Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

						E	Bridge <u>I</u>	nspecti	ion						
Bridge File Num	nber	80644 W	-1 Bridge)								PSR			
Year Built/Year		1986/198	36					Lot No.				1			
Supstr								Inspector Name				Jason Saly			
Bridge or Town	Name							Inspe	ctor Cl	ass		BR CLS A			
Located Over				R, 6.5, V	WATER	RCRS-ST Assistant Name									
Located On		16:24 L1	23.178					Assist	tant Cl	ass					
Water Body Cl./								Inspe	ction D	Date		19-Jul-2012			
Navigabil. CI./Y	ear							Data I	Entry E	Βv		Marcia Chavez			
Legal Land Loc	ation	NE SEC	7 TWP 5	2 RGE 1	4 W4M			Data Entry By Data Entry Date				10-Aug-2012			
Longitude, Latit	ude	-112:02:5	56, 53:28	:37					wer N			John O'Brien			
Road Authority		Alberta T	ransport	ation (Al	T)			Revie	w Date	Э		28-Jul-2012			
Contract Main.	Area	CMA14						Dept.	Revie	wer Na	me				
Clear Roadway	/Skew	_ ,						 Dept. Reviewer Name Dept. Review Date 				13-Aug-2012			
AADT/Year		5,740 / 2011 (A)						Follow-Up By				10 / 10 20 1	-		
Road Classifica							. 0107		.,						
Detour Length (km)	1													
Allowable Load	(t): Sir	ngle CS1 28 Se			Semi	C	S2 49		7	Frain	CS	3 62		> On Critic >Critical M	cal Spans Iember
Design Loading: MS300												> Primary	Span		
		(1)				Po	osting I	nforma					-		
	equired Load Posting (t) Single						Semi					k Train			
Posted Loading	1	Single						Semi			Truck Train				
Posted:	Lane	EB			tion (Y/I					nce (Y			At Bridge (Y/N)		
Posted:	Lane	WB At Junction (tion (Y/I	N)	No	In	In Advance (Y/N) No		No	At Bridge (Y/N) No		No		
Remarks			_												
Hazard Marker	At Brid	ge (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	es														
						Ut	ilities (Located	d at)						
Utility Attachme	nts							-							
Telephone								Gas							
Power	10 wii	es OH 12	0m Sout	n of wbd	c/l; 3 o/	h N	r/w.	Munic							
Others								Proble	em (Y/	N) N	0				
Remarks															
					_			ach Roa				•			
					L	ast	Now	Expla	anatior	n of Co	ondi	lion			
Horizontal Align						8	8	-							
Vertical Alignme			40.005			9	8								
Roadway Width (m) 13.800			13.800				-	-							
	Approach Bump					6	6								
Approach Bump	Guardrail (Y/N) Yes						-								
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)			Guardrail			8	7								
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail						0									
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)			65.000					Not th	nrie be	am.					
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	lard (Y/	N)	65.000 No			0		Not th	nrie be	am.					
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand Termination T	lard (Y/	N)	65.000 No	D DOW	N										
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	lard (Y/	N)	65.000 No	D DOW	N	N	5			am. sealed					

Duidas O						Structure					
Bridge Com		ana Lanath	-(m), 40 A L-	Last	Now	Explanation of Condition					
· · · ·		bans, Length	s(m): 40, A-Id	ient Nun	iber:)						
Special Feat					X						
(Type :)					~						
Special Feature					X	-					
(Type :)					Λ						
Wearing Surface/Deck Top Detail Ratings											
Wearing Sun	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)							
Last	60	0	0		0	-					
Now	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-					
Wearing Surf		0.0	0.0	N	4	96m2 of ACP debonding noted in 2011.					
ŭ		ONVENTION	AL CHIP SEA			Transv. & random cracks reflecting through the chip seal coat.					
(Thickness	•				· /	Rutting along with small potholes forming in the truck lane.					
`	ection Proble	m No				-					
(Y/N)											
Deck Top				N	N						
D					-						
Deck Rideab	llity			8	7						
Deck Joints				5	5	No evidence of leakage this inspection.					
Temperature (deg. C) 21						Jnts were flooded with deck testing 16Jun2011, no leakage was observed.					
(Expansion Type : ARMOURED GLAND (WABO UN					INGER	5m of cover plates at E abut have been removed & replaced with					
OR SLIDING PLATES))						welded plates (gland is visible).					
(Fixed Type SLIDING P	e : ARMOURI LATES))	ED GLAND (V	VABO UNDE	r finge	R OR						
Gap Size (mm) Gap Location						Exp.					
92		E. at	out			Fix					
76		W. a	but								
Deck Draina	je			3	3	Water is circumventing weep tube @ SE + NW & leaking through					
Drains Clo	gged (Y/N)	Yes				girder flange. Resulting in delams.					
Curbs/Media	n			N	6	Vertical & transv. cracks every approx 2.5 m.					
(Curb Type	: Standard)					_					
Scaling (Pe	ercent Area)	0									
Bridge Rail				8	8						
(Type : GA	LVANIZED S	TEEL BRIDG	E TUBE)								
Bridge Rail P	osts			8	7						
(Type : GA STEEL)	LVANIZED P	OST STEEL;	GALVANIZED	POST							
Bridge Rail/P	osts Coating			7	7	1					
	LVANIZED)					1					
Sidewalk	/			X	X						
0.1.5											
Girder Detail		4 (1)	0 (0.1							
Loot	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou		East end G1 + G12 West end have delams bottom flange from poor deck drainage. G1 + G2 have end block cracks that extend into					
Last Now	0	0	0		2 2	webs.					
NOW	U U	1 U	1 U		2						

