						Bridge	Inspe	ction							
Bridge File Number	81157 W	-2 Bridge	)			Ĭ		m Type			PSR				
Year Built/Year	1997/199	7					Lot	No.			2				
Supstr							Ins	pector N	lame		Wade Nanninga				
Bridge or Town Name							Ins	Inspector Class			BR CLS A				
Located Over	216:04 R 1OL 9.88	1 9.892;2	216:04 l	_1 9.87	'9;216 4	5:04 C1	- Ass	Assistant Name							
Located On	14:03 L1						Ass	Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl./Year	1 1100 21	0.0.0,02	.0.0 1 21	0.000			Ins	pection	Date		10-Jan-2013	3			
Navigabil. Cl./Year							Dat	ta Entry	Ву		Theresa Lac	usta			
Legal Land Location	SW SEC	16 TWP	52 RGI	= 23 W	4M		Dat	ta Entry	Date		05-Feb-2013	3			
Longitude, Latitude	-113:20:3							Reviewer Name Eric Carcoux							
Road Authority		ta Transportation (AIT)					Re	Review Date 17-Jan-2013							
Contract Main. Area		NTHONY HENDAY DRIVE					De	ot. Revi	ewer N	lame	Brent Herricl	Κ			
Clear Roadway/Skew					Dept. Re			ot. Revi	ew Da	te	14-Feb-2013	3			
AADT/Year	10,010 / 2	2011 (A)					Fol	low-Up	Ву						
Road Classification	RAD-412	` ,													
Detour Length (km)	1														
Allowable Load (t): Sin		28		Semi	i C	S2 49	Train CS			CS	3 62		> On Critic	al Spar lember	ns
Design Loading:	CS7	50											> Primary	Span	
					Р	osting	Infori	nation							
Required Vert. Clearar	nce Postino	g (m)	UNDE	R: 216	C1-1	OL 5.3	m, 216	6 C1-1C	R 5.3r	m, 216	6 L1 5.3m, 21	6 R1	5.3m		
Posted Vertical Cleara	nce (Y/N)		Yes				_								
Posted: Lane NB	On Br	idge (m)	5.3	In Adv	ance	(Y/N)	Yes	Lane	SB	0	n Bridge (m)	5.4	In Advance	(Y/N)	Yes
Remarks NB po	osted on so	outh brid	ge.												
Required Load Posting	(t)		Single		Semi				Truc	k Train					
Posted Loading (t)			Single					Semi			Trucl	ck Train			
Posted: Lane	NB		At Jun	ction (\	Y/N) No			In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Br	At Bridge (Y/N) No			
Posted: Lane	SB		At Jun	ction (Y	Y/N) No			In Advance (Y/N)		No	At Bridge (Y/N) No				
Remarks Not re	equired.														
Hazard Marker At Bridg	ge (Y/N)	Yes													
Remarks		Not req	uired.												
Other Sign Types		Informa	tion, Sp	eed, D	irection	onal.									
					U	tilities	(Loca	ted at)							
Utility Attachments															
Telephone							Ga								
	standards.							nicipal							
Others Lightin	ng.						Pro	blem (Y	′/N)	No					
Remarks															
					Last	Appro			n of C	on all	lion				
Horizontal Alignment					Last 7			olanatio				tion <sup>r</sup>	Recent patch	on	
Horizontal Alignment Vertical Alignment					7	7		roache		pical	graue separa	uon. I	veceur barcu	JII	
Roadway Width (m)		16.100			7	/				acks s	at ends of app	roach	s elabe		
Approach Bump		10.100			5	5	- AC	ı ııas W	nu <del>c</del> Ula	S CAUE	u ciius ui app	nuaul	ı 31au3.		
Guardrail (Y/N)		Yes			3	J									
Guardrail		100			4	4	1 p	ost lean	ing an	d 2 ra	il sectins den	ted S	E.photo		
Length (m)		87.400													
Current Standard (Y/	N)	Yes													
Termination Type	-,	Turn Do	own												
Drainage					6	6									
Approach Road Gene	eral Rating	9			7	7									

