							Bridge I	nspection							
Bridge File Num	ber	80869 -1	Bridge					Form Typ	e		PCS				
Year Built/Year		1988/198	38					Lot No.			2				
Supstr								Inspector Name			Brian Pientsch				
Bridge or Town I	Name							Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over		LUBICO	N RIVER	8.10.18	.12.15,			Assistant Name			Clem Guenette				
Located On		986:06 C						Assistant Class		BR CLS B					
Water Body Cl./	Year							Inspection Date 12-Mar-201			3				
Navigabil. Cl./Ye								Data Entr	у Ву		Theresa La	custa			
Legal Land Loca		SW SEC	23 TWP	86 RGE	10 W5	М		Data Entr	Data Entry Date 08-Apr-2013						
Longitude, Latitu			06, 56:27					Reviewer Name Eric Carcoux							
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					T)	Review Date			08-Apr-201	3					
Contract Main. Area CMA02					/	Dept. Reviewer Name									
Clear Roadway/			deg. (LHF	;)		Dept. Review			view Dat	е					
AADT/Year		580 / 201		,				Follow-U	о Ву						
Road Classificat	tion	RCU-209	. ,												
Detour Length (F		135													
Allowable Load (28		Semi	C	S2 49	Train CS		CS	3 62	> On Criti >Critical I		ical Spans Member	
Design Loading:		MS2	230									> Primary Span			
						Po	osting I	nformatior	า						
Required Load F	Posting	(t)		Single				Semi	Semi			Truck Train			
Posted Loading	(t)			Single				Semi	Semi			Truck Train			
Posted:	Lane	EB		At Junc	tion (Y/	Y/N) No		In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No		
Posted:	Lane	WB		At Junc	tion (Y/	′N)	No	In Advance (Y/N)		(/N)	No	At Bridge (Y/N)		No	
Remarks	Not re	equired													
Hazard Marker A	At Brid	ge (Y/N)	Yes												
Remarks															
Other Sign Type	es		Not inst	alled on	bridge.										
						Ut	ilities (I	Located at)						
Utility Attachmer	nts														
Telephone								Gas							
Power								Municipa							
Others	Fibre	optic line	-South R	W/W				Problem	(Y/N)	lo					
Remarks															
					-			ch Road							
						_ast		Explanat	ion of C	ondi	tion				
Horizontal Align						8	8	-							
Vertical Alignme			0.400			8	8	14/:-!-					h		
Roadway Width (m) 9.400			9.400			1	0	Wide crack in ACP with ravelling at approaches.							
	Approach Bump		Vee			4	6								
Approach Bump			Yes			0	0	-							
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)						8	8	-							
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail			40.000												
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)		///	46.000					-							
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa		′N)	No					-							
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa Termination Ty		/N)	No	D DOW	N			-							
Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Standa		N)	No TURNE	D DOW	N	8	8	-							

					Supers	structure			
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition			
(Primary Spa	an : SM, 5 Spa i	ns, Lengths(m): 8-10-11-1	0-8, A-le	dent N	umber:)			
Special Feat	tures								
Special Feat	ure				Х				
(Type :)									
Special Feat	ure				Х	-			
(Type :)									
1	face/Deck Top	Detail Rating	s						
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)					
Last		. (/0)							
Now	10.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	-			
Wearing Sur				5	4	Wide cracks over piers-photo			
	ype : CONCRE			0					
(Thickness						Corrosion stains on underside from connector pockets of curbs.			
	ection Problem	n Yes							
(Y/N)	lection Froblen	i ies							
Deck Top				N	N				
Deck Rideab	oility			7	7				
Deck Joints				N	7	Buffer angle			
Bump (Y/N	l)	No							
Deck Draina	ge			7	7	NO DRAINS			
Drains Clo	gged (Y/N)	No							
Curbs/Media	ın			6	6	S1G1 has a minor spall below bridge rail post.			
(Curb Type	e : Standard)								
	ercent Area)	1				-			
Bridge Rail				7	7				
	LVANIZED ST	FEL BRIDG							
Bridge Rail F			_ 1002,	7	7	-			
		OST STEEL;	GALVANIZED		,				
· · · ·	Posts Coating			7	7	-			
u	v			1	/				
	LVANIZED)			X	V				
Sidewalk				X	X				
Girder Detail	Ratings								
Jacob Dottain	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)				
Last		((3.2.2.1.)		,	1			
Now						1			
Girders				4	4	25/45 Girders have hairline cracks.			
	te Inspection D	ate 12-Ma	r-2013	-					
Cracking (•	Yes				 S3G1 has a minor spall on SW corner-outside curb. 			
	Percent Area)	0				S2G1 narrow diagonal crack @ u/s.			
Lift or Conne	ector Pocket	0							
Grouted (Y/N	•					-			
(Number Of									
	nent Problems								
Vertical (Y/	· ·	No				Curbs misaligned 30mm. Stablized since deck overlaid09-Oct-2009			
Horizontal	(Y/N)	Yes							
Superstruct	ure General R	ating		4	4				

