						Bridge li	nspection					
Bridge File Num	ber	09939 -2	Bridge				Form Type		PCS			
Year Built/Year		2009/200					Lot No.	4				
Supstr							Inspector Nar	Inspector Name		Eric Carcoux		
Bridge or Town	Name	DONAT	/ILLE				Inspector Class		BR CLS A			
Located Over		PINE CR	EEK, 8.1	1.55.5, W	VATERCR	S-ST	Assistant Name					
Located On		55:10 C1	22.583				Assistant Class					
Water Body Cl./	Year						Inspection Da		12-Apr-2012			
Navigabil. Cl./Year							Data Entry By		Theresa Lacusta			
Legal Land Location SW SEC 27 TWP 66 RGE 20 W					20 W4M		Data Entry Da		08-May-2012			
Longitude, Latitude -112:56:36, 54:43:58										- nheimer		
Road Authority Alberta Transportation (AIT))		Review Date					
Contract Main. Area CMA10					Dept. Reviewer Nar			16-Apr-2012 Brent Herric				
Clear Roadway	/Skew	11.2 /							12-Jun-2012			
AADT/Year		2,440 / 2	011 (A)				Dept. Review Follow-Up By		12-3011-2012	·		
Road Classifica		RAU-211										
Detour Length (km)	29										
Allowable Load		gle			Semi		Train			> On Criti	> On Critical Spans >Critical Member	
Design Loading	:						· · ·			> Primary	/ Span	
					P	osting l	nformation					
Required Load I	Posting	(t)		Single	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l		Semi			Truck Train		
Posted Loading	(t)			Single			Semi			Truck Train		
Posted:	Lane	WB		At Junct	ion (Y/N)	No	In Advan	In Advance (Y/N)		At Bridge (Y/N)	No	
Posted:	Lane	EB			ion (Y/N)	No	In Advan	ce (Y/N)	No	At Bridge (Y/N)	No	
Remarks										· · · · ·		
Hazard Marker	At Brido	ae (Y/N)	No									
Remarks		/										
Other Sign Type	es											
0 71					U	tilities (I	Located at)					
Utility Attachme	nts						, í					
Telephone	S r/w											
							Gas					
	ower 1 wire o/h N r/w						Gas Municipal					
							Municipal) No				
Others		o/h N r/w ptic N r/w) No				
Others						Approa	Municipal Problem (Y/N) No				
Others					Last		Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks	fibre o				Lasi 7		Municipal Problem (Y/N		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align	fibre o ment					t Now	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme	fibre o ment				7	t Now	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width	fibre o ment ent (m)				7 7	t Now 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump	fibre o ment ent (m)		12.000		7	t Now 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N)	fibre o ment ent (m)				7 7 7 7	t Now 7 7 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail	fibre o ment ent (m)		/ 12.000 Yes		7 7	t Now 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m)	fibre o ment ent (m)	ptic N r/w	/ 12.000 Yes 38.000		7 7 7 7	t Now 7 7 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	fibre o ment (m) o	ptic N r/w	 12.000 Yes 38.000 Yes 		7 7 7 7	t Now 7 7 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand Termination T	fibre o ment (m) o	ptic N r/w	/ 12.000 Yes 38.000	··wn	7 7 7 7 7 7	t Now 7 7 7 7 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			
Others Remarks Horizontal Align Vertical Alignme Roadway Width Approach Bump Guardrail (Y/N) Guardrail Length (m) Current Stand	fibre o ment (m) o	ptic N r/w	 12.000 Yes 38.000 Yes 		7 7 7 7	t Now 7 7 7 7	Municipal Problem (Y/N Ich Road		tion			

					Supers	tructure
Bridge Com	ponent					Explanation of Condition
(Primary Spa	in : SCC, 3 Spa	ans, Length	s(m): 12-14-12	2, A-Idei	nt Num	iber:)
Special Feat	ures					
Special Featu	ure				Х	
(Type :)						
Special Featu	ure				Х	
(Type :)						
Wearing Surf	ace/Deck Top	Detail Ratin	gs			
	N (%)	1 (%)	2 (%)	3 (%)		
Last						
Now						
Wearing Surf	face			7	7	
(Material Ty	ype : CONCRE	ETE)				
(Thickness	(mm) : 100)					
Lateral Conn (Y/N)	ection Problem	n No				
Deck Top				X	X	
Deck Rideab	ility			7	7	
Deck Joints				7	7	
Bump (Y/N)	No				
Deck Drainag	ge			7	7	
Drains Clog	gged (Y/N)	No				
Curbs/Media	n			7	7	
(Curb Type	: None)					
Scaling (Pe	ercent Area)					
Bridge Rail				7	7	
(Type :)						
Bridge Rail P	osts			7	7	
(Type : GA STEEL)	LVANIZED PC	DST STEEL;	GALVANIZED	POST		
Bridge Rail/P	osts Coating			7	7	
(Type : GA	LVANIZED)					
Sidewalk				X	X	
Girder Detail	Ratings					
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)	
Last						
Now						
Girders				7	7	1% paint flaking on S fascia.
Last Complet	te Inspection D	ate 12-Ap	or-2012			
Cracking (Y	(/N)	No				
Spalling (P	ercent Area)					
Lift or Conne Grouted (Y/N	ctor Pocket I)	Yes				
(Number Of (Girders : 30)					
Span Alignm	nent Problems	5				
Vertical (Y/		No				
Horizontal	(Y/N)					
Superstruct	ure General R	ating		7	7	

