							Bridge I	nspect	tion						
Bridge File Nu	mber	00915 -	1 Bridge					Form	Туре		PCS				
Year Built/Yea	r	1996/19	96					Lot N	lo.		4	4			
Supstr						Inspe			ector Na	ctor Name Tom Carey					
Bridge or Towr	n Name							Inspector Class		BR CLS A	BR CLS A				
Located Over			ARY TO 16.1.2, W					Assistant Name							
Located On			1 32.828					Assistant Class							
Water Body Cl	./Year							Inspection Date		18-Feb-20	18-Feb-2013				
Navigabil. Cl./\								Data	Entry E	Anne Robe	Anne Roberts				
Legal Land Lo		NE SEC	5 TWP 1	9 RGE 2	27 W4N	1		Data Entry Date			17-Mar-20	17-Mar-2013			
Longitude, Lati								Revie	ewer Na	ame	Garry Rob	erts			
oad Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT)					T)			Revie	Review Date		03-Mar-20	13			
Contract Main. Area CMA27									Dept. Reviewer Name		e Tim Davies	6			
Clear Roadway	Clear Roadway/Skew 13.4 /							· · ·		w Date	25-Mar-20	13			
AADT/Year		2,030/2	2011 (A)					Follow	w-Up B	By					
Road Classific	ation	RAU-21	3.4-120												
Detour Length	(km)	3													
Allowable Load	d (t): Sir	igle CS	1 28		Semi	C	S2 49		۲	Frain (CS3 62		> On Criti	ical Spans	
Docian Loodin	a:	00	750										>Critical I		
Design Loading	y.	105	750			D	osting I	nforme	ation				> Primary	Span	
Required Load	Postino	(t)		Single			osung I		Semi			True	ck Train		
Posted Loading		(9		Single					Semi			_	ck Train		
Posted:	Lane	EB		At Junc	tion (Y	/N)	No			nce (Y/N) No		Bridge (Y/N)	No	
Posted:	Lane	WB		At Junc			No			nce (Y/N			Bridge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks		equired.		7 11 0 0110		,,	110					/ « D		110	
Hazard Marker			No												
Remarks		go (1/1 1)	Not rec	uired											
Other Sign Typ	bes														
0 71						U	tilities (Locate	d at)						
Utility Attachm	ents P	OWER L	ITILITIES	-POWER	R LINE										
Telephone	SOUT	H R/W						Gas							
Power	MAIN	TRANS	VISSION	LINES T	O WES	ST		Munic	Municipal						
Others	300 m	WEST						Proble	em (Y/	N) No					
Remarks															
							Approa								
						Last		Expla	anatior	n of Con	dition				
Horizontal Alig						9	9	_							
Vertical Alignm						9	9								
Deedwork Widt			13.400					_							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					7	8								
Approach Bum			Yes					Minor	r dama	ge at No	rth West at tu	rn dow	'n.		
Approach Bum Guardrail (Y/N)					5	5	Not th	hrie bea	am					
Approach Bum Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail)		00.00-												
Approach Bum Guardrail (Y/N Guardrail Length (m)			26.000					_							
Current Stan	dard (Y/	N)	No												
Approach Bum Guardrail (Y/N Guardrail Length (m) Current Stan Termination	dard (Y/	N)		DOWN		7	7								
Approach Bum Guardrail (Y/N Guardrail Length (m) Current Stan	dard (Y/	N)	No	DOWN		7	7	-							

