BEAVER 867:02 C	RIVER,				Form Ty			PSR				
ST. LINA BEAVER 867:02 C	RIVER,											
BEAVER 867:02 C	RIVER,				Lot No.		2					
BEAVER 867:02 C	RIVER,	ST. LINA				Inspector Name			Wade Nanninga			
867:02 C		BEAVER RIVER, 7, WATERCR				Inspector Class			BR CLS A			
	867:02 C1 26.717				Assistant Name							
	/ear				Assistant Class							
					Inspection Date			14-Dec-2012				
					Data En	try By		Theresa Lacusta				
SW SEC 31 TWP 62 RGE 10 W					Data En	try Date	;	16-Jan-2013				
gitude, Latitude -111:30:12, 54:23:57					Reviewer Name		Eric Carcou	x				
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					Review Date		09-Jan-201	3				
ntract Main. Area CMA08				Dept. Reviewer Name			Name	Paul Catt				
y/Skew 8.2 / 15 deg. (RHF)				Dept. Review Date					3			
230 / 201	1 (A)		· · ·					-				
RCU-209	-110				_	. ,						
50		,										
gle		Sem	i			Trair	ר			> On Critical	cal Spans	
	0											
H520			De	sting k	nformatic	<u></u>				> Phimary	opan	
(†)		Single		osung n					Truc	k Train		
(1)												
NR			√/NI)	No			(V/NI)				No	
		· · · · ·		-			· /				No	
30			1/11)	INU		uvance	(1/1)					
	Vaa											
	res											
	Dridge n		~ @ NI	A/								
	Diluge p				ocated	ot)						
			01	inties (i		at)						
					Gas							
						al						
					1 TODIEII	I (I / I N)						
				Annroa	ch Road							
							Condi	tion				
	1		6	6	Intersection with local road 30m south. Steep grades both direct					oth directions.		
			6	6								
					S intersection visibility slightly impeded by trees.							
	1											
	10.300				-							
			7	7								
	Yes				Not all in	nstalled	exactly	at 540mm.				
	1		4	6	-							
					-							
N)	Yes				-							
	Turned	Down			ļ							
			8	8								
ral Pating			e	e								
	230 / 201 RCU-209 50 []e HS2 (t) SB e (Y/N) 	230 / 2011 (A) RCU-209-110 50 gle	230 / 2011 (A) RCU-209-110 50 gle Single Single HS20 (t) KSB At Junction () SB At Junction () SB At Junction () At Jun	230 / 2011 (A) RCU-209-110 SC Semi gle Single HS20 Single NB At Junction (Y/N) SB At Junction (Y/N) SB At Junction (Y/N) Bridge plaque missing @ N' Bridge plaque missing @ N' Ut In 10.300 In 10.300 In 32.500 N Yes In 32.500 In Ind Down In Ind Down	230 / 2011 (A) RCU-209-110 50 Semi gle KSemi HS20 Posting I (t) Single NB At Junction (Y/N) No SB At Junction (Y/N) No e (Y/N) Yes Yes Bridge plaque missing @ NW. Utilities (VIIIIties (Single Single I At Junction (Y/N) No e (Y/N) Yes Yes I In 300 In 300 I In 300 Transition (Yingle) Yes In 32.500 In 32.500 N) Yes In 32.500 N Yes In Xer Vingle) I In Xer Vingle) In Xer Vingle) N	230 / 2011 (A) Image: Semi semi semi semi semi semi semi semi s	230 / 2011 (A) Image: Control or contro or control or control or contro or control or	230 / 2011 (A) Image: Contract on the contract	230 / 2011 (A) Dept. Keview Date 10-3a1/201 RCU-209-110 50 Follow-Up By 10-3a1/201 50 9 Semi Follow-Up By 10-3a1/201 1 HS20 Follow-Up By 10-3a1/201 1 HS20 Semi Semi 10-3a1/201 NB At Junction (Y/N) No In Advance (Y/N) No SB At Junction (Y/N) No In Advance (Y/N) No Bridge plaque missing @ NW. Utilities (Located at) Municipal Problem (Y/N) Yes Municipal Problem (Y/N) I HS20 Follow-Up By Sintersection visibility slightly impe I 10.300	230 / 2011 (A) Installed exactly at 540mm. 230 / 2011 (A) Semi Installed exactly at 540mm. RCU-209-110 Semi Train 50 Semi Train HS20 Semi Truc HS20 Semi Truc NB At Junction (Y/N) No In Advance (Y/N) No SB At Junction (Y/N) No In Advance (Y/N) No At B e (Y/N) Yes Gas Municipal Problem (Y/N) No Villities Last Now Explanation of Condition Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by Intersection visibility slightly impeded by Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by Intersection visibility slightly impeded by Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by Intarget Intersection visibility slightly impeded by Intersection visibility slightly impeded by Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by Intersection visibility slightly impeded by Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by Intersection visibility slightly impeded by Sintersection visibility slightly impeded by	230 / 2011 (A) Image: Constrained of the sector of the	

