junc	tion (Y/N)	No	In A	In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No		
Remarks	Not re	quired													
Hazard Marker	At Brido	ge (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	es		Not inst	alled at l	oridge.										
						Utilities (Located a	t)							
Utility Attachme	nts					Utilities (Located a	t)							
		optics S. I	ROW			Utilities (Gas								
Telephone		optics S. I	ROW			Utilities (Gas Municipa	al							
Telephone Power Others		optics S. I	ROW			Utilities (Gas	al	No						
Telephone Power Others		optics S. I	ROW				Gas Municipa Problem	al	No						
Telephone Power Others		optics S. I	ROW			Approa	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road	al (Y/N)							
Telephone Power Others Remarks	Fibre	optics S. I	ROW		Las	Appros st Now	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana	al (Y/N) tion of (Condi						
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align	Fibre	optics S. I	ROW		Las 7	Appros St Now 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana	al (Y/N) tion of (Condi	tion st approach.					
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme	Fibre ment	optics S. I			Las	Appros St Now 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.					
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	Fibre ment ent (m)	optics S. I	ROW		Las 7 7	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea		aches	·····			
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump	Fibre ment ent (m)	optics S. I	12.600		Las 7	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	aches	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	Fibre ment ent (m)	optics S. I			Las 7 7 5	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7 5 6	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	aches				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	Fibre ment ent (m)	optics S. I	12.600 Yes		Las 7 7	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7 5 6	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	aches				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	Fibre ment (m)		12.600 Yes 46.000		Las 7 7 5	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7 5 6	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	aches				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	ment (m)		12.600 Yes 46.000 No		Las 7 7 5 6	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7 5 6	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	aches				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	ment (m)		12.600 Yes 46.000	D DOWI	Las 7 7 5 6	Appro- st Now 7 7 7 7 5 6	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	paches				
Telephone Power Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	ment (m)		12.600 Yes 46.000 No TURNE	DDOWI	Las 7 7 5 6	Appros st Now 7 7 7 7 5 6 6 6	Gas Municipa Problem ach Road Explana Horizont	al (Y/N) tion of (al curve	Condi on ea	st approach.	aches				

	_						
Bridge Com	-						Explanation of Condition
	an : SM, 1 Sp a	ans, Len	ngths(n	n): 11, A-Ide	nt Numb	per:)	
Special Feat						N/	
Special Feat	ure					X	
(Type:)							
Special Feat	ure					X	
(Type :)							
Wearing Sur	ace/Deck To		Ratings				
	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)		-
Last							
Now	10.0				_		
Wearing Sur					5	4	Wide longitudinal crack at girder locationsphoto
(Material T	ype : CONCR	RETE)					Rust stains on deck underside originating from connection pockets.
(Thickness	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-
	ection Proble	em l	Yes				
(Y/N)						N	
Deck Top					N	N	
Deck Rideab	ility				6	6	
Doon naoab						Ŭ	
Deck Joints					N	7	Buffer angle
Bump (Y/N)	1	No				
Deck Draina	ge				7	7	NO DRAINS
Drains Clo		1	No				
Curbs/Media					6	6	
	e : Standard)					-	
	ercent Area)	1	1				
Bridge Rail	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				7	7	
	LVANIZED S				-		
TUBE BEA	M TYPE 1)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				JILLL	
Bridge Rail F	osts				5	5	
(Type : GA	LVANIZED P	POST ST	EEL)				
Bridge Rail/F	osts Coating				7	7	
	LVANIZED)						
Sidewalk	· · · · · ·				Х	Х	
Girder Detail	Ratings						
	N (count)	1 (cou	int)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	
Last							-
Now							
Girders					4	5	Narrow crack in East & West ends. G3,G4,G5,G6,G7,G8.
· · · ·	te Inspection		12-Mar	-2013			
Cracking (۱ ۱	Yes				
	ercent Area)	(0				
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N							
(Number Of	Girders : 9)						
Span Alignn	nent Problen	ns					
Vertical (Y/	′N)	1	No				
Horizontal	(Y/N)	1	No				
Superstruct	ure General	Rating			4	5	
•		5				L	

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Comp	onent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments						
	Backwall Piles					-
(Extended B	Backwall Piles	s Spacing(mm	n) : 1500)			
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Co	rbels : 1:1)				
Bearing Seats			ngs			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last						
Now						
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		8	8	
(Type : STE	EL)					
(Depth(mm)) : 300)					
(Width(mm)	: 300)					
Backwalls/Bre	eastwalls			5	5	160mm gap below backwall. No loss of fill. Gap under backwall due
Greatest He	eight (m)	2.00				to settlement.
Wingwalls					6	Based on 75% visiblility
(Total Numbe	er of Bearing F	Piles : 6:6)				Steel H piles
Piles Detail R		,				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last					·	
Now						
Piles		·		8	8	
Paint/Coating	I			8	8	
Abutment Sta	bility			8	8	
Scour/Erosion	า			6	6	160MM GAP BELOW BACKWALL.
Piers/Bents						
(Type :)						
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Cor	rbels :)				
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls Detail Ratir	ngs			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last						
Now						4
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		Х	X	
(Type :)						-
(Depth(mm)						-
(Width(mm)						
	er of Bearing F	Piles :)				-
Piles Detail R						-
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	-
Last						-
Now					v	-
Pier Shaft/Pile				X	X	
Greatest He						
Bracing/Strut	s/Sheathing			X	X	
Nose Plate				X	X	

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Paint/Coating		X	X	
(Colour Description :)				
(Colour Code :)				
Pier Stability		X	X	
Scour		Х	Х	
Debris (Y/N)	Debris (Y/N) No			
Substructure General Rating	1	8	8	
		s	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : S)				
(D/S Direction : N)				
Alignment		7	7	
Bank Stability		7	7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				NO HWM VISIBLE.
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		7	7	
(Type : RIP RAP)				
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X	
Adequacy of Opening		9	9	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1 :	NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2 :	NONE)			
Channel General Rating		7	7	

					Maintena	nce Rec	ommenda	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Yea	ar	Inspecto	or Comme	nts			Department Co	ommer	nts		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL														
SEAL CURBS														
PATCH DECK														
OVERLAY DECK														
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS														
WASHING														
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS														
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS														
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS														
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIO	N													
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP														
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION														
INSTALL STRUTS														
OTHER ACTION														
OTHER ACTION	201	3	Seal cra	cks in dec	k & at appr	oach.								
OTHER ACTION														
OTHER ACTION														
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%)	w) 66.7	7/72.:	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating	(Last/No	ow) 5	9.9/61.6	Es	st. Repl. Yr	2041	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov	w) 66.7	7/72.:	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating	(Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	st. Repl. Yr	2041	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	w) 66.7	7/72.:	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating	(Last/No	ow) 5	Department	Es	st. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for	w) 66.7	7/72.:	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating	(Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	st. Repl. Yr		Maint. Re		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	w) 66.7	7/72.:	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating	(Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	st. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	w) 66.7	7/72.:	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating	(Last/No	ow) 5	Department Comments	Es	st. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	w) 66.7		2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating			Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Brian Pient	isch	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating		Previous A	Department Comments Date		Lisbeth Mec	lina			Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Nov (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date		isch	2	Sufficier (%)	ncy Rating		Previous A	Department Comments Date			lina			Yes