					Supers	structure				
Bridge Com	oonent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
		ans, Length	s(m): 14, A-Id	ent Nur	nber:)					
Special Feat	ures									
Special Featu										
(Type :)										
Special Featu	ure									
(Type :)										
	ace/Deck Top	Detail Ratin	ne ar							
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)						
Last	0	0	0		0	-				
Now	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0					
-		0.0	0.0	6	8					
Wearing Surf				0	0					
(Material Ty (Thickness)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-				
	, , ,	N 1				-				
(Y/N)	ection Problen	n No								
Deck Top				N	N					
Deck Rideabi	ility			7	7					
Deck Joints				8	N	(Buffer angle) ACP covered				
Bump (Y/N))	No								
Deck Drainag	je			8	8					
Drains Clog	ged (Y/N)	No								
Curbs/Mediar				6	6	HAIRLINE TRANSVERSE CRACKS & long crks				
	: Standard)				-	Along N curb exterior-				
Scaling (Pe		0				- Minor break @ south				
Bridge Rail		•		9	6	One splice bolt missing at SW, but not req. as splice is welded.				
				9	0					
	LVANIZED ST			0	0	-				
Bridge Rail P (Type : GA		OST STEEL;	GALVANIZED	9 POST	9					
ŠŤĖEL)				7	7	-				
Bridge Rail/P				7	7	-				
(Type : GA	LVANIZED)									
Sidewalk				X	X					
Girder Detail	Ratings									
Sindor Dotall	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (coi	unt)					
Last					0					
Now	0	0	0		0					
Girders	U	0	0	7	7	Hairline longitudinal cracking at north				
	o Inonostica F		h 2012		/	fascia girder. Minor coating failure.				
	e Inspection E		b-2013			-				
Cracking (Y		Yes				-				
	ercent Area)	0				-				
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N	ctor Pocket)	Yes								
(Number Of C						1				
Span Alignm	ent Problem	s								
Vertical (Y/		No								
Horizontal (,	No				1				
	ure General R			7	7					
2 apor ou doll										

Alberta Transportation

					Subst	ructure
Bridge Com	ponent			Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Abutments						
(Extended	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : N)				
(Extended	Backwall Piles	Spacing(mm):)			
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Cor	bels : 1:1)				_
Bearing Seat	s/Caps/Corbel	ls Detail Ratin	gs			
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	-
Last	0	0	0		0	_
Now	0	0	0		0	_
Bearing Seat	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		8	8	
(Type : CO	NCRETE)					-
(Depth(mm) : 2000)					
(Width(mm) : 650)					
Backwalls/Br	eastwalls			8	8	
Greatest H	eight (m)	2.00				
Wingwalls				8	8	
	er of Bearing F	Piles : 0:0)				-
Piles Detail R				a (-
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou		Buried.
Last	1	0	0	-	0	
Now	10	0	0		0	-
Piles				N	N	
Paint/Coating	9			X	X	
Abutment Sta	ability			9	9	
Scour/Erosio	n			8	8	
Piers/Bents						
(Type :)	er of Caps/Cor	hals ·)				-
· ·	s/Caps/Corbel	· ·	as			
Douring Ocal	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last				0 (000		
Now						
	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		X	X	-
(Type :)				~	~	
(Depth(mm);)					1
(Width(mm						1
	r of Bearing F	Piles ;)				
Piles Detail R						
. noo Dotain N	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	1
Last				0 (000		
Now						1
Pier Shaft/Pil	es	I		X	X	-
Greatest H						
Bracing/Strut				X	X	
Nose Plate				X	X	
Paint/Coating)			X	X	
(Colour De						
(Colour Co						
(Colour Co	de :)					

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure				
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Pier Stability		X	X					
Scour		X	Х					
ebris (Y/N) No								
Substructure General Rating			8					
		5	Structu	re Usage				
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition				
Channel								
(U/S Direction : N)				Meandering low banks.				
(D/S Direction : S)								
Alignment		6	6					
Bank Stability			5	(Scoured 1m deep x 1m wide for 20m long at South West.) Snow covered.				
HWM (m below Top of Curb)	1.5			(2009)				
Drift (Y/N)	No							
Slope Protection		8	8	Class I.				
(Type : RIP RAP; RIP RAP)								
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X					
Adequacy of Opening		7	7					
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)							
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)							
Channel General Rating		6	6					

		Mall	tenance Recommend	lations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Comr	ments		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS									
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIO	N								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
INSTALL STRUTS									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R (%)	ating (Last/Now)	73.8/72.7	Est. Repl. Yr	2056	Maint. Rec	ąd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R (%)	ating (Last/Now)	73.8/72.7 Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2056	Maint. Rec	ıd. (Y/N)	No
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R (%)	ating (Last/Now)	Department	Est. Repl. Yr				No
(%) Special Comments for	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R (%)	ating (Last/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Rec		No
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R (%)	ating (Last/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R	ating (Last/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	w) 83.3/83	3 Sufficiency R (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name		3 Sufficiency R (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					No
(%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Tom Carey	3 Sufficiency R (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr				No