							tructure					
Bridge Comp							Explanation of Condition					
(Primary Spa	n : PM, 4 Spa	ns, Le	ngths(I	n): 16.8-16.8-	16.8-16	5.8, A-Io	lent Number:)					
Special Feat	ures					1						
Special Featu	ıre					X						
(Type :)						-						
Special Featu	ıre					X						
(Type :)												
Wearing Surf	ace/Deck Top	Detail	Rating	5								
	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)							
Last												
Now	50.0											
Wearing Surf	ace				9	8						
(Material Ty	/pe : POLYME	ER MO	DIFIED	ACP)								
(Thickness)												
Lateral Conne (Y/N)	· · · ·	n	No									
Deck Top					N	N						
Deck Rideabi	lity				9	9						
Deck Joints					3	8						
Temperatur	re (deg. C)		-6				Caulked saw cut in asphalt.					
(Expansion	Type :)											
(Fixed Type	e:)											
Gap Size (r	nm)		Gap L	ocation								
0			A1									
0			P1									
0			P2									
0			P3									
0			A2									
Deck Drainag	ie				9	8						
Drains Clog			No			-						
Curbs/Mediar			-		4	N	Top of curb patches slightly subset allowing some ponding (in					
	: Standard)				•		process of correcting). Curb cover plate bolts project out and must					
Scaling (Pe	· · ·						be ground flush. Poor old patch 3rd post from NE04-Aug-2010					
Bridge Rail					9	9						
				EAM TYPE 1	-	5						
Bridge Rail P					3	8						
(Type : GA		OST S	TEEL;G	ALVANIZED	-	0						
STEEL)	oste Coating				9	8						
Bridge Rail/P	LVANIZED)				9	0						
Sidewalk	LVANIZED)				X	X						
Girder Detail	Ratings											
	N (count)	1 (co	unt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)						
Last												
Now												
Girders					4	4	G1, S2 has been repaired in the past on the top in hope of stopping					
Cracking (Y	′/N)		Yes				water. Wide cracks on outside edge with rust staining appear to be					
	· · ·		0				moist from last snow. Crack is 3m @ SW and 1.5m @ NW. Because corrosion appears to be only stirrups and not strand and					
Spalling (Percent Area) 0 (Number Of Girders : 40)							because it is a curb unit. Rating boosted up to a 4.					

Alberta Transportation

			Supers	tructure				
Bridge Component		Last		Explanation of Condition				
(Primary Span : PM, 4 Spans, Lo	engths(m): 16.8-16.8-	16.8-16	6.8, A-lo	dent Number:)				
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		X	Х					
Bearings		7	7					
Temperature (deg. C)	6							
(Expansion Type :)								
(Fixed Type : NEOPRENE ST								
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes							
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes							
Deck Underside		5	5	On exterior South end of span 3, west curb. Rust stains on exterior				
Stains (Percent Area)	2			fascia and leach stains on underside.				
Span Alignment Problems								
Vertical (Y/N)	No							
Horizontal (Y/N)	No							
Superstructure General Rating		4	4					
		•						
			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Abutments								
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7					
(Type : CONCRETE)								
Backwalls/Breastwalls		7	7					
Wingwalls		7	7					
Piles		N	N					
Paint/Coating		4	4	Tar missing on 25%.				
Abutment Stability		4	4	A1 cap has rotated to the paint, where girders are touching concrete with 1 minor spall.				
Scour/Erosion		4	4	Headslope gully (up to 1mx1m) at SE.				
Piers/Bents								
(Type : PIER-COLUMN)				Rust stains emminating from concrete at all cap ends. Minor spall				
Bearing Seats/Caps		4	4	and delam at W end underside of all caps - shallow cover.				
(Type : CONCRETE)				1				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	5:5:5)							
Pier Shaft/Piles		7	7					
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		7	7					
Nose Plate		N	X					
Paint/Coating			4	Lower 1m of coating has superficial corrosion and corroded along				
(Colour Description : BLUE)				some welds.				
(Colour Code : 502-105)								
Pier Stability		7	7					
Scour		7	N					
Debris (Y/N)	Yes			Old TT piles remaining at P3 and 30m u/s to West.				
Substructure General Rating		4	4					

		Ś	Structu	re Usage				
			Now	Explanation of Condition				
Channel								
(U/S Direction : W)								
(D/S Direction : E)								
Alignment		8	8					
Bank Stability		8	8					
HWM (m below Top of Curb)								
Drift (Y/N)	Yes			HWM not visible.				
Slope Protection		7	4	Riprap at toe - headslopes = none.				
(Type : RIP RAP)				Erosion gulley at SE, fill settled @ South abut.				
Guidebank/Spurs		X	Х					
Adequacy of Opening		8	8					
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)							
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)							
Channel General Rating 7 4								

			Maintenance R	Recommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspect	tor Comments		Department Comr	ments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL										
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL										
SEAL CURBS										
PATCH DECK										
SEAL DECK										
OVERLAY DECK										
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS										
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS										
WASHING										
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS										
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	ON									
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP										
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION										
OTHER ACTION	2013	Paint pi	iles in Pier 2.							
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTUED AOTION										
OTHER ACTION										
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No.	ow) 44.4/4	4.4	Sufficiency Rating (Last (%)	/Now) {	57.8/54.1	Est. Repl. Yr	2033	Maint. Rec	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No			(%)	/Now) 5	57.8/54.1 Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2033	Maint. Rec	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)Special Comments for Next Inspection			(%)	:/Now) 5	Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Rec		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for			(%)	:/Now) 5	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No.(%)Special Comments for Next InspectionMonitor girder crackMaintenance Reviewed By			(%)	:/Now) 5	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy			(%)	/Now) 5	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No.Special Comments for Next InspectionMonitor girder crackMaintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term StrategyOn 3-Year Program (Y/N)			(%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Monitor girder crack Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	ing, pier cap s		(%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Monitor girder crack Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	ing, pier cap se		(%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Bryan Wai				Yes