Alberta Transportation

						ructure
Bridge Comp	onent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments						
(Extended E	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : N)				
(Extended E	Backwall Piles	Spacing(mm)	:)			
	er of Caps/Cor					-
Bearing Seats	-	Is Detail Rating		a (-
Last	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	-
Last Now						
Bearing Seats	s/Cans/Carbo	le		8	8	
(Type : STE	•	15		0	0	
(Depth(mm)						
(Width(mm)						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5	5	
	Backwalls/Breastwalls Greatest Height (m) 1.10				5	
Wingwalls		1.10		X	6	Based on 50% visibility.
wingwalls				^	0	based on 50% visibility.
(Total Numbe	er of Bearing F	Piles : 6:6)				H piles.
Piles Detail R						
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last						
Now						
Piles				8	7	
Paint/Coating	ļ			5	5	Superficial rust on piles & caps
Abutment Sta	bility			5	5	
Scour/Erosior	า			5	5	
Piers/Bents						
	R-COLUMN)					
		bels : 1:1:1:1)				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ls Detail Rating				
Doaring Coald	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	int)	
Last						
Now						
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		8	8	1
(Type : STE					<u> </u>	
(Depth(mm)						
(Width(mm)						
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>		Piles : 6:6:6:6)				
Piles Detail R						1
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last					,	
Now						
Pier Shaft/Pile	es	-	,	8	7	
Greatest He		4.00				
Bracing/Struts				8	8	
Nose Plate				8	8	
Paint/Coating				5	5	PILES NOT PAINTED 1 M ABOVE WATERLINE.
(Colour Des	scription : BRC	OWN)				5% flaking off piles & caps with superficial rust.
(Colour Code : 504-104;30252)						

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Pier Stability		8	8	
Scour		7	7	
Debris (Y/N)	Yes			Drift across u/s piers.
Substructure General Rating		5	5	
		S	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : S)				
(D/S Direction : N)				
Alignment		8	8	
Bank Stability		7	7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				NO HWM VISIBLE.
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		5	5	Rock riprap installed on West headslope and part on East
(Type : RIP RAP)				headslope to prevent further erosion.
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X	
Adequacy of Opening		8	8	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)			
Channel General Rating		5	5	

			Maintenance F	Recommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspecto	or Comments		Department Comr	ments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL										
SEAL CURBS										
PATCH DECK	2013	Seal cra	cks in deck.							
OVERLAY DECK										
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS										
WASHING										
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS										
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS										
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS										
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	DN									
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP										
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION										
INSTALL STRUTS										
OTHER ACTION	2013	Patch cra	acks at approaches.							
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
OTHER ACTION										
Structural Condition Dating (Loot/No	w) 50.0/50	0	Sufficiency Rating (Last	(Now)	53.6/53.5	Est. Repl. Yr	2036	Maint. Red	d(X/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	w) 50.0/50	.0	(%)		53.0/53.5		2000		1u. (1/1 v)	163
Special Comments for Next Inspection			(%)		Department Comments		2000		μα. (1/1 4)	
(%) Special Comments for	30.0/30		(%)		Department			Estimated Total		
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection			(%)		Department Comments					
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By			(%)		Department Comments					
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	JU.0/30		(%)		Department Comments					
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	Brian Pientsch		(%)		Department Comments	Lisbeth Medin				
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name			(%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Brian Pientsch		(%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Lisbeth Medin				