80844 WC-2 Bridge

								3ridge	Ins	spect	tion							
Bridge File Num	ber	8084	14 WC	C-2 Bridg	ge				F	Form	Туре	:		PSR				
Year Built/Year Supstr		1985	5/1985	5					F	Lot N				2				
Bridge or Town I	Name	LETH	HBRII	DGE						•	ector N			Garry Roberts				
Located Over	100			863;5:07	7 R1 5.8	70				Inspector Class Assistant Name				BR CLS A				
Located On		1		83-1 C														
Water Body CI./	⁄ear									Assistant Class			01-Dec-2011	ı				
Navigabil. Cl./Ye										Inspection Date			Anne Robert					
Legal Land Location NE SEC 32 TWP 8 RGE 21 W4N					М			Data Entry By  Data Entry Date										
Longitude, Latitude -112:48:41, 49:41:52									Reviewer Name			Joel Wozney	08-Jan-2012					
Road Authority Alberta Tr				ansporta			Reviewer Name  Review Date			13-Dec-2011								
Contract Main. Area CMA25																		
Clear Roadway/Skew 7.5 / -6 deg.				g. (LHF)						•		ew Da		12-Jan-2012				
AADT/Year 1,200 / 20				07 (E)							w-Up							
Road Classification RFD-412.4-130												,						
Detour Length (kg		1				1												
Allowable Load (	t): Sir	ngle	CS1 2	28	Semi			CS2 49				Train	CS	CS3 62		> On Critical Spans> Critical Member		
Design Loading:			MS30	00									<u> </u>			> Primary S		
							P	osting	Inf	orma	ation							
Required Vert. C	learar	nce Po	osting	(m)	UNDEF	R: 5 L1	5.2m	n, 5 R′	4.9	9m								
Posted Vertical (	Cleara	ance (\	Y/N)		Yes													
Posted: Lane	NB	0	n Brid	dge (m)		In Adv	ance	(Y/N)	No	0	Lane	SB	C	n Bridge (m)	4.7	In Advance	(Y/N) No	
Remarks																		
Required Load F	osting	g (t)			Single						Semi				Truck Train			
Posted Loading	(t)			Single						Semi			Truck Train					
Posted:	Lane		IB	At Junction (		//N)	No		lı	In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N) No		No			
Posted:	Lane		B		At Junction (Y			No		In Advance (Y/N)			No At Bridge (Y/N) No		No			
Remarks	Not re	equire	d															
Hazard Marker A	At Brid	lge (Y/	/N)	No														
Remarks																		
Other Sign Type	S			ROUTE	, MERG	E, 70ł		R tilities	// 0		-l -4\							
Utility Attachmer				IES-GAS		OTHE						ES; TI	ELEP	HONE UTILIT	TES-F	PHONE LINE;	POWER	
Telephone	@ N	<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		OWER	\_			Gas					@ SE					
		00m N	٧							Municipal								
Others		standa								Problem (Y/N) No								
Remarks											•							
								Appr	oacl	h Ro	ad							
							Last	Nov	$\overline{}$	Explanation of Condition								
Horizontal Alignr							5	5			City file 85-000-25. Over Mayor Magrath Signed as 85-000-8							
Vertical Alignme							5	5										
Roadway Width	(m)			7.500				_		Heav	e of 5	0mm	@ eas	st approach				
Approach Bump							5	4										
Guardrail (Y/N)				Yes					_ 1	Guar 150 r	drail is nm m	s conc nisaliar	rete p nment	arapets. at NE.				
Guardrail				04.000			7	4										
Length (m)	1 () (	/ <b>/</b>		24.000														
Current Standa		/IN)		Yes														
Termination Ty Drainage	rpe			sloped			7	7										
-					1	'	_											
Approach Road	Gene	eral R	ating				5	5										