							tructure
Bridge Comp	onent				Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
(Primary Spar	n : <b>CBC, 4 Sp</b>	ans, L	engths.	(m): 29-37-37-	-29, A-	Ident N	umber: )
Special Feat	ures					_	
Special Featu	ıre					X	
(Type:)							
Special Featu	ıre					Х	
(Type:)							
Wearing Surfa	ace/Deck Top	Detail	Ratings				
Trouinig Cuit	N (%)	1 (%)		2 (%)	3 (%)		Gravel/ice along curbs.
Last	5	. (70)	0	0		0	Johannes dieng earst
Now	20.0		0			0	
					7	7	Dandon nouse, longitudinal analysis six doct
Wearing Surfa		\			7	7	Random narrow longitudinal cracking in deck.
	/pe : CONCRI	EIE)					
(Thickness(							
Lateral Conne	ection Problen	n	No				
Deck Top					N	N	
Deck Rideabi	lity				8	8	
Deck Joints					7	6	Paving lips have a few 100mm dia. spalled areas.
Temperatur	re (deg. C)		-15				
(Expansion	Type: FINGE	ER PLA	ATES)				
(Fixed Type	e:)						
Gap Size (n			Gap L	ocation			
70	,			abutment - Exp	).		
85				abutment - Ex			-
			11001	abatinont Ex	γ.		-
Deck Drainag	1e				4	4	Deck joint plumbing allows runoff to
Drains Clog			No				splash against breastwall.
Curbs/Mediar					7	7	Narrow vertical cracks with efflorescence staining. At approx 2m
	: Standard)				'	'	intervals.
			0				
Scaling (Pe	iceni Area)		<u> </u>			T -	
Bridge Rail					5	5	Minor dent in South rail.
	LVANIZED ST	LEEL E	BRIDGE	TUBE)			
Bridge Rail Po					8	8	
(Type : GAI STEEL)	LVANIZED PO	OST S	TEEL;G	ALVANIZED I	POST		
Bridge Rail/Po	nete Coating				8	8	
	LVANIZED)						
	LVANIZED)				Х	V	
Sidewalk					^	X	
Girder Detail	Ratings						
	N (count)	1 (co	unt)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last							
Now							
Girders					8	8	
Cracking (Y	′/N)		Yes				
	ercent Area)		0				
(Number Of C							

				tructure
Bridge Component		Last		Explanation of Condition
(Primary Span : CBC, 4 Spans, I	_engths(m): 29-37-37-	29, A-	Ident N	umber: )
Diaphragms/Cross Frame		8	8	
Bearings		8	8	
Temperature (deg. C)	-15			
(Expansion Type : REINFORC TEFLON AND STAINLESS ST	ED NEOPRENE BEAR 'EEL)	ING W	/ITH	
(Fixed Type : REINFORCED P	AD BEARING)			
Coating Adequate (Y/N)	Yes			
Functioning (Y/N)	Yes			
Deck Underside		8	8	
Stains (Percent Area)	0			
Span Alignment Problems				
Vertical (Y/N)	No			
Horizontal (Y/N)	No			
Superstructure General Rating		8	8	
			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments				
Bearing Seats/Caps		8	8	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
Backwalls/Breastwalls		7	7	
Wingwalls		7	7	
Piles		N	N	
Paint/Coating		5	5	
Abutment Stability		8	8	
Scour/Erosion		Х	X	
Piers/Bents				
(Type : <b>PIER-COLUMN</b> )				Caps have narrow vertical cracks.
Bearing Seats/Caps		7	7	
(Type : CONCRETE)				
(Total Number of Bearing Piles :	5:5:5)			Narrow map cracking.
Pier Shaft/Piles		7	7	
Bracing/Struts/Sheathing		X	X	
Nose Plate		Х	X	
Paint/Coating		5	5	1% peeling.
(Colour Description : )				Grey.
(Colour Code : )				J,
Pier Stability		8	8	
Scour		Х	Х	
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating		7	7	

		5	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Grade Separation				
Road Alignment			8	
Traffic Safety Features			7	
Туре	Lighting / Guardrail			
Slope Protection		6	6	
(Type : CONCRETE; CONCRE	ETE)			
Bank Stability		7	7	
Drainage		7	7	
Grade Separation General Rati	ng	4	7	

			Maintenance Recommer	ndations					
Inspector Recommendations	Ye	ear	Inspector Comments	Department Comm	ments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
GALVANIZE/PAINT BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
SEAL DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
REPAIR/REPLACE DECK JOINTS									
RESET/ PAINT BEARINGS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROS	ION								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
OTHER ACTION	20	13	Replace broken guardrail and posts.						
OTHER ACTION	20	13	Seal cracks in ACP at aprroaches.						
OTHER ACTION	20	13	Extend drain troughs @ abutment headslope	S					
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N	low) 83.	3.3/83.	Sufficiency Rating (Last/Now) (%)	70.1/71.6	Est. Repl. Yr	2064	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N	low) 83.	3.3/83.		70.1/71.6  Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2064	Maint. Re	qd. (Y/N)	Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection	low) 83.	3.3/83.		Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Re		Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for	low) 83.	3.3/83.		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By	low) 83.	3.3/83.		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	low) 83.	3.3/83.		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N)	low) 83.  Kris Boste		(%)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action		ers	(%)	Department Comments  Date	Est. Repl. Yr				Yes
Structural Condition Rating (Last/N (%)  Special Comments for Next Inspection  Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy  On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action  Previous Inspector's Name	Kris Boste	ers	(%)	Department Comments  Date  S Assistant's Name					Yes