Alberta Transportation

					Sub
Bridge Comp	ponent			Last	Now
Abutments					
(Extended I	Backwall Piles	s (Y/N) : N)			
(Extended I	Backwall Piles	s Spacing(mn	n):)		
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Co	rbels : 1:1)			
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls Detail Ratii	ngs		
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)
Last					,
Now					
	s/Caps/Corbe	ls		7	7
(Type : CO					,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
(Depth(mm					
(Width(mm)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1
Backwalls/Bro				7	7
Greatest He	eight (m)	1.40			
Wingwalls				7	7
	er of Bearing F	Piles : 0:0)			
Piles Detail R	atings				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)
Last	100	0	0		0
Now	100				
Piles				N	N
Paint/Coating	1			7	7
				<u> </u>	
Abutment Sta	ability			7	7
Scour/Erosion	n			7	7
Piers/Bents					
(Type : PIE	R-COLUMN)				
(Total Numbe	er of Caps/Co	rbels : 2:2)			
Bearing Seats	s/Caps/Corbe	ls Detail Rati	ngs		
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)
Last					,
Now					
	s/Caps/Corbe	le		7	7
(Type : CO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10		/	/
(Depth(mm					
(Width(mm)					
	er of Bearing F	Piles : 5:5)			
Piles Detail R	atings				
	N (count)	1 (count)	2 (count)	3 (cou	unt)
Last					
Now					
Pier Shaft/Pil	es	1		7	7
Greatest He		4.00			,
		4.00			-
Bracing/Strut	s/Sheathing			7	7
Noco Bloto				v	v
Nose Plate				X	X
Paint/Coating	1			7	7
(Colour Des				/	'
	de:)				
(Colour Coo Pier Stability	/			7	7

Alberta Transportation

			Subst	ructure
Bridge Component		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Scour		7	7	
Debris (Y/N)	No			
Substructure General Rating			7	
		S	Structu	re Usage
		Last	Now	Explanation of Condition
Channel				
(U/S Direction : S)				
(D/S Direction : N)				
Alignment		7	7	
Bank Stability		7	7	
HWM (m below Top of Curb)				HWM not visible
Drift (Y/N)	No			
Slope Protection		7	7	
(Type : RIP RAP)				
Guidebank/Spurs		X	X	
Adequacy of Opening			7	
(Fish Compensation Measure 1	: NONE)			
(Fish Compensation Measure 2	: NONE)			
Channel General Rating		7	7	

		Maintenance	Recommend	ations					
Inspector Recommendations	Year	Inspector Comments		Department Comm	ents		Target Year	Est. Cost	Cat #
REPAIR/REPLACE BRIDGE RAIL									
SEAL CURBS									
PATCH DECK									
OVERLAY DECK									
STRAIGHTEN/REPLACE MEMBERS									
WASHING									
SHOTCRETE REPAIRS									
CORE TIMBER CAPS/CORBELS									
REPAIR/REPLACE TIMBER CAPS									
REPAIR ABUTMENT SCOUR/EROSIC	ON								
PLACE ADDITIONAL RIP RAP									
REMOVE DRIFT ACCUMULATION									
INSTALL STRUTS									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION									
OTHER ACTION Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%)	ow) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	63.5/63.4 E	Est. Repl. Yr	2079	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No	w) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) 6	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr	2079	Maint. Red	qd. (Y/N)	No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection	ow) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) (Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No (%) Special Comments for	ow) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) (Department	Est. Repl. Yr		Maint. Red		No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By	w) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now) (Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. (%) Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy	ow) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	st/Now)	Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action	pw) 77.8/77.	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)		Department Comments	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name	Eric Carcoux	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Eric Carcoux 12-Jan-2014	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date	Est. Repl. Yr				No
Structural Condition Rating (Last/No. Special Comments for Next Inspection Maintenance Reviewed By Proposed Long-Term Strategy On 3-Year Program (Y/N) Proposed Action Previous Inspector's Name Next Inspection Date	Eric Carcoux	8 Sufficiency Rating (La (%)	Previous	Department Comments Date					No