					Supers	structure
Bridge Component				Last		Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : PB, 1 Sp	oans, Len	gths(n	n): 36, A-Ide			
Special Features		•				
Special Feature					Х	
(Type:)						
Special Feature					Х	
(Type:)						
Wearing Surface/Deck To	on Detail	Ratings	<u> </u>			
N (%)	1 (%)	rtatirige	2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last 0	· · · /	0	0		0	-
Now 0.0		.0	0.0		.0	
Wearing Surface			0.0	6	6	Some hairline crks and abrasion
(Material Type : CONC	DETE)					Some mainine circs and abrasion
(Thickness(mm) : <b>50</b> )	KLIL)					_
Lateral Connection Probl	om	No				_
(Y/N)	CIII					
Deck Top				N	N	
'						
Deck Rideability					7	
Deck Joints				7	7	
Temperature (deg. C)	-	-2				
(Expansion Type : GLA	ND (WA	во-ма	UER, TRAN	SFLEX,	ETC))	
(Fixed Type : )					-	
Gap Size (mm)		Gap L	ocation			
80		E abu				
60		W abu	ut			-
						-
						-
Deck Drainage				7	5	No drains
Drains Clogged (Y/N)		No		-		
Curbs/Median				7	7	
(Curb Type : Standard	)			,		-
Scaling (Percent Area)	<u> </u>	 1				
Bridge Rail				7	7	3 steel round tubes on square steel
(Type : <b>BRIDGE TUBE</b>	3)			1		HSS posts
Bridge Rail Posts	.)			5	5	40% grout failure on edges
(Type : GALVANIZED	POST ST	EEL;G	ALVANIZED		<u> </u>	
STEEL)					I -	-
Bridge Rail/Posts Coating	g			5	5	-
(Type : <b>PAINT</b> )						
Sidewalk				X	X	
Girder Detail Ratings						
N (count)	1 (cou	ınt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	ınt)	
Last 0		0	0		0	
Now 0		0	0		0	
Girders				7	7	Hairline @ exteriors
Cracking (Y/N)		Yes				
Spalling (Percent Area	)	0				
(Number Of Girders : 2)						

		(	Supers	tructure
Bridge Component		1		Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : PB, 1 Spans, Le	engths(m): 36, A-Ident	Numb		
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		7	7	
Bearings		7	7	
Temperature (deg. C)	18			
(Expansion Type : POT BEAR	NG)			
(Fixed Type : <b>POT BEARING</b> )				
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		7	7	
Stains (Percent Area)	0			
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rating		7	7	
			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments				
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7	
(Type : <b>CONCRETE</b> )				
Backwalls/Breastwalls		7	7	
Wingwalls		6	6	
Piles		N	N	Buried
Paint/Coating		5	5	
Abutment Stability		8	8	
Scour/Erosion		Х	Х	
Piers/Bents				
(Type:)				
Bearing Seats/Caps		Х	X	
(Type : )				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	)			
Pier Shaft/Piles		X	X	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		X	X	
Nose Plate		Х	Х	
Paint/Coating		Х	Х	
(Colour Description : )				
(Colour Code : )				
Pier Stability		Х	Х	
Scour		Х	Х	
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating	1	7	7	

		S	tructu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Grade Separation				
Road Alignment		6	6	23m road under bridge Traffic lights @ both ends
Traffic Safety Features		7	7	
Туре	Type MEDIAN			
Slope Protection		X	7	
(Type : CONCRETE; CONCRE	TE)			
Bank Stability		Х	X	
Drainage		7	7	
Grade Separation General Ratio	ng	6	6	

80844 WC-2 Bridge

				N	<i>l</i> laintenance	Recommend	ations						
Inspector Recommendations	Yea	ar	Inspecto	r Comments	3		Department Co	mmen	its		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL													
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL													
SEAL CURBS													
PATCH DECK													
SEAL DECK													
OVERLAY DECK													
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS													
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS													
WASHING													
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS													
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	N												
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP													
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION													
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION		12	Blast and coat N wingwall. Reseal parapet caulking										
OTHER ACTION													
OTHER ACTION	201	12	Patch M	SE caps @	NE & NW								
OTHER ACTION  Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)		12 . <b>8/77.</b> 8		1	NE & NW y Rating (Las	t/Now)	58.4/58.4	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2061	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No				Sufficience		et/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr	2061	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for				Sufficience		et/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection				Sufficience		t/Now)	Department	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By				Sufficience		t/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy				Sufficience		t/Now)	Department Comments	Es	t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		.8/77.8		Sufficience			Department Comments		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name	77.	.8/77.8		Sufficience		Previous	Department Comments  Date		t. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Garry Rob	.8/77.8		Sufficience		Previous	Department Comments  Date  Assistant's Name						Yes

Bridge Inspection & Maintenance System (Web 2005)