			Supers	tructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : DBT, 1 Spans, L	engths(m): 40, A-Ide	nt Nun	nber:)	
Girders		3	3	All girders have typical DBT hairline cracks in end block @ both
Cracking (Y/N)	Yes			ends. The curb units have hairline cracks in bottom 1/4 & carry into web.
Spalling (Percent Area)	1			
(Number Of Girders : 12)				
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		8	8	
Bearings		4	4	G12 anchor bolt broken off at A1.
Temperature (deg. C)				
(Expansion Type : REINFORCI TEFLON AND STAINLESS ST	ED NEOPRENE BEAF TEEL)	RING W	VITH	
(Fixed Type : REINFORCED N TEFLON AND STAINLESS ST	EOPRENE BEARING EEL)	WITH		E abut W abut
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		8	7	
Stains (Percent Area)	1			
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rating		3	3	
-				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments		8	8	
Bearing Seats/Caps (Type : CONCRETE)		0	0	
Backwalls/Breastwalls		9	8	
Dackwalls/Dieastwalls		9	0	
Wingwalls		8	8	
Piles		N	N	
Paint/Coating		7	7	
Abutment Stability		8	8	
Scour/Erosion		7	7	
Piers/Bents				
(Type :)				
Bearing Seats/Caps		X	Х]
(Type :)				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :				
Pier Shaft/Piles		X	Х	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		Х	Х	
Nose Plate		Х	Х	
Paint/Coating		X	Х	
(Colour Description :)				1
(Colour Code :)				1
Pier Stability		X	X	
Scour		Х	Х	

			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating		8	8	
			Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : S)				_
(D/S Direction : N)			_	
Alignment			7	
Bank Stability		7	7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible.
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection			6	
(Type : RIP RAP; RIP RAP)				
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X	
Adequacy of Opening			9	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	2 : NONE)			
Channel General Rating		7	6	

tation
anspor
erta Tr
ЧР

Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)

80644 W-1 Bridge Maintenance Recommendations

Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Comments	nents	-	Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS									
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSION									
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION	2012	Redesign deck drainage at corners to eliminate tubes so girders don't deteriorate.	to eliminate						
OTHER ACTION	2012	Patch G1 + G12 delamsepoxy inject.	ct.						
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/Now) (%)	61.1/61.1	I Sufficiency Rating (Last/Now) (%)		62.0/60.5	Est. Repl. Yr	2044	Maint. Reqd. (Y/N)		Yes
Special ACP is starting to fail, wi comments for corrosion condition with new ACP/membrane pro-	ith rutting & a an average (stection syste	ACP is starting to fail, with rutting & approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is in good corrosion condition with an average CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider installing new ACP/membrane protection system.		Department Comments					
Maintenance Reviewed By				Date		Ŭ	Estimated Total	0	
Proposed Long-Term Strategy ACF	o ok BIM ins	ACP ok BIM inspection Feb 2008. Mill and replace 40mm in 2014. PC	0mm in 2014	. PC					
On 3-Year Program (Y/N)									
Proposed Action									
Previous Inspector's Name Owe	Owen Salava		Previous A	Previous Assistant's Name					
Next Inspection Date 19-/	19-Apr-2014		Previous Ir	Previous Inspection Date	16-Dec-2010				
Inspection Cycle (Default) (months) 21									
Comment									

		Maintenance Recomn	endations						
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments	Department C	commer	nts		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS									
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	DN								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION	2012	Redesign deck drainage at corners to eliminate tubes so girders don't deteriorat	Deck rehab pr	rogramr	med		2012		
OTHER ACTION	2012	Patch G1 + G12 delamsepoxy inject.	Deck rehab pr	rogramr	med		2012		
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
o menor									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	w) 61.1/61	.1 Sufficiency Rating (Last/Now) (%)	62.0/60.5	Est	. Repl. Yr	2044	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	, with rutting &	(%) approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is inverage CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider			t. Repl. Yr ehab program			qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)Special Comments for Next InspectionACP is starting to fail good corrosion condi installing new ACP/m	, with rutting &	(%) approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is in verage CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider ection system.			ehab program	amed 2012			Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)Special Comments for Next InspectionACP is starting to fail good corrosion condi installing new ACP/mMaintenance Reviewed ByA	, with rutting & tion with an a nembrane prot	(%) approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is inverage CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider ection system.	Department Comments	Deck r	ehab program	amed 2012	2		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%)Special Comments for Next InspectionACP is starting to fail good corrosion condi installing new ACP/mMaintenance Reviewed ByA	, with rutting & tion with an a nembrane prot	(%) approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is inverage CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider ection system.	Department Comments	Deck r	ehab program	amed 2012	2		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection ACP is starting to fail good corrosion conditionstalling new ACP/m Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	, with rutting & tion with an a nembrane prot	(%) approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is inverage CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider ection system.	Department Comments	Deck r	ehab program	amed 2012	2		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection ACP is starting to fail good corrosion conditionstalling new ACP/m Maintenance Reviewed By A Proposed Long-Term Strategy A On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	, with rutting & tion with an a nembrane prot	(%) a approx. 96m2 of debonding. Structure is in verage CSE reading of -0.129m V. Consider ection system.	Department Comments	21-Nov	ehab program	amed 2012	2		Yes

Inspection Cycle (Default) (months)	